Soil Attributes in a Sierra Nevada Riparian Meadow as Influenced by Grazing
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Abstract

Data on the effects of livestock grazing on soil nutrient availability are virtually nonexistent for meadow systems. We measured the effect of livestock grazing on soil, emphasizing soil-solution chemistry, in a Sierra Nevada riparian meadow. Treatments were livestock exclusion (begun in 1989) and grazing to leave 1 000 kg·ha⁻¹ of vegetation. Ceramic tension lysimeters were placed in the treatments (2 replicates) by landscape position (stream edge, midfloodplain, and forest edge), and by depth (approximately 0.1, 0.6, and 1.2 m below the soil surface). Lysimeter water was extracted twice monthly in April, May, and June of 1990 through 1993, and cations and anions were quantified. In addition, KCl-extractable NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺; bicarbonate-extractable ortho-P; available Mn, Cu, Fe, and Zn; and root-length density (RLD) were quantified in soils by treatment, landscape position, and soil depth in July 1991 and September 1993. RLD was not affected by grazing. Significant (P ≤ 0.05) treatment effects were largely limited to the forest edge. The grazed treatment had greater lysimeter-extractable Na⁺, Ca⁺², Mg⁺², and NO₃⁻; higher pH; and less K⁺ and NH₄⁺ than the excluded treatment. Compared with corresponding excluded treatments, bicarbonate-extractable P was significantly greater on the grazed forest edge, and available Mn was significantly greater at the grazed stream-edge position in 1991. Extractable NO₃⁻ was significantly higher in the 0–25 cm depth increment of the grazed treatment, and available Zn was significantly greater on the grazed midfloodplain position in 1993. Grazing did not result in more anoxic soil conditions than the excluded treatment. Grazing effects were most pronounced at the forest edge, possibly as a result of spatial transfer of nutrients via cow urine and feces. Management goals to sustain high-elevation meadows should emphasize maintenance of high RLD to sequester soil nutrients.

Resumen

Virtualmente no existen datos del efecto del pastoreo animai en la disponibilidad de nutrientes del suelo en sistemas de praderas. Medimos el efecto del apacientamiento del ganadosobre el suelo, enfatizando en la química de la solución del suelo en una pradera ribereña de la Sierra Nevada. Los tratamientos fueron, exclusión al ganado (desde 1989) y apacientamiento hasta dejar 1 000 kg·ha⁻¹ de vegetación. En los tratamientos se colocaron lisímetros cerámicos de tensión (dos repeticiones) en cada posición del paisaje (orilla de cauce, cauce medio y limite del bosque) y por profundidad (aproximadamente 0.1, 0.6 y 1.2 m bajo la superficie). El agua del lisímetro se extraía dos veces por mes, en abril, mayo, y junio de 1990 a 1993 y se cuantificaron los aniones y cationes. Además, se cuantificó el KCl-extractable; NO₃⁻ y NH₄⁺; orto-P extractable con bicarbonato; Mn, Cu, Fe y Zn disponibles; y la densidad de la longitud raíz (RLD), estas determinaciones se realizaron por tratamiento, posición del paisaje y profundidad de suelo en julio 1991 y septiembre 1993. RLD no fue afectada por el apacientamiento. Los efectos significativos (P ≤ 0.05) de los tratamientos estuvieron muy limitados a la posición del paisaje de la orilla del bosque. En el tratamiento de apacientamiento la extracción de los lisímetros tuvo una mayor cantidad de Na⁺, Ca⁺², Mg⁺², y NO₃⁻; pH más alto; y menos K⁺ y NH₄⁺ que el tratamiento de exclusión al ganado. En 1991, comparado con los tratamientos de exclusión correspondientes, el P extraído con bicarbonato fue significativamente mayor en el limie del boque apacendado, y el Mn disponible fue significativamente mayor en la posición del cauce medio apacendada. En 1993, a la profundidad de 0–25 cm, los NO₃⁻ extractables fueron significativamente mayores en los tratamientos con apacientamiento, y el Zn disponible fue significativamente mayor en la posición del cauce medio apacendada. En comparación con los tratamientos de exclusión, el apacientamiento no produjo condiciones de suelo más anóxicas. Los efectos del apacientamiento fueron más notificados en la posición de la orilla del bosque, posiblemente como resultado de una transferencia espacial de nutrientes vía orina y heces de las vacas. Las metas de manejo para sostener las praderas de alta elevación debe enfatizar el mantenimiento RLD para que puedan tomar los nutrientes del suelo.
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INTRODUCTION

