

### Potato Fertility Experiments

The potato fertility experiment for 1967 consisted of three locations:

- 1 - Eugene Frederick farm 1½ miles west of Terrebonne
- 2 - A. E. Albertsen farm 4 miles south of Powell Butte
- 3 - Madras site of the Central Oregon Experiment Station

The Frederick and Albertsen locations contained similar treatments and were designed to give information on the following points:

- 1. Rate of phosphate application necessary for optimum yields of potatoes.
- 2. The most effective method of application of potassium fertilizer with additional information on rate of application.
- 3. The interaction of rates of N, P and K as measured by yield and nutrient uptake by the plants.

The Madras location was established to obtain information primarily on rates and interaction of rates of N and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and the effect of method of application of P.

To evaluate the above effects, the following data was collected:

- Yield of tubers in tons per acre
- Specific gravity of the tubers
- Market grade
- Plant analysis of petioles per P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn and Zn.

#### Eugene Frederick Farm

Soil type: Deschutes Sandy loam (over cinders)  
3--7% slope

Irrigation: Sprinkler

Soil test: 5/12/67

K #/A	390	Range 328 - 490
P #/A	23.4	Range 20 - 32
Ca me/100 gms	4.85	
Mg me/100 gms	3.41	
pH	5.9	

Broadcast applications (plow down) applied 5/12/67  
 Planted May 24, 1967  
 Harvested Oct. 14, 1967  
 Plant samples taken July 19, 1967 (middle to late bud stage)  
 57 days after planting

## Application of Fertilizers:

All nitrogen banded two inches to the side and below seed piece.  
Phosphate was all banded except for one treatment 80/80 in which 80# was banded and 80# plowed down  
Potassium was banded (2x2) at planting time and plowed down, applied after plowing and disced into the soil, part banded 75/ and part plowed down /75  
30 #/A Zinc sulphate was banded in all treatments, except the two noted in the table.

## Culture:

The stand was not exceptional at this location and the presence of volunteer potatoes added to the lack of uniformity of stand.

Because of the above normal summer temperatures over an extended period of time, the farmer encountered difficulty in getting water to the experimental plot often enough. This fact lowered yield appreciably and probably depressed the fertilizer effect, as a consequence only a few significant differences appear even though the experiment had a good Coefficient of Variation. The lack of water also reduced the percentage of No. 1 potatoes by 20 - 25% of the grade which would normally be expected from sprinkler irrigated potatoes.

## Results:

Observations indicated that 150# pound of N was probably insufficient for optimum yield during the long growing season of 1967.

Considering phosphate and potassium, phosphate was the more limiting nutrient and at the 150# N level 160 pounds of  $P_2O_5$  was required to supply the minimum needs of the plant. Using .35% total P in the petiole as the critical level for the early season sampling, then even 160#  $P_2O_5$  was not always sufficient. One point to be carefully watched in the future is the effect of applying very high rates of phosphate in the band in Central Oregon soils. The 240# rate appeared to depress yields in comparison to the 160# treatments. It is conceivable that in these poorly buffered soils the band and area immediately around the band become too acid for the plant to take advantage of the fertilizer. When N and P were applied without K at this low K location, the plants became K deficient even at low P application rates.

The split application of phosphate 80# banded 80# plowed down resulted in about the same P uptake as 80 pounds banded. The potassium variable in this comparison confuses the uptake picture.

Under the conditions of this year, there appeared to be little effect from the method of application of potassium. The 450# K rate banded produced a salting effect and the plants were definitely affected by this toxic effect. The yield differences between the 225 and 450 pound rate were practically nil, however, with the yield levels limited by moisture, it is possible that the 225# rate was sufficient. The petiole analysis confirms this although if the 9% K content is considered the critical level for this date of sampling then even during the limiting conditions of this year the needs were barely met.

The zinc levels in the leaf indicate adequate zinc levels without the addition of zinc, consequently in Table No. 1 the no zinc treatment were averaged with the zinc treatment.

Table No. 2 shows the average values for yield, market grade and specific gravity. The market grade reflects the water shortage and, while significant values were obtained, they are generally in reverse order of what would be expected. Those treatments with the greatest yield potential due to fertilization had the poorest grade.

The specific gravity results reflect the facts that phosphate fertilizers tend to increase specific gravity and potassium, at least as KCl, decreases specific gravity.

Table No. 1 presents the average results obtained by the chemical analysis of the petiole or leaf. The effect of P, K, and Zn was reported above. The Magnesium level was not particularly high for Central Oregon but still demonstrated the expected inverse uptake relationship with potassium.

Appendix Tables No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 present the yield, grade, specific gravity, P, K, Ca, Mg, Zn, Mn and Fe data by replicate.

Table No. 1

The Effect of Nitrogen, Phosphate and Potassium Treatments on the Uptake of Phosphorus, Potassium, Calcium, Magnesium, Zinc, Manganese and Iron in the Petioles of Russet Burbank Potatoes  
Grown on the Eugene Frederick Farm, Terrebonne, Oregon - 1967

Fertilizer Application Pounds Per Acre			Average Yield Tons/Acre	Petiole Content				Leaf Content		
N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K		P %	K %	Mg %	Ca %	Zn PPM	Mn PPM	Fe PPM
150	0	0	10.04	.20	10.10	.94	.99	45	63	250
150	80	0	13.03	.30	8.25	1.26	1.10	52	81	
150	160	0	13.29	.36	8.25	1.24	1.10	37	65	155
150	160	0 (1)	14.43	.33	7.15	1.44	1.15	27	75	164
150	240	0	12.00	.40	6.63	1.53	1.17	28	72	
150	0	75/150	9.60	.22	10.63	.80	.93	52	111	
150	160	75 (2x2)	13.00	.38	9.05	1.24	1.06	53	119	
150	160	225 (2x2)	13.87	.31	10.45	1.02	1.07	71	168	
150	160	450 (2x2)	13.58	.29	9.95	.93	1.02	52	133	
150	160	225 disc	12.76	.34	10.10	1.09	1.12	57	146	
150	160	450 disc	12.64	.33	11.05	.92	1.07	46	105	
150	160	225 plow	12.44	.33	9.68	.97	1.02	34	88	
150	160	450 plow	15.00	.37	10.35	.91	1.07	49	125	
150	160	75/150	14.25	.34	10.75	.81	.96	51	114	187
150	160	75/375	12.32	.35	11.00	.84	.97	54	120	168
150	160	75/375(1)	14.37	.35	10.90	.70	.90	32	78	
150	80/80	75/375	14.04	.32	10.90	.81	.92	55	104	
250	160	75/375	15.53	.38	11.25	.69	.82	66	132	201
250	240	75/375	13.80	.33	10.25	.82	.94	49	98	180

(1) No Zinc - remainder of treatments received 30#/A Zn SO<sub>4</sub>

Table No. 2

The Effect of Rates of Nitrogen, Phosphate and Potassium  
and Method of Placement of Phosphorus and Potassium  
Fertilizers on Yield, Market Grade and Specific  
Gravity of Russet Burbank Potatoes Grown on

Eugene Frederick Farm, Terrebonne, Oregon - 1967

Fertilizer Application Pounds Per Acre			Average Yield Tons/Acre	Market Grade Percentage			Specific Gravity
N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K		No. 1	No. 2	Culls	
150	0	0	10.04	45.87	29.20	24.96	1.076
150	80	0	13.03	45.59	31.12	23.30	1.080
150(1)	160	0	13.86	52.07	28.28	23.05	1.082
150	240	0	12.00	51.07	26.34	22.60	1.084
150	0	75/150(4)	9.60	45.64	27.24	27.14	1.074
150	160	75(2x2)(3)	13.00	44.73	31.68	23.60	1.080
150	160	225(2x2)	13.87	46.13	35.24	18.62	1.079
150	160	450(2x2)	13.58	40.69	33.98	25.34	1.075
150	160	225 disc	12.76	40.03	30.54	29.44	1.078
150	160	450 disc	12.62	37.75	28.50	33.76	1.075
150	160	225 plow	12.44	39.75	31.02	29.26	1.078
150	160	450 plow	15.00	43.00	32.52	24.50	1.078
150(1)	160	75/150	14.251	38.75	33.64	25.63	1.078
150(1)	160	75/375	13.34	42.75	25.00	32.25	1.074
150	80/80(2)	75/375	14.04	41.50	33.76	24.76	1.074
250	160	75/375	15.53	44.50	32.02	23.50	1.076
250	240	75/375	13.80	32.50	36.26	31.26	1.077
		L.S.D. @ 5%	2.19	11.13			.003
		C.V %	11.75	18.2			.21

- (1) Average of two treatments  
(2) 80# P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in band and 80# P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> plow down  
(3) Banded 2 inches below and to side of tuber  
(4) 75# banded 2x2 150 plowed down

## A. E. Albertson Farm

Approximately four miles south of Powell Butte Post Office.

Soil type: Deschutes Sandy loam

Irrigation: Surface irrigation between rows

Soil test:

pH	6.1	
P #/A	42.2	Range 36 - 60
K #/A	530	Range 398 - 733
Ca me/100 gms	5.3	
Mg me/100 gms	3.5	

Broadcast application May 17, 1967

Planted May 29 - 30

Harvested October 28, 1967

The Albertsen location was a surface irrigation experiment, all of replication 1 and several treatments of replication 2 silted in and were lost to water rot. Replications 2, 3 and 4 contained varying amounts of water rot. As a result, the trial yielded little effective information.

The yield, market grade and specific gravity data taken, are shown in Appendix Tables No. 11, 12 and 13. The yield and specific gravity data follow the same trend at Albertsen's as at Frederick's, except that there was probably less response to potassium at Albertsen's than at Frederick's. Chemical analysis was not determined at this location.

## Madras Location

Central Oregon Experiment Station at Madras

Soil type: Madras loam

Irrigation: Row (surface)

Soil test:

0-8" depth	
pH	7.6
P #/A	45.6
K #/A	1497.6
Ca me/100 gms	12.8
Mg me/100 gms	6.5

8-16" depth	
pH	7.7
P #/A	11.1
K #/A	1123.2
Ca me/100 gms	11.8
Mg me/100 gms	8.1

Culture:

This location was a victim of cultural problems.

The field had been seeded to rye the previous fall in order to increase the organic matter and improve the moisture relationships. Even though the field had been irrigated early to keep the soil moist and provide water for the rye, a poorly timed shower postponed plowing until the soil was basically too dry deep for potatoes.

During planting, the planter broke down and two days were required to make repairs. A poor stand was obtained in the area where planting was delayed by the breakdown.

As the season progressed it became increasingly more difficult to get water into the soil and finally, the irrigation practice was to irrigate on each side of the row of every row six hours each day.

The results obtained reflect the cultural problems, a large amount of variation in the yields between replications can be observed in Appendix Table No. 14. There was no statistical significance between treatments. Certain results appear to be revelant and should be watched in future experiments.

With the climatic conditions of 1967, 150# of N per acre did not appear to be sufficient. (See Table No. 3). Phosphate uptake from plowed down phosphate fertilizer was not satisfactory at least in the early stage of growth. The yield, however, was only slightly under that of banded phosphate. The high rate of banded phosphate (240# per acre) appeared to have a deleterious effect on yield. This effect may have been due to a drastically lowered pH in the band.

The trial indicates a deficiency of phosphate (Table No. 4) at the 0-80 rates of phosphate and the need of increasing the rate of phosphate application in the recommendations. Using .35% phosphate as the critical minimum value for phosphate in the plant at bud stage, then at least 160# of phosphate with a soil test of 44# of phosphate per acre to raise the phosphate level in the plant to this critical level. If rates above 150# of N per acre are applied, then the phosphate level should probably be increased. It appears that under usual farming practices it would be desirable to add nitrogen and phosphate at a 1:1 ratio. On fields with heavy applications of barnyard manure, either phosphate should be added to the manure or a ratio of greater than 1:1 phosphate should be used in the commercial fertilizer.

The plant analysis also indicates an adequate level of K uptake by the plants (9% is the probable critical minimum level for late bud stage sampling). It should be emphasized, however, that the soil test value for K at the 0-8" depth was approximately 1500 pounds per acre at this location.

Table No. 3 presents along with yield, the market grade and specific gravity of the potatoes. The grade reflects the water and temperature problems of 1967. The specific gravity, with passed knowledge that increased nitrogen and potassium lowers the specific gravity and that increased phosphate increases specific gravity, very closely reflects the chemical changes indicated by plant chemical analysis shown in Table No. 4.

The data summarized in the two tables in the text are presented showing the values obtained by replicate in Appendix Tables No. 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22 and 23.

#### Summary

It should be emphasized that the points made below are from data obtained in the first year of this particular experiment and that the next year's data under different climatic conditions may change some of the interpretations of treatment effects.

#### Nitrogen:

The experiment was not designed to obtain much information on nitrogen because responses to nitrogen have not been a problem. The experiment did indicate, however, that under the long growing season and warm weather of 1967, 150# of N per acre was not enough for optimum yields, judging from the early breakdown of vines receiving this rate of application at each of the three locations.



Table No. 3

The Effect of Nitrogen, Phosphate and Potassium Fertilizer Treatments on the Average Yield, Market Grade and Specific Gravity of Russet Burbank Potatoes

Madras Location - Central Oregon Experiment Station - 1967

Fertilizer Application Pounds Per Acre			Average Yield Tons/Acre	Market Grade Percentage			Specific Gravity
N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K		No. 1	No. 2	Culls	
150	0	0	15.525	30.00	40.38	29.62	1.094
150	0	100	15.646	30.02	37.76	32.26	1.090
150	80	100	17.604	34.50	42.52	23.00	1.091
150	160	100	18.751	27.52	32.76	39.76	1.091
150	240	100	15.749	45.50	29.26	25.26	1.093
150	160 p1	100	18.303	36.26	34.76	29.00	1.088
250	0	100	16.638	35.76	27.02	37.26	1.093
250	80	100	16.345	32.26	34.76	33.00	1.090
250	160	100	18.242	34.76	37.26	28.00	1.087
250	240	100	17.138	22.26	34.26	43.50	1.091
250	160 p1	100	17.673	33.02	37.26	29.76	1.087
150	160	0	15.249	29.00	36.50	34.50	1.094
250	160	0	16.870	32.00	37.76	30.26	1.091
250	160	100	17.690	25.26	38.02	36.76	1.090
350	160	100	17.000	24.26	51.76	24.00	1.091
150	80	100	15.256	29.76	36.26	34.00	1.091
250	80	100	15.008	29.52	37.26	33.26	1.092
150	160	100	13.091	28.26	37.26	34.50	1.094

Table No. 4

The Effect of Nitrogen, Phosphate and Potassium Treatments on the Uptake of Phosphorus, Potassium, Magnesium, Calcium, Zinc, Manganese and Iron in the Petioles of Russet Burbank Potatoes Grown at the Madras Location - Central Oregon Experiment Station - 1967

Fertilizer Application Pounds Per Acre			Average Yield Tons/Acre	Petiole Content				Leaf Content		
N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K		P %	K %	Mg %	Ca %	Zn PPM	Mn PPM	Fe PPM
150	0	0	15.5	.21	11.2	.57	1.01	27	43	146
150	0	100	15.6	.21	11.5	.56	1.02	32	51	145
150	80	100	17.6	.30	11.8	.49	.95	27	54	129
150	160	100	18.8	.40	11.5	.49	.94	25	53	137
150	240	100	15.7	.40	11.6	.60	.97	19	49	149
150	160 p1(2)	100	18.3	.26	11.4	.56	.98	31	59	
250	0	100	16.6	.24	11.3	.59	1.00	35	51	142
250	80	100	16.3	.28	11.6	.55	1.12	25	51	134
250	160	100	18.2	.32	11.2	.53	1.11	22	48	135
250	240	100	17.1	.30	10.9	.60	1.18	21	43	
250	160 p1(2)	100	17.7	.26	11.5	.58	1.09	24	51	
150	160	0	15.2	.33	11.1	.52	1.09	36	52	162
250	160	0	16.9	.38	10.6	.60	1.04	29	50	140
250	160	100(1)	17.7	.31	11.7	.60	1.08	49	54	
350	160	100	17.0	.35	11.3	.61	1.04	34	43	154
150	80	100	15.3	.34	11.6	.52	.93	37	60	
250	80	100	15.0	.31	11.8	.58	.99	35	48	
150	160	100	13.1	.37	11.1	.60	.98	21	48	

(1) 30# Zinc sulphate per acre - remainder of treatments no Zinc

(2) Phosphate plowed down prior to planting

## Phosphate:

The yield and plant analysis data tend to indicate that the recommendations for phosphate have been low. With a soil test value of 45 pounds of P per acre, 150 pounds of  $P_2O_5$  per acre in the band was required to alleviate the deficiency at the 150# N level. For higher than 150# of N probably higher rates of phosphate would be required. It appeared that under average conditions perhaps N and  $P_2O_5$  should be applied at nearly a 1:1 ratio. This ratio probably would be low in phosphate where large amounts of barnyard manure were used.

High rates of phosphate (240# of  $P_2O_5$ ) in a band appeared to decrease yield and have a harmful effect on the plant. It is suggested that possibly this high rate made the band too acid for the plant roots.

Plowed down phosphate was not picked up by the plant as efficiently as from the band, at least at the late bud stage, however, the yield was only slightly reduced by plowing down. It would appear that if high rates are necessary to alleviate a major deficiency of this element that probably some will necessarily have to be plowed down and some banded.

## Potassium:

Potassium was not limiting at the Madras location, however, it should be emphasized that the soil test indicated 1500# per acre at the 0-8" depth. The yields tend to indicate that even here with large amounts of N and  $P_2O_5$  banded a little potassium in the band helps the yield.

At the Frederick's location, phosphate was the first limiting nutrient, however, when the phosphate deficiency was alleviated, potassium was immediately deficient.

The data obtained from banded vs disced in vs plowed down potassium is rather inconclusive, which could mean that plowing down or discing in the potassium is as an efficient method of application as banding. The 450# K per acre rate banded was obviously harmful to the plant by observation, but at least under the conditions of the trial was not particularly detrimental to yield.

Even with the relatively low level of production rates between 225# and 450# of K per acre were required to raise the plant level of K out of the deficiency zone with soil test value of approximately 400# of K per acre. The range of soil test K at this location varied from 328-490# of K per acre and undoubtedly influenced the variability of the experiment.

A small experiment was established on the C. K. Minson Farm at Powell Butte to measure:

1. The effect of high rates of phosphate and potassium on yield.
2. The effect of zinc on the yield when high rates of phosphate are applied.

The soil test prior to the application of fertilizer was:

pH	6.0
P #/A	24.6
K #/A	324.5
Ca me/100 gms	6.58
Mg me/100 gms	5.18

Previous experiments in this area have indicated by plant analysis that applications up to 140# P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> per acre were not sufficient to alleviate the phosphate deficiency in this area where high rates of nitrogen and potassium were used. Also, the area is apparently on the threshold of a zinc deficiency.

All treatments were disced in. It was realized after the application that 500# of 16-20 had been plowed down, consequently the lowest rate of phosphate application was 220# P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> per acre.

No significant responses to the zinc or potash applications were obtained, however, there was a tendency for the 800# K rate to yield more than the 400# rate.

The results are shown in Table No. 5. It is interesting to note that, while it wasn't statistically significant, there was a trend for the high phosphate rate to lower the specific gravity. Usually phosphate increases specific gravity.

Table No. 5

Effect of Two Rates of Phosphate, Potassium and Zinc  
Fertilizers on the Yield and Specific Gravity of  
Russet Burbank Potatoes

C.K. Minson Farm - Powell Butte, Oregon - 1967

Fertilizer Application Pounds Per Acre			Average Yield Tons/Ac.	Average Specific Gravity
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K	ZnSO <sub>4</sub>		
120	400	30	17.88	1.077
240	400	30	19.16	1.075
240	800	30	19.37	1.073
120	400	--	19.42	1.077
240	400	--	18.14	1.075
240	800	--	20.67	1.074

Yield Comparisons Based on Average Values

Treatment #/A	Tons Per Acre
0# ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	19.41
30# ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	18.80
400# K	18.65
800# K	20.02
120# P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	18.65
240# P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	19.33

Appendix Table No. 1

Effect of Rates of Nitrogen, Phosphate and Potassium Fertilizer  
Treatments and Methods of Application of Phosphate and  
Potassium on the Yield of Russet Burbank Potatoes

Eugene Fredrick Farm, Terrebonne, Oregon - 1967

Fertilizer Application Pounds Per Acre			Yield of Potatoes in Tons Per Acre By Replicate				Ave.
N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K	I	II	III	IV	
150	0	0	8.597	10.375	7.690	13.494	10.039
150	160	0	13.966	14.800	11.100	13.277	13.286
150	0	75(2x2)150pl	11.934	9.069	9.468	7.763	9.559
150	80	0	12.660	14.945	13.422	11.100	13.032
150	160	0	13.676	14.437	15.888	13.712	14.428
150	240	0	12.370	11.971	11.608	12.043	11.998
150	160	75(2x2)	13.531	14.292	13.857	10.302	12.996
150	160	225(2x2)	14.401	13.857	15.163	12.043	13.866
150	160	450(2x2)	14.546	14.655	12.841	12.261	13.576
150	160	225 disc	12.225	16.106	12.261	10.447	12.760
150	160	450 disc	11.535	14.873	14.147	10.012	12.642
150	160	225 plow	13.785	13.712	10.157	12.116	12.443
150	160	450 plow	14.437	15.526	15.090	14.945	15.000
150	160	75(2x2)150pl	15.453	15.671	14.655	10.230	14.002
150	160	75(2x2)375pl	12.696	13.639	11.753	11.173	12.315
150	80/80	75(2x2)375pl	14.655	16.541	13.132	11.826	14.039
150	160	75(2x2)150pl	14.510	15.381	12.914	15.163	14.492
250	160	75(2x2)375pl	14.002	15.961	15.888	16.251	15.526
250	240	75(2x2)375pl	15.453	13.204	13.132	13.422	13.803
150	160	75(2x2)375pl	14.220	14.002	11.826	17.412	14.365

Appendix Table No. 2

The Effect of Nitrogen, Phosphate and Potassium Treatments on the Market Grade of Russet Burbank Potatoes Grown on the Eugene Frederick Farm, Terrebonne, Oregon - 1967

Fertilizer Application Pounds Per Acre			No. 1 %		Total No. 1 %	No. 2 %		Total No. 2 %	Culls %
N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K	10 oz.+	10 oz.-		10 oz.+	10 oz.-		
150	0	0	6.26	39.62	45.88	16.90	12.40	29.20	24.96
150	160	0	14.58	37.22	51.80	11.22	14.22	25.44	22.80
150	0	75/150	9.16	36.48	45.64	7.42	19.82	27.24	27.14
150	80	0	8.60	37.00	45.60	11.50	19.62	31.12	23.30
150	160	0 (1)	11.62	40.72	52.34	13.38	16.40	29.78	17.90
150	240	0	10.74	40.34	51.08	8.54	17.80	26.34	22.60
150	160	75 (2x2)	9.86	34.88	44.74	13.40	18.28	31.68	23.60
150	160	225 (2x2)	13.12	33.02	46.14	17.90	17.34	35.24	18.62
150	160	450 (2x2)	10.32	30.38	40.70	18.66	15.32	33.98	25.34
150	160	225 disc	6.54	33.50	40.04	9.16	21.38	30.54	29.44
150	160	450 disc	4.50	33.26	37.76	11.00	17.50	28.50	33.76
150	160	225 plow	9.26	30.50	39.76	7.76	23.26	31.02	29.26
150	160	450 plow	10.76	32.26	43.02	13.76	18.76	32.52	24.50
150	160	75/150	8.50	32.26	40.76	11.26	22.26	33.52	25.76
150	160	75/375	3.50	29.00	32.50	4.50	22.00	26.50	41.00
150	80/80	75/375	6.76	34.76	41.52	13.50	20.26	33.76	24.76
150	160	75/150	11.26	25.50	36.76	19.00	18.76	37.76	25.50
250	160	75/375	10.26	34.26	44.52	15.76	16.26	32.02	23.50
250	240	75/375	8.50	24.00	32.50	15.00	21.26	36.26	31.26
150	160	75/375(1)	11.26	41.76	53.02	11.00	12.50	23.50	23.50

(1) No Zinc - other treatments received 30# Zn SO<sub>4</sub> per acre

Appendix Table No. 3

The Effect of Nitrogen, Phosphate and Potassium Fertilizer  
Treatments on the Specific Gravity of Russet Burbank Potatoes

Eugene Frederick Farm, Terrebonne, Oregon - 1967

Fertilizer Application Pounds Per Acre			Specific Gravity By Replicate				
N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K	I	II	III	IV	Average
150	0	0	1.074	1.078	1.076	1.076	1.0760
150	160	0	1.088	1.082	1.080	1.081	1.0828
150	0	75/150	1.075	1.074	1.074	1.072	1.0738
150	80	0	1.077	1.083	1.077	1.075	1.0775
150	160	0 (1)	1.086	1.082	1.077	1.080	1.0813
150	240	0	1.086	1.088	1.084	1.077	1.0838
150	160	75 (2x2)	1.081	1.082	1.081	1.077	1.0803
150	160	225 (2x2)	1.082	1.081	1.076	1.075	1.0788
150	160	450 (2x2)	1.077	1.074	1.077	1.073	1.0753
150	160	225 disc	1.079	1.084	1.074	1.073	1.0775
150	160	450 disc	1.074	1.077	1.075	1.072	1.0745
150	160	225 plow	1.081	1.082	1.074	1.075	1.0778
150	160	450 plow	1.079	1.079	1.077	1.076	1.0778
150	160	75/150	1.077	1.077	1.077	1.075	1.0770
150	160	75/375	1.077	1.073	1.072	1.071	1.0733
150	80/80	75/375	1.076	1.077	1.075	1.067	1.0738
150	160	75/150	1.077	1.083	1.074	1.078	1.0780
250	160	75/375	1.076	1.081	1.075	1.072	1.0760
250	240	75/375	1.078	1.079	1.077	1.074	1.0770
150	160	75/375 (1)	1.076	1.076	1.071	1.075	1.0745
L.S.D. @ 5%							.0032
C. V. %							.21

(1) No Zinc - Remainder of Treatments received 30# Zn SO<sub>4</sub> Per Acre



Appendix Table No. 4

The Effect of Several Fertilizer Treatments on the Percentage Phosphorus Content of the Petioles(1) of Russet Burbank Potatoes

Eugene Frederick Farm, Terrebonne, Oregon - 1967

Fertilizer Application Pounds Per Acre			Percentage Phosphorus in Petiole (1) By Replicate				
N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K	I	II	III	IV	Average
150	0	0	.17	.21	.19	.22	.20
150	160	0	.38	.31	.41	.35	.36
150	0	75/150	.20	.19	.23	.26	.22
150	80	0	.29	.31	.29	.30	.30
150	160	0	.38	.33	.30	.31	.33
150	240	0	.42	.41	.37	.39	.40
150	160	75 (2x2)	.40	.33	.40	.37	.38
150	160	225 (2x2)	.29	.35	.33	.27	.31
150	160	450 (2x2)	.30	.27	.33	.26	.29
150	160	225 disc	.31	.36	.36	.31	.34
150	160	450 disc	.33	.33	.34	.32	.33
150	160	225 plow	.43	.29	.33	.26	.33
150	160	450 plow	.36	.42	.32	.36	.37
150	160	75/150	.34	.32	.37	.33	.34
150	160	75/375	.37	.34	.36	.31	.35
150	80/80	75/375	.35	.34	.32	.27	.32
150	160	75/150	.33	.30	.32	.35	.33
250	160	75/375	.40	.36	.37	.37	.38
250	240	75/375	.38	.27	.32	.33	.33
150	160	75/375	.38	.34	.38	.30	.35

(1) Sample taken late bud or early bloom

Appendix Table No. 5

The Effect of Several Fertilizer Treatments on the Percentage Potassium Content of the Petioles(1) of Russet Burbank Potatoes

Eugene Frederick Farm, Terrebonne, Oregon - 1967

Fertilizer Application Pounds Per Acre			Percentage Potassium in Petiole (1) By Replicate				
N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K	I	II	III	IV	Ave
150	0	0	9.00	9.50	9.70	12.20	10.10
150	160	0	7.10	8.90	7.90	9.10	8.25
150	0	75/150	10.60	9.50	10.70	11.70	10.63
150	80	0	6.90	7.50	8.50	10.10	8.25
150	160	0	6.90	6.20	6.30	9.20	7.15
150	240	0	5.70	6.00	6.40	8.40	6.63
150	160	75 (2x2)	8.50	8.50	9.50	9.70	9.05
150	160	225 (2x2)	9.70	10.50	10.90	10.70	10.45
150	160	450 (2x2)	9.00	10.70	11.60	8.50	9.95
150	160	225 disc	8.80	10.30	11.10	10.20	10.10
150	160	450 disc	10.30	11.20	10.70	12.00	11.05
150	160	225 plow	8.80	9.70	10.50	9.70	9.68
150	160	450 plow	9.70	10.00	11.00	10.70	10.35
150	160	75/150	9.70	10.20	11.00	11.60	10.63
150	160	75/375	10.30	10.90	10.60	12.20	11.00
150	80/80	75/375	11.00	10.20	11.40	11.00	10.90
150	160	75/150	10.50	10.30	10.70	12.00	10.88
250	160	75/375	11.20	10.30	11.50	12.00	11.25
250	240	75/375	10.00	10.30	10.70	10.00	10.25
150	160	75/375	10.50	11.00	10.90	11.20	10.90

(1) Sample taken late bud or early bloom

Appendix Table No. 6

The Effect of Several Fertilizer Treatments on the Percentage Calcium Content of the Petioles(1) of Russet Burbank Potatoes

Eugene Frederick Farm, Terrebonne, Oregon - 1967

Fertilizer Application Pounds Per Acre			Percentage Calcium in Petiole (1)				
N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K	By Replicate				Ave.
			I	II	III	IV	
150	0	0	.99	.97	1.13	.88	.99
150	160	0	1.13	1.04	1.04	1.18	1.10
150	0	75/150	.90	1.01	.88	.94	.93
150	80	0	1.04	1.08	1.18	1.11	1.10
150	160	0	1.13	1.18	1.20	1.08	1.15
150	240	0	1.28	1.13	1.20	1.08	1.17
150	160	75 (2x2)	1.01	.99	1.04	1.18	1.06
150	160	225 (2x2)	.99	1.04	1.11	1.13	1.07
150	160	450 (2x2)	.99	.99	.94	1.18	1.02
150	160	225 disc	1.13	1.08	1.13	1.13	1.12
150	160	450 disc	1.08	1.04	1.02	1.15	1.07
150	160	225 plow	.97	1.01	1.02	1.06	1.02
150	160	450 plow	1.08	.92	1.08	1.20	1.07
150	160	75/150	1.06	.94	.92	.90	.96
150	160	75/375	.90	.94	.99	1.04	.97
150	80/80	75/375	.83	.85	.85	1.15	.92
150	160	75/150	.92	.94	.99	.94	.95
250	160	75/375	.67	.81	.90	.90	.82
250	240	75/375	.92	.94	.94	.94	.94
150	160	75/375	.79	.88	.92	.99	.90

(1) Sample taken late bud or early bloom

Appendix Table No. 7

The Effect of Several Fertilizer Treatments on the Percentage Magnesium Content of the Petioles(1) of Russet Burbank Potatoes

Eugene Frederick Farm, Terrebonne, Oregon - 1967

Fertilizer Application Pounds Per Acre			Percentage Magnesium in Petiole (1) By Replicate				
N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K	I	II	III	IV	Ave.
150	0	0	.93	1.02	1.13	.66	.94
150	160	0	1.34	1.02	1.13	1.45	1.24
150	0	75/150	.79	.97	.71	.71	.80
150	80	0	1.44	1.44	1.10	1.04	1.26
150	160	0	1.54	1.67	1.45	1.08	1.44
150	240	0	1.82	1.39	1.60	1.31	1.53
150	160	75 (2x2)	1.27	1.35	1.20	1.14	1.24
150	160	225 (2x2)	1.12	.92	1.13	.92	1.02
150	160	450 (2x2)	.96	.88	.74	1.14	.93
150	160	225 disc	1.12	1.07	1.20	.97	1.09
150	160	450 disc	.92	.97	.94	.84	.92
150	160	225 plow	.97	1.07	.97	.88	.97
150	160	450 plow	.92	.84	.92	.94	.91
150	160	75/150	.99	.97	.74	.68	.85
150	160	75/375	.86	.80	.84	.86	.84
150	80/80	75/375	.76	.82	.75	.92	.81
150	160	75/150	.80	.80	.80	.66	.77
250	160	75/375	.57	.74	.71	.74	.69
250	240	75/375	.77	.77	.99	.74	.82
150	160	75/375	.68	.63	.77	.70	.70

(1) Sample taken late bud or early bloom

Appedix Table No. 8

The Effect of Several Fertilizer Treatments on the P.P.M.  
Zinc Content of the Petioles(1) of Russet Burbank Potatoes

Eugene Frederick Farm, Terrebonne, Oregon - 1967

Fertilizer Application Pounds Per Acre			P.P.M. Zinc in Petiole (1)				
N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K	By Replicate				Ave.
			I	II	III	IV	
150	0	0	52	44	32	52	45
150	160	0	44	36	38	28	37
150	0	75/150	64	50	38	54	52
150	80	0	60	58	50	38	52
150	160	0	34	28	14	30	27
150	240	0	38	28	22	22	28
150	160	75 (2x2)	72	50	46	44	53
150	160	225 (2x2)	78	86	78	40	71
150	160	450 (2x2)	76	42	38	50	52
150	160	225 disc	64	78	32	52	57
150	160	450 disc	44	46	36	56	46
150	160	225 plow	44	38	30	22	34
150	160	450 plow	64	44	44	44	49
150	160	75/150	54	50	60	32	49
150	160	75/375	60	50	60	44	54
150	80/80	75/375	46	62	62	50	55
150	160	75/150	54	46	60	50	53
250	160	75/375	60	60	64	78	66
250	240	75/375	52	40	68	36	49
150	160	75/375	36	20	44	26	32

(1) Sample taken late bud or early bloom

Appendix Table No. 9

The Effect of Several Fertilizer Treatments on the P.P.M.  
Manganese Content of the Petioles(1) of Russet Burbank Potatoes

Eugene Frederick Farm, Terrebonne, Oregon - 1967

Fertilizer Application Pounds Per Acre			P.P.M. Manganese in Petiole (1)				Ave.
N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K	I	II	III	IV	
150	0	0	64	50	76	62	63
150	160	0	78	54	62	64	65
150	0	75/150	150	128	74	92	111
150	80	0	98	82	64	78	81
150	160	0	68	74	68	88	75
150	240	0	82	72	74	60	72
150	160	75 (2x2)	170	104	104	96	119
150	160	225 (2x2)	212	180	190	90	168
150	160	450 (2x2)	200	92	82	156	133
150	160	225 disc	216	186	78	102	146
150	160	450 disc	116	124	88	92	105
150	160	225 plow	96	118	76	60	88
150	160	450 plow	156	114	126	102	125
150	160	75/150	150	114	108	76	112
150	160	75/375	160	130	100	88	120
150	80/80	75/375	116	112	106	82	104
150	160	75/150	102	144	96	116	115
250	160	75/375	100	116	134	176	132
250	240	75/375	100	82	142	68	98
150	160	75/375	78	82	68	82	78

(1) Sample taken late bud or early bloom

## Appendix Table No. 10

The Effect of Several Fertilizer Treatments on the P.P.M.  
Iron Content of the Petioles(1) of Russet Burbank Potatoes

Eugene Frederick Farm, Terrebonne, Oregon - 1967

Fertilizer Application Pounds Per Acre			P.P.M. Iron in Petiole (1)				
N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K	I	By II	Replicate III	IV	Ave.
150	0	0	374	144	338	144	250
150	160	0	144	156	186	132	155
150	160	0	192	156	156	150	164
150	160	75/150	156	168	192	108	156
150	160	75/375	192	174	168	138	168
150	160	75/150	246	204	204	216	218
250	160	75/375	228	144	204	228	201
250	240	75/375	204	240	132	144	180

(1) Sample taken late bud or early bloom