

# Incorporating Seeds in Activated Carbon Pellets Limits Herbicide Effects to Seeded Bunchgrasses When Controlling Exotic Annuals

Author(s): K.W. Davies Source: Rangeland Ecology & Management, 71(3):323-326. Published By: Society for Range Management URL: <u>http://www.bioone.org/doi/full/10.1016/j.rama.2017.12.010</u>

BioOne (<u>www.bioone.org</u>) is a nonprofit, online aggregation of core research in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. BioOne provides a sustainable online platform for over 170 journals and books published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Web site, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <a href="https://www.bioone.org/page/terms\_of\_use">www.bioone.org/page/terms\_of\_use</a>.

Usage of BioOne content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





# Rangeland Ecology & Management

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/rama

# Incorporating Seeds in Activated Carbon Pellets Limits Herbicide Effects to Seeded Bunchgrasses When Controlling Exotic Annuals<sup>☆</sup>



# K.W. Davies \*

Rangeland Scientist, US Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Service

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 October 2017 Received in revised form 5 December 2017 Accepted 18 December 2017

Key Words: annual grass cheatgrass herbicide protection pods medusahead restoration seed enhancement technology

# ABSTRACT

Revegetation of exotic annual grass — invaded rangeland with preemergent herbicides is challenging because seeding is delayed until herbicide toxicity has diminished, but at this time, exotic annuals can be reinvading. Incorporating seeds into activated carbon pellets may allow seeding to occur at the same time as exotic annuals are controlled with a preemergent herbicide because activated carbon can neutralize the herbicide in the microsite around seeds. I evaluated using activated carbon pellets with six species seeded at the same time imazapic was applied to control exotic annual grasses at two sites. Two of the six species establish enough at one site to evaluate the effects of pellets. These two bunchgrasses had greater density and growth (height, leaf length, number of stems and leaves) when incorporated into activated carbon pellets compared with seeded as bare seed. This demonstrates activated carbon pellets can be used to protect seeded bunchgrasses from imazapic applied to control exotic annuals.

Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The Society for Range Management.

# Introduction

Exotic annual grasses are one of the prevailing threats to the sagebrush ecosystem (Davies et al., 2011). Exotic annual grasses in the sagebrush steppe, especially cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum* L.) and medusahead (*Taeniatherum caput-medusae* [L.] Nevski), increase fine fuel continuity and biomass and dry out earlier than native vegetation (D'Antonio and Vitousek, 1992; Davies and Nafus, 2013). These alterations to fuel characteristics can promote frequent wildfires that are detrimental to native perennial vegetation and thereby develop an annual grass-fire cycle (D'Antonio and Vitousek, 1992). Exotic annual grasses are also competitive with native vegetation, especially with perennial bunchgrass seedlings (Clausnitzer et al., 1999; Vasquez et al., 2008). Subsequently, invasion by exotic annual grasses decrease biodiversity, degrade habitat for sagebrushassociated species, and reduce quality and reliability of livestock forage (Davies, 2011; Davies et al., 2011).

Revegetation of exotic annual grass – invaded sagebrush steppe with perennial vegetation is needed to restore ecosystem services,

E-mail address: kirk.davies@ars.usda.gov.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rama.2017.12.010

1550-7424/Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The Society for Range Management.

decrease the risk of frequent fires, and provide reliable forage. Exotic annual grasses need to be controlled to allow perennial vegetation to establish. Preemergent herbicides are commonly used to control exotic annual grasses (Monaco et al., 2005; Kyser et al., 2007, 2013). One commonly used preemergent herbicide to control exotic annual grasses in the sagebrush steppe is imazapic. Imazapic can provide effective control of exotic annual grasses (Sheley et al., 2007; Davies and Sheley, 2011; Kyser et al., 2013). Seeding of perennial vegetation often occurs a year after the application of preemergent herbicide to limit herbicide damage to seeded species (Davies et al., 2014). However, exotic annual grasses may be reinvading treated areas at this time and thereby reducing the likelihood that seeded vegetation will establish.

Incorporating seeds into pellets containing activated carbon (also called herbicide protection pods [HHPs]; Madsen et al., 2014; Davies et al., 2017) may be a method to allow vegetation to be seeded at the same time preemergent herbicides control exotic annuals. Activated carbon can neutralize herbicides because of its high adsorption capacity (Bovey and Miller, 1969; Coffey and Warren, 1969). Activated carbon pellets are made by incorporating activated carbon, seeds, and other materials into a dough mixture that is extruded through a die, cut to desired length, and dried (Madsen et al., 2014). Activated carbon pellets protected a seeded native and introduced bunchgrass from imazapic damage in a grow-room study (Madsen et al., 2014) and a field study (Davies et al., 2017), respectively. Activated carbon pellets may increase the likelihood of revegetation success of annual grass – invaded rangelands but need further evaluation, especially in the field with more revegetation species and with a greater imazapic application rate.

<sup>\*</sup> Mention of a proprietary product does not constitute a guarantee or warranty of the product by US Dept of Agriculture or the author and does not imply its approval to the exclusion of the other products that also may be suitable.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: K. W. Davies, 67826-A Hwy 205, Burns, OR 97720, USA. Tel.: + 1-541-573-4074.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the ability of activated carbon pellets to protect several common revegetation species from imazapic damage. I hypothesized that density and growth of seeded species would be greater when incorporated into activated carbon pellets compared with bare seed when seeded at the same time imazapic was applied to control exotic annual grasses.

## Methods

# Study Sites

This study was conducted at two annual grass-invaded sites (Coleman Creek and Butte) in eastern Oregon. Climate of study sites is characteristic of the northern Great Basin with cool wet winters and hot dry summers. Both sites were formerly Wyoming big sagebrush (Artemisia tridentata Nutt. subsp. wyomingensis Beetle & A. Young)bunchgrass plant communities but have been invaded and dominated by exotic annual grasses. Coleman Creek is 67 km southeast of Burns, Oregon at 1 045 m above sea level. This site is relatively flat (<1° slope) with clay loam soil. Coleman Creek is an SR Clayey 9-12 PZ Ecological Site (R010XC0210R). Butte is 56 km west of Burns, Oregon at 1 464 m above sea level. This site is a south aspect with 9° slope and loam soil. Butte is a Droughty Loam 11-13 PZ Ecological Site (R023XY316OR). Long-term (1981–2010) average annual precipitation was 262 and 264 mm at Coleman Creek and Butte, respectively (PRISM, 2017). Medusahead and cheatgrass were the dominate vegetation at Coleman Creek and Butte, respectively. Precipitation was above average for both sites in the fall and winter, but from May through July, Butte and Coleman Creek only received 57% and 48% of their average for these months (PRISM, 2017). Sites were fenced to excluded livestock and wildlife during the experiment.

#### Experimental Design and Measurements

The study was implemented at two sites, and each treatment was replicated four times at each site for each seeded species in a randomized block design. Treatments were seeds incorporated into activated carbon pellets (AC) or bare seed (Bare) followed with an imazapic application. These treatments were evaluated with bottlebrush squirreltail (Elymus elymoides [Raf.] Swezey), bluebunch wheatgrass (Pseudoroegneria spicata [Pursh] Á. Löve), Sandberg bluegrass (Poa secunda J. Presl), Siberian wheatgrass (Agropyron fragile [Roth] P. Candargy), Wyoming big sagebrush, and forage kochia (Bassia prostrata [L.] A. J. Scott). Each treatment • species combination was randomly assigned to a 3-m drill row with 0.5 m between rows. Drill rows were hand planted to ensure optimal planting depth for each species. Species were seeded at 300 pure live seeds per m. The formulation for the activated carbon pellets was 42% Ca Bentonite, 34% activated carbon, 4% worm castings, 12% compost, 4% superabsorbent powder, 1.6% superabsorbent fine granules, and 2.4% seed by dry weight. Pellets contained on average 6 - 10 PLS. Dry materials were mixed, and then a dough was created by incorporating liquid Selvol-205 prepared with a 1% solid content. Dough was pushed through a pasta extruder (Model TR110, Rosito Bisani, Los Angeles, CA) with an 8-mm diameter circular die. As dough exited the die, it was cut into 16-mm lengths, producing 8  $\times$  8  $\times$ 16 mm pellets.

To prepare the study sites for seeding, annual grass was controlled on 4 and 9 November 2016 with glyphosate (Pronto Big 'n' Tuf, PBI Gordon) applied at a rate of 840 g ae·ha<sup>-1</sup> with an adjuvant surfactant (R-11 Spreader-Activator, Wilber-Ellis, San Francisco, CA) at Butte and Coleman Creek, respectively. Glyphosate was applied with a manual pump backpack sprayer (Solo, Newport News, VA) with a tank pressure of 138 kPa. During application, temperature was 19°C and 20°C, relative humidity was 18% and 26%, and average wind speed was 4 km·hr<sup>-1</sup> and 3 km·hr<sup>-1</sup> at Coleman Creek and Butte, respectively. After snow melt, bare seed and pellets were seeded on 14 and 16 March 2017 at Coleman Creek and Butte, respectively. Within 24 hours of seeding, imazapic (Panoramic 2 SL, Alligare, Opelika, AL) was applied at 175 g ae·ha<sup>-1</sup> using a manual pump backpack sprayer (Solo, Newport News, VA) with a tank pressure of 138 kPa. During imazapic application, temperature was 17°C and 10°C, relative humidity was 58% and 36%, and average wind speed was 2 km·hr<sup>-1</sup> and 3 km·hr<sup>-1</sup> at Coleman Creek and Butte, respectively.

Density of seeded species was determined by counting all seedlings in drill rows in early August 2017. Plant height, leaf length, culms per plant, and leaves per plant were also measured in August 2017 on 10 randomly selected seedlings in each treatment replication. When fewer than 10 seedlings were in a treatment replication, all seedlings were measured. Exotic annual grass cover and density were measured by randomly placing two 0.2-m<sup>2</sup> quadrats in each treatment replication and adjacent untreated areas. Cover was visually estimated in quadrants, and density was determined by counting all individuals rooted in quadrants. Control was determined by comparing treated with untreated areas.

#### Statistical Analyses

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare seeds incorporated into activated carbon pellets with seeding bare seed when imazapic was applied to control exotic annuals (TIMCO Spotfire + v. 8.2). Data were analyzed individually by species and by site. Means were considered different if *P* values were  $\leq$  0.05 and reported with standard errors (mean + standard error). Data that violated assumptions of ANOVA were square root transformed. All data reported were original data (i.e., nontransformed).


# Results

Exotic annual grass control was 100% in treated plots at both study sites. At Coleman Creek, all seeded species failed to establish (i.e., seedlings failed to survive until sampling date) and thus the following results only apply to Butte. Squirreltail and Siberian wheatgrass densities were greater in the AC treatment compared with the Bare treatment (P = 0.030 and 0.049, respectively). Density of squirreltail was  $24.4 \pm 9.7 \cdot m^{-1}$  and  $0.0 \pm 0.0 \cdot m^{-1}$  in the AC and Bare treatment, respectively. Siberian wheatgrass density was  $4.4 \pm 1.3 \cdot m^{-1}$  and  $1.7 \pm 0.6 \cdot m^{-1}$  in the AC and Bare treatment, respectively. Bluebunch wheatgrass, Sandberg bluegrass, Wyoming big sagebrush, and forage kochia failed to establish any individuals in most plots, and thus their densities did not differ between treatments (P > 0.10).

Squirreltail was on average 5 cm tall in the AC treatment, while it was not present in the Bare treatment (Fig. 1A). Siberian wheatgrass height varied by treatment (see Fig. 1A; P = 0.018). Siberian wheatgrass was almost three times taller in the AC compared with the Bare treatment. Siberian wheatgrass leaf lengths varied by treatment (Fig. 1B; P = 0.014). Siberian wheatgrass leaves in the AC treatment were 300% longer than in the Bare treatment. Squirreltail stem density was approximately three stems per plant in the AC treatment (Fig. 2A). Siberian wheatgrass stem density per plant was four times greater in the AC compared with the Bare treatment (see Fig. 2A; P = 0.039). Leaf density of Siberian wheatgrass was three times greater in the AC compared with the Bare treatment (Fig. 2B; P = 0.050). Height, leaf length, stem density, and leaf density of bluebunch wheatgrass, Sandberg bluegrass, Wyoming big sagebrush, and forage kochia were not compared between treatments as these species generally failed to establish any individuals in most plots.

# Discussion

Activated carbon pellets show promise to decrease preemergent herbicide effects on seeded species, thereby allowing these species to be seeded at the same time exotic annuals are controlled. This research and prior research (Madsen et al., 2014; Davies et al., 2017)




**Figure 1. A,** Plant height and **B**, leaf length of bottlebrush squirreltail and Siberian wheatgrass (mean + S.E.) seeded as seed incorporated in activated carbon pellets (AC) or as bare seed (Bare) at the same time imazapic was applied to control exotic annual grasses at the Butte Site. Asterisks (\*) indicate difference between treatments ( $P \le 0.05$ ); however, squirreltail characteristics were not compared between treatments because they were not present in the Bare treatment.

demonstrate that bunchgrasses can be protected from imazapic application when incorporated into activated carbon pellets. Shrubs failed to establish in either treatment, so I was not able to determine if shrubs could be used with activated carbon pellets.

Squirreltail and Siberian wheatgrass density response at Butte provide strong evidence that activated carbon pellets protected these species from imazapic-induced mortality. However, at Coleman Creek activated carbon pellets and bare seed were equally unsuccessful, probably because this site is lower in elevation (i.e., warmer) than Butte. Sandberg bluegrass, bluebunch wheatgrass, forage kochia, and sagebrush failed to establish any individuals in most plots at both sites, eliminating our ability to evaluate the effectiveness of activated carbon pellets at preventing imazapic-induced mortality for these species. Likely, the limited spring precipitation following seeding negatively impacted these species establishment in activated carbon pellets. However, it cannot be ruled out that pellets either did not protect against imazapic enough for survival or negatively impacted survival for these species.

Growth of squirreltail at Butte demonstrated that if herbicideinduced mortality could be prevented, this native bunchgrass could produce multistem, multileaf seedlings when exotic annuals were being controlled with imazapic. Greater growth of Siberian wheatgrass plants in the AC compared with Bare treatment suggests that imazapic effects were reduced by activated carbon pellets beyond just preventing mortality. Imazapic can act as a growth regulator and reduce the growth of grasses (Gover et al., 2004; Hixson et al., 2007; McCullough et al., 2015). Thus, it appears that activated carbon pellets may reduce imazapic effects on growth of postemergent vegetation.



**Figure 2. A**, Stem and **B**, leaf density per plant (mean + S.E.) of bottlebrush squirreltail and Siberian wheatgrass seeded as seed incorporated in activated carbon pellets (AC) or as bare seed (Bare) at the same time imazapic was applied to control exotic annual grasses at the Butte Site. Asterisks (\*) indicate difference between treatments ( $P \le 0.05$ ); however, squirreltail characteristics were not compared between treatments because it was not present in the Bare treatment.

The greater density and growth of bunchgrasses in the AC compared with the Bare treatment was likely largely from activated carbon deactivating imazapic in the microsite around seeds. However, activated carbon pellets may provide additional benefits beyond neutralizing imazapic. The nature of pellets is essentially an agglomeration of seeds, which can increase emergence and growth of bunchgrasses through facilitation compared with individually planted seeds (Madsen et al., 2012). Activated carbon can also increase plant growth, likely due to increased nutrient availability (Lau et al., 2008). The effects of allelopathic chemicals may also be reduced by activated carbon (Kulmatiski and Beard, 2005). Activated carbon pellets have the potential to have multiple benefits to seeds incorporated within; however, if herbicide toxicity is not overcome, none of these benefits can be realized. Thus, as exhibited by squirreltail, activated carbon pellets' ability to prevent imazapic-induced mortality is a crucial benefit of incorporating seeds in activated carbon pellets.

This is only the second study to field test activated carbon pellets. Similar to the first study (Davies et al., 2017), activated carbon pellets appear be an effective method to allow bunchgrasses to be seeded at the same time exotic annual grasses were controlled with imazapic. However, I seeded and applied imazapic in the spring compared with the fall, which may have contributed to the failed establishment of several species at Butte, as well as all the species at Coleman Creek. This study demonstrated that additional bunchgrass species, including a native species, could be used with activated carbon pellets. This suggests that other bunchgrass species could be protected from imazapic applied to control exotic annuals when incorporated in activated carbon pellets. Though other plant functional groups are also likely to benefit from this seed enhancement technology, further evaluations are needed.

I also increased the imazapic application rate compared with Davies et al. (2017), demonstrating that activated carbon pellets can protect seeded vegetation at higher application rates. This is important because low rates of imazapic may not provide adequate control of exotic annuals (Davies et al., 2017). Good control of exotic annuals is critical to successful revegetation of exotic annual grass — invaded sagebrush communities (Nafus and Davies, 2014). Effective imazapic application rates for controlling exotic annuals can vary by site characteristics, weather, litter, application methods and timing, and other factors (Monaco et al., 2005; Kyser et al., 2007; Nafus and Davies, 2014). Therefore, the ability of activated carbon pellets to protect seeded vegetation at higher rates of imazapic application allows for more flexibility in application rates and possibly more consistent exotic annual grass control.

### Implications

Activated carbon pellets can be used to seed some bunchgrass species concurrent with the application of imazapic to control exotic annuals. This will allow for a single-entry approach for revegetating annual-invaded communities. This will also provide seeded bunchgrasses a longer interval of reduced competition from exotic annuals and thereby increase the probability of successful revegetation. Additional investigations of the utility of activated carbon pellets with other species, particularly shrubs and forbs, and other pre-emergent herbicides would be valuable. Activated carbon pellets may offer an opportunity to increase the probability of successfully revegetating exotic annual-invaded rangelands.

## References

- Bovey, R.W., Miller, F.R., 1969. Effect of activated carbon on the phytotoxicity of herbicides in a tropical soil. Weed Science 17, 189–192.
- Clausnitzer, D.W., Borman, M.M., Johnson, D.E., 1999. Competition between Elymus elymoides and Taeniatherum caput-medusae. Weed Science 47, 720–728.
- Coffey, D.L., Warren, G.F., 1969. Inactivation of herbicides by activated carbon and other adsorbents. Weed Science 17, 16–19.
- D'Antonio, C.M., Vitousek, P.M., 1992. Biological invasions by exotic grasses, the grass/fire cycle, and global change. Annual Review of Ecology Systems 23, 63–87.

Davies, K.W., 2011. Plant community diversity and native plant abundance decline with increasing abundance of an exotic annual grass. Oecologia 167, 481–491.

- Davies, K.W., Boyd, C.S., Beck, J.L., Bates, J.D., Svejcar, T.J., Gregg, M.A., 2011. Saving the sagebrush sea: an ecosystem conservation plan for big sagebrush plant communities. Biological Conservation 144, 2573–2584.
- Davies, K.W., Madsen, M.D., Hulet, A., 2017. Using activated carbon to limit herbicide effects to seeded bunchgrass when revegetating annual grass-invaded rangelands. Rangeland Ecology & Management 70, 604–608.
- Davies, K.W., Madsen, M.D., Nafus, A.M., Boyd, C.S., Johnson, D.D., 2014. Can imazapic and seeding be applied simultaneously to rehabilitate medusahead-invaded rangeland? Single vs. multiple entry. Rangeland Ecology & Management 67, 650–656.
- Davies, K.W., Nafus, A.M., 2013. Exotic annual grass invasion alters fuel amounts, continuity and moisture content. International Journal of Wildland Fire 22, 353–358.
- Davies, K.W., Sheley, R.L., 2011. Promoting native vegetation and diversity in exotic annual grass infestations. Restoration Ecology 19, 159–165.
- Gover, A.E., Johnson, J.M., Kuhns, L.J., 2004. Evaluation of imazapic as a growth regulator in roadside tall fescue. Proceedings of the Northeastern Weed Science Society 58, 34–35.
- Hixson, A.C., Gannon, T.W., Yelverton, F.H., 2007. Efficacy of application placement equipment for tall fescue (*Lolium arundinaceum*) growth and seedhead suppression. Weed Technology 21, 801–806.
- Kulmatiski, A., Beard, K.H., 2005. Activated carbon as a restoration tool: potential for control of invasive plants in abandoned agricultural fields. Restoration Ecology 14, 251–257.
- Kyser, G.B., DiTomaso, J.M., Doran, M.P., Orloff, S.B., Wilson, R.G., Lancaster, D.L., Lile, D.F., Porath, M.L., 2007. Control of medusahead (*Taeniatherum caput-medusae*) and other annual grasses with imazapic. Weed Technology 21, 66–75.
- Kyser, G.B., Wilson, R.B., Zhang, J., DiTomaso, J.M., 2013. Herbicide-assisted restoration of Great Basin sagebrush steppe infested with medusahead and downy brome. Rangeland Ecology & Management 66, 588–596.
- Lau, J.A., Puliafico, K.P., Kopshever, J.A., Steltzer, H., Jarvis, E.P., Schwarzländer, M., Strauss, S.Y., Hufbauer, R.A., 2008. Inference of allelopathy is complicated by effects of activated carbon on plant growth. New Phytology 178, 412–423.
- Madsen, M.D., Davies, K.W., Mummey, D.L., Svejcar, T.J., 2014. Improving restoration of exotic annual grass-invaded rangelands through activated carbon seed enhancement technologies. Rangeland Ecology & Management 67, 61–67.
- Madsen, M.D., Davies, K.W., Williams, C.J., Svejcar, T.A., 2012. Agglomerating seeds to enhance native seedling emergence and growth. Journal of Applied Ecology 49, 431–438.
- McCullough, P.E., Yu, J., Shilling, D.G., Czarnota, M.A., Johnston, C.R., 2015. Biochemical effects of imazapic on Bermudagrass growth regulation, broomsedge (Andropogon virginicus) control, and MSMA antagonism. Weed Science 63, 596–603.
- Monaco, T.A., Osmond, T.M., Dewey, S.A., 2005. Medusahead control with fall- and springapplied herbicides on northern Utah foothills. Weed Technology 19, 653–658.
- Nafus, A.M., Davies, K.W., 2014. Medusahead ecology and management: California Annual Grasslands to the Intermountain West. Invasive Plant Science Management 7, 210–221.
- PRISM, 2017. PRISM Climate Group Database. Available at: http://prism.nacse.org/explorer/, Accessed date: 19 September 2017.
- Sheley, R.L., Carpinelli, M.F., Reever Morghan, K.J., 2007. Effects of imazapic on target and nontarget vegetation during revegetation. Weed Technology 21, 1071–1081.
- Vasquez, E., Sheley, R., Svjecar, T., 2008. Nitrogen enhances the competitive ability of cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) relative to native grasses. Invasive Plant Science Management 1, 287–295.