Although relatively small in areal extent, riparian areas in the western United States are known for their high biotic diversity, water storage capacity, and primary productivity (Warner and Hendrix 1984). Riparian areas are also critically important as a biogeochemical buffer between uplands and streams (Lowrance
Table 1. Pedon descriptions and selected soil properties from 3 floodplain positions of the Grizzly Creek meadow, north of Portola, California.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Horizon</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>CEC (cmol [-] kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Base saturation (%)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Organic carbon (g·kg⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stream edge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oa</td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>silty clay loam</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>10–28</td>
<td>silty clay loam</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>28–38</td>
<td>silty clay</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bw</td>
<td>38–61</td>
<td>silty clay</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Cg</td>
<td>61–79</td>
<td>silt loam</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Btg</td>
<td>79–99</td>
<td>silty clay</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Cg²</td>
<td>99–112+</td>
<td>silty clay loam</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midfloodplain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oa</td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>silt loam</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>clay</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>20–28</td>
<td>clay</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bw</td>
<td>28–46</td>
<td>silty clay</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>46–58</td>
<td>silty clay loam</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Btg</td>
<td>58–81</td>
<td>silty clay</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Cg²</td>
<td>81–107+</td>
<td>silty clay</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest edge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>sandy clay loam</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>10–23</td>
<td>sandy clay loam</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt1</td>
<td>23–41</td>
<td>sandy clay loam</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt2</td>
<td>41–66</td>
<td>loam</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Btb</td>
<td>66–97</td>
<td>clay loam</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Cg²</td>
<td>97+</td>
<td>silty clay</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1CEC indicates cation exchange capacity; nd, not determined.

2Has a varved (layered) depositional structure with occasional coarse strata.

et al. 1984; Jacobs and Gilliam 1985; Johnston et al. 1990; Jordan et al. 1993; Pearce et al. 1998; Corley et al. 1999). The impact of grazing livestock on riparian ecosystems has caused considerable concern. Research addressing these concerns has been multifaceted but is centered on grazing-induced vegetation changes, decline in soil health, loss of biotic diversity, loss of fisheries habitat, reduced stream water quality, and changes in stream hydrology [Johnson et al. 1978; Lege et al. 1981; Platt 1981; Kaufman and Krueger 1984; Bohn and Buckhouse 1985; Siebert et al. 1985; Stuber 1985; Schultz and Leininger 1990; Popolizio et al. 1994; Belsky et al. 1999; Flenniken et al. 2001].

Although several studies have evaluated the effect of livestock grazing on nutrient runoff (Trlica et al. 2000; McEldowney et al. 2002), we were unable to find any studies that have examined the influence of livestock grazing or livestock exclusion on the chemistry of the soil solution. Moreover, few studies have addressed the influence of livestock grazing or exclusion on areal distribution of soil chemical properties in such spatially diverse habitats as riparian areas. We believe such a study is warranted given the potential impacts livestock grazing can impart to the soil system. Compaction and reduced redox potentials can increase solubility of Fe and Mn (Lindsay 1979; Clary 1995). Denitrification rates can rise with increased soil compaction leading to potential loss of N capital (Tillman and Ball 1999). Grazing can stimulate N mineralization, which, depending on other factors, can lead to ecosystem N loss or retention (Seagle et al. 1992; Douglas et al. 2000). Our purpose was to measure the influence of livestock (cattle) grazing exclusion in a montane meadow on nutrient availability, emphasizing the chemistry of the soil solution, as related to floodplain position, year, month, and soil depth. Our working hypothesis was that livestock grazing would not affect the chemistry of the soil solution relative to ungrazed controls.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site Characteristics

This study is part of a larger collaboration on montane meadow grazing nutrition (Huber et al. 1995), native plant ecophysiology (Svecjar and Riegel 1998), and soil genesis and morphology (Blank et al. 1995). The study area is along the upper reach of Grizzly Creek, a tributary to Lake Davis and the Feather River, north of Portola, California (lat 39°55′22.5″N, long 120°37′59.1″W). Grizzly Creek is about 1 m in width, and the associated meadow ranges from about 150 to 500 m in width. The surrounding uplands are forested by lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta) Loudon sp. murrayana [Grev. & Balf.] Critchf., white fir (Abies concolor [Gordon & Glend.] Lindley), and Jeffrey pine (Pinus jeffreyi Grev. & Balf.). The dominant vegetation on the meadow consists of Kentucky bluegrass (Poa pratensis L.), baltic rush (Juncus balticus Willd.), Nebraska sedge (Carex nebrascensis Dewey), and tufted-hairgrass (Deschampsia caespitosa [L.] Beauv.). The species composition changes systematically from stream edge to forest boundary; Nebraska sedge dominates very poorly drained areas along the stream, other graminoids increase in poorly drained midfloodplain positions, and forbs increase in the better-drained floodplain–upland interface.

Site elevation is approximately 1 800 m. At Three Mile Valley, approximately 5 miles south of the study site, average annual precipitation is 890 mm (= ± 340 SD), with the following amounts during the study period: 1989 = 1 300, 1990 = 400, 1991 = 600, 1992 = 560, and 1993 = 940. The soil temperature regime is frigid, and even during the summer months, early morning temperatures can be below freezing. The floodplain of Grizzly Creek, extending through Lake Davis, is mapped as Quaternary lacustrine deposits (Burnett and Jennings 1962). The geology of the surrounding area is complex. Adjacent uplands are mapped as Miocene, Oligocene, and Pliocene pyroclastics. Minor associated rock types include Miocene andesite and Mesozoic granodiorite. The upper reaches of Grizzly Creek are mapped as Paleozoic marine limestone and dolomite.

The meadow landscape has a complex pedogenic history (Blank et al. 1995). Soils are characterized by high organic surface layers overlying cambic horizons (Table 1). Over most of the meadow, the cambic horizons overlie lake-laid tephra layers. Beneath the tephra, there are high clay paleosol Bt horizons, which grade into gleyed and mottled lacustrine sediments. The soils are classified as Typt Humaquepts.

Treatments

Before establishment of the experimental pastures, the study site was 1 pasture of a 3-pasture rotational grazing system and
was grazed for approximately 2 weeks in each month of June, July, and August (Huber et al. 1995). In 1989, 9 pastures of 0.5-ha each, roughly containing equal proportions of stream-edge, midflodplain, and forest-edge vegetation communities, were fenced. Pastures were arranged as a randomized complete-block design with 3 treatments: no grazing (control); grazed to leave 1500 kg·ha⁻¹ (low); and grazed to leave 1000 kg·ha⁻¹ (moderate); this study used only control and moderately grazed plots. Nine 0.1-m² plots per pasture were clipped to determine use. Grazing treatments were short-term (1-14 days) at a high stocking density that achieved the study objectives. Treatments were initiated in 1990 and continued through 1993. Each year, turnoff of cattle occurred when P. pratensis L. was in mid-bloom, which varied from late May to mid-July, depending on the depth of winter snow pack. In 1990 and 1991, treatments were applied using 30 cow-calf pairs; in 1990, paddocks were grazed for a 24-hour period on 9-10 July; in 1991, paddocks were grazed for 36 hours on 14-15 July. In 1992 and 1993, grazing was done by 14 steers: in 1992, from 22 May to 18 June; and in 1993, from 11 July to 11 August. Greater treatment details can be found in Huber et al. (1995).

Lysimeter Installation and Sampling

Lysimeters were constructed using 76-mm-diameter, 6.3-mm-thick, porous ceramic plates with 10-µm pore diameter (Coors Ceramics, Golden, CO). Plates were placed into machined nylon receptacles and epoxied. Teflon tubing was threaded into a reservoir below the lysimeter plate to extract soil water. Lysimeters were installed in 2 control (excluded) and 2 moderately grazed paddocks at 3 landscape positions: forest edge, midflodplain, and stream edge (see Table 1). Stream-edge lysimeters were placed on the floodplain approximately 1 m from the channel edge. Midflodplain lysimeters were located equidistant between the stream channel and the forest boundary in an area dominated by C. nebrascensis. Forest-edge lysimeters were located about 10 m from the forest boundary in an area dominated by J. balticus and forbs. Lysimeters were placed at 3 depths in the midflodplain and forest-edge locations: at the bottom of the A horizon, directly atop the paleosol 3Btg (midflodplain) and 2Bt (forest edge) horizons, and in the gleyed 3Cg (midflodplain) and 2Cg (forest edge) horizons at a depth of 1.2 m. At the stream-edge location, lysimeters were placed at the bottom of the A horizon and at 0.6 m in gley and mottled Cg horizon. The stream-edge lysimeters were located closer to the stream than the soil described in Table 1, thus the difference in stratigraphy. In placing the lysimeters, we carefully excavated the soil so as to cover the lysimeter with similar stratigraphy. The 3 depths were all within one-half-meter horizontal distance of each other, and tubing was run beneath the ground to a central polyvinyl chloride (PVC) access tube. Lysimeters were installed August 1989, and sampling began in December 1989. Lysimeter water was extracted using a hand vacuum pump, with the first few milliliters discarded. Because we did not pump the lysimeter for extended lengths of time, the soils were saturated or near saturation. Lysimeters were sampled periodically in 1990, 1991, 1992, and 1993. In most years, the soil was too dry to allow sampling of soil water after June. For this reason, we will report on lysimeter chemistry during months of April, May, and June, the months when most plant growth occurred during the study period.

Bulk Soil Attributes

In July 1991 and September 1993, bulk soils were sampled using a 7-cm-diameter bucket auger in the same control and moderately grazed paddocks in which lysimeters were installed. Samples were collected at stream-edge, midflodplain, and forest-edge positions. In 1991, depths were 0-20, 20-40, and 40-60 cm; in 1993, depths were 0-25 and 25-50 cm. Samples were air-dried in a glasshouse and lightly crushed to pass through a 2-mm sieve, homogenized, and stored in paper cartons until analyzed. Additional augured cores (3 replicates from each paddock, floodplain position, and depth) were taken in 1993 for root-length density (RLD). Homogenized subsamples were dispersed in hexametaphosphate, and roots were separated from the soil using a hydronetric elutriation (Gilson's Inc., Benzenia, MI). The length of fine roots was measured with a root-length scanner (Commonwealth Aircraft Corp., Melbourne, Australia). To remove the effect of debris and dead roots, a subsample of fine roots was manually counted for live roots and debris plus dead-root intersections using a ×10-power binocular microscope. RLD was calculated from the total live-root length of the sample and the volume of soil that the roots occupied.

Soil electromotive potentials (redox) were quantified using 15-mm platinum wire, soldered on steel welding rods (Mueller et al. 1983). Two electrodes were placed between a PVC salt bridge to facilitate measurements under dry conditions. Potentials were read with portable millivolt meter. Electrodes were placed at 2.5, 20, and 50 cm from the stream (stream edge, midflodplain, and forest edge, respectively) in grazed and control treatments at a depth of 0.4 m. The electrodes were read weekly throughout the growing season in 1990 and 1991.

Laboratory

Lysimeter samples were taken to the laboratory and kept refrigerated between analyses; all analyses were completed within 3 days of collection. Inorganic anions (F⁻, Cl⁻, NO₂⁻, NO₃⁻, and SO₄²⁻) and cations (Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺) were filtered through 0.22-µm nylon membranes and then quantified by ion chromatography ( Dionex Corp., Sunnyvale, CA). Soil pH was measured with a glass electrode. Ortho-P was quantified by flow injection using molybdenum blue chemistry. Diethylentriaminepentaacetate (DTPA, a metal chelator) extraction used the Lindsay and Norvell (1978) method, with extracted Fe, Mn, Cu, and Zn quantified by atomic absorption spectroscopy. Bicarbonate-extractable ortho-P was determined by the method outlined by Olsen and Sommers (1982), with quantification by flow injection using molybdenum blue chemistry. To extract NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺, 30 mL of 0.5 M KCl solution was added to 10 g of soil and shaken for 30 minutes. Nitrate was quantified by ion chromatography and NH₄⁺ by membrane-diffusion flow injection.

Statistical Analyses

The experimental design was a randomized complete block. A variety of unbalanced factors for the lysimeter part of the
experiment necessitated performing multiple analyses (SAS 1988). For lysimeter water collected above paleosol Btgb and 2Btgb horizons, a 4-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) design was used, including treatment (grazed and excluded) and position (midflodplain and forest edge), with repeated measures over years (1990, 1991, 1992, 1993), and months (April, May, and June). Lysimeter water collected in A and Cg horizons were evaluated with a 3-way ANOVA (preliminary analyses indicated year was not a significant factor), including treatment (grazed and excluded) and position (stream edge, midflodplain, and forest edge), with repeated measures on months (April, May, and June). Duncan's New Multiple Range test was used as the multiple-comparison test for either an interaction or main effect when significant ($P \leq 0.05$) ANOVA $P$ test results were obtained. For the bulk soil attributes measured, a 3-way, completely randomized ANOVA (treatment, position, and depth) was used. For a significant $F$ test, Fisher's Protected LSD was used to separate means.

**RESULTS**

**Lysimeter Data**

For lysimeter-extractable solutes obtained from A horizons, concentrations of $\text{NH}_4^+$ and $\text{NO}_3^-$ were influenced by significant treatment-by-landscape interactions. For the forest-edge position, grazing resulted in significantly lower $\text{NH}_4^+$ (Fig. 1A) and significantly higher $\text{NO}_3^-$ (Fig. 1B) than the corresponding excluded treatment. Overall, month of sampling had a large impact on many nutrients in lysimeter extracts, as illustrated by $\text{K}^+$ (Fig. 1C).

For lysimeters placed above the paleosol Bt horizons (forest-edge and midflodplain positions), solution $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, $\text{K}^+$, $\text{Ca}^{2+}$, $\text{Na}^+$, and pH all had significant treatment-by-landscape interactions. Grazed midflodplain sites had significantly higher $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ than corresponding livestock-excluded values (Fig. 1D). In the midflodplain position, $\text{K}^+$ was higher in the grazed treatment, whereas for the forest-edge position, $\text{K}^+$ was higher on the excluded treatment (Fig. 1E). For the forest-edge lysimeters, the excluded treatment had significantly less $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ (Fig. 1F) and $\text{Na}^+$ (Fig. 1G) than corresponding values for the grazed treatment. Solution pH was significantly higher on the grazed treatment but only for the forest-edge position (Fig. 1H).

For lysimeters placed in Cg horizons, there was a significant treatment-by-landscape interaction for $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\text{Ca}^{2+}$. At the forest-edge position, the grazed treatment had significantly more $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ (Fig. 1I) and $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ (Fig. 1J) than the excluded treatment.

**Bulk Soil Attributes**

For soil measurements taken in July 1991, grazing had no effect on total soil C, total soil N, or soil C:N. The grazed treatment had significantly more bicarbonate-extractable ortho-P than the excluded treatment but only for the forest-edge position (Fig. 2A). For the same collection time, KCl-extractable $\text{NO}_3^-$ and $\text{NH}_4^+$ were very low, averaging 2.0 mg kg$^{-1}$ for $\text{NO}_3^-$ and 4.0 mg kg$^{-1}$ for $\text{NH}_4^+$, with no significant treatment differences. DTPA-extractable Mn was significantly higher on the grazed treatment, but only at the stream-edge position, and overall DTPA Mn was much higher at stream-edge positions (Fig. 2B). There was a trend toward higher DTPA-extractable Fe on grazed areas, which was most pronounced in the 20–30 cm soil depth increment (Fig. 2C).

For soil measurements taken in September 1993, there were no treatment (main or interaction) effects for bicarbonate-extractable ortho-P. Potassium chloride-extractable $\text{NO}_3^-$ was significantly higher in the 0–25 cm depth increment of the grazed treatment compared with the corresponding excluded treatment (Fig. 2D). DTPA-extractable Zn was significantly lower in the grazed treatment but only at the midflodplain position (Fig. 2E). There were no significant treatment effects, irregardless of landscape position, for DTPA-extractable Mn (Fig. 2F).

There was considerable variation among replicates for soil redox status (Fig. 3). In 1990, the grazed forest-edge treatment had higher redox status from June through early July than the excluded forest-edge treatment. Similarly, from late May through early July 1991, the grazed stream-edge position had higher redox values than the excluded stream-edge position.

RLD was not significantly influenced by treatment (Fig. 4). All stream positions had similar RLDs in the 0–25 cm depth increment; however, the decline in RLD from the 0–25 cm to 25–50 cm depth increment was much higher from midflodplain and forest-edge positions.

**DISCUSSION**

Grazing treatments affected soil-solution chemistry, thus forcing rejection of our working hypothesis. Treatment differences in lysimeter-extractable solutes generally interacted with landscape position. Most grazing treatment effects occurred at the forest edge. Year and month of sampling did not significantly interact with treatment for any measured solute in lysimeter extracts. Moreover, livestock grazing did affect the bicarbonate-extractable pool of P, the DTPA-extractable pool of micro-nutrients, and the N availability, but the significance depended on the time of sampling. An explanation for these findings should account for the influence of livestock grazing on 1) landscape redistribution of elements via urine and feces; 2) compensatory plant growth; 3) the soil microbial community; 4) soil compaction; and 5) litter accumulation and its effect on soil temperature and soil mineralization.

Landscape redistribution of nutrients via grazing may partially explain elevated $\text{Ca}^{2+}$, $\text{Mg}^{2+}$, and $\text{Na}^+$ in the middle lysimeters and higher $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ in the deep lysimeters. The research of Huber et al. (1995), conducted concurrently with our study in 1992 and 1993, indicated that the majority of livestock grazing time was spent in meadow and stream-edge positions because of higher forage production and a greater relative abundance of highly palatable C. nebrascensis. However, the forest-edge position, especially in 1993, received a greater proportion of loafing use (loafing is the sum of lying, watering, and standing behavior) (Huber et al. 1995). Although fecal or urine output was not quantified by landscape position, the higher loafing time spent at the forest-edge suggests that there may be a transfer of chemical elements from stream-edge and midflodplain positions to the forest edge. Cattle had access in the paddocks to trace-mineral salts placed along the forest edge with composition of the following by weight.
Figure 1. Influence of grazing and exclusion treatments on selected ionic species in lysimeter-extractable water. Bars are 1 SE. Data from panels are from the following lysimeter depths: A–C, A horizon. D–H, Top of paleosol Bt horizon. I–J, Cg horizon. For each panel, significant treatment differences for the specific floodplain location are denoted by different letters.

(%) NaCl 97–99; Zn 0.85; Mn 0.22; Fe 0.21; Mg 0.10; Cu 0.30; I 0.01; and Co 0.006 (Huber et al. 1995). The high content of Na in the salt blocks may be responsible for the higher content of Na⁺ in the middle lysimeters of the grazed forest-edge position.

Lower NH₄⁺ and K⁺ concentrations in lysimeter water from forest-edge grazed positions when compared with the excluded treatment, may be explained by stimulated plant growth in response to livestock grazing (McNaughton 1983; Boyd and Svejcar 2004). Greater plant growth in grazed treatments
increases nutrient uptake and lowers lysimeter concentrations (Smit et al. 2002). Grazing increased lysimeter extractable  \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) and  \( \text{NO}_3^- \) and increased KCl-extractable  \( \text{NO}_3^- \) in comparison with corresponding grazing-excluded treatments. N mineralization (Russ and McNaughton 1987; Hearne and Howard-Williams 1985) and N and S cleaving-enzyme activity (Haynes and Williams 1999) may be stimulated by grazing. Elevated mineralization rates of N and S were apparently not balanced by higher root uptake of  \( \text{NO}_3^- \) or  \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \).

Soil measurements indicated that DTPA-extractable Mn and Fe were higher on the grazing treatment. Trampling action by livestock can compact soil and increase the soil’s bulk density (Orr 1960; Laycock and Conrad 1967; Wheeler et al. 2002). Soil compaction can decrease soil aeration and increase the solubility of Mn and Fe (Lindsay 1979). Overall, there is no indication from our data that grazing caused a decrease in soil aeration relative to the excluded treatment. Soil redox measurements, however, showed that the grazed paddocks had higher aeration status than the control paddocks early in the season, which would argue for less, not more, Mn and Fe availability. We suspect that this grazing-induced increase in Mn and Fe availability may be the result of increased plant growth and elevated exudation of metal chelators (Fan et al. 2001).

Vegetation removal by livestock can increase soil temperatures (Chandler 1940). A potential effect of higher soil temperatures would be greater soil faunal activity, culminating in greater mineralization rates (Alexander 1977). Higher \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) and \( \text{NO}_3^- \) concentration in the middle lysimeters of the grazed midfloodplain position and higher KCl-extractable \( \text{NO}_3^- \) in the 0–25 cm depth increment of the grazed treatment may be partially explained in this manner.

A major mechanism of change once livestock are excluded is the accumulation of plant litter (Popolizio et al. 1994; Huber et al. 1993). Increased amounts of vegetation and litter forestall rising soil temperatures, thereby delaying plant phenological development and lowering early season mineralization rates (Sharlow and Wright 1977; Kauffman et al. 1983). This factor may explain the lower lysimeter-extractable \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) on the grazing-excluded treatment.

The observed treatment differences may occur via mechanisms that are highly complex and little studied in riparian ecosystems. Soil mesofaunal and macrofaunal activity greatly influence the rate of litter decomposition and nutrient cycling (Coleman and Crossley 1995). Treatment differences in the numbers and species composition of such organisms may differentially influence nutrient mineralization rates. For some
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Figure 2. Selected soil attributes, measured in 1991 and 1993, showing relationships between grazed and excluded treatments. Bars are 1 SE. For each panel, significant treatment differences for a specific floodplain location or depth are denoted by different letters.
plant species and communities, grazing can reduce root weight and root exploration (Klepper 1991). If livestock grazing causes root systems to explore less soil or not extend to as great a depth, reduced plant nutrient uptake and reduced vertical transfer of elements, when compared with ungrazed systems, could result. In the period of our study, however, there were no significant grazing effects on RLD. Our results confirm the findings of Manning et al. (1989) and Dwire et al. (2004) that meadow communities have very high RLDs (root biomass), which may explain the relatively low levels of available nutrients and high organic matter content of the riparian soil we studied.

Grazing led to an increase in the bicarbonate pool of extractable P only in the forest-edge position, and two factors may be involved. First, livestock manure can contain appreciable P (Moore and Wilrich 1982), and grazing can concentrate P via animal watering areas and resting areas (West et al. 1989). We hypothesize that hoofing time spent near the forest edge (Huber et al. 1995) can increase pools of soil P via transfer from stream-edge and midfloodplain positions. Second, increased aboveground plant growth in response to herbivory may be involved. Elevated growth may stimulate root exudation of organic acids, which might release P chemisorbed on metal oxides (Shen et al. 2002).

A plausible mechanism to explain grazing-induced increases in soil pH at the forest-edge location involves elevated de-
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