

**NOTE**

# Stressed and slim or relaxed and chubby? A simultaneous assessment of gray whale body condition and hormone variability

Leila S. Lemos<sup>1</sup>  | Amy Olsen<sup>2</sup>  | Angela Smith<sup>2</sup> |  
Jonathan D. Burnett<sup>3</sup>  | Todd E. Chandler<sup>1</sup> | Shawn Larson<sup>2</sup>  |  
Kathleen E. Hunt<sup>4</sup> | Leigh G. Torres<sup>1</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Marine Mammal Institute, Fisheries and Wildlife Department, Oregon State University, Newport, Oregon

<sup>2</sup>Conservation Programs and Partnerships, Seattle Aquarium, Seattle, Washington

<sup>3</sup>Aerial Information Systems Laboratory, Forest Engineering, Resources and Management Department, Oregon State University, Oregon

<sup>4</sup>Department of Biology and Smithsonian-Mason School of Conservation, George Mason University, Virginia

**Correspondence**

Leila S. Lemos, Marine Mammal Institute, Fisheries and Wildlife Department, Oregon State University, 2030 SE Marine Science Drive, Newport, OR 97365.

Email: leslemos@hotmail.com

**Funding information**

NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service Office of Science and Technology Ocean Acoustics Program, Grant/Award Number: 2017; 50-27; Oregon Sea Grant Program Development Funds, Grant/Award Number: 2018; RECO-40-PD; Oregon State University Marine Mammal Institute

Of all mammals, baleen whale physiology is one of the most poorly understood due to many complications that arise during sampling (Hunt et al., 2006, 2013). The size, brief surfacing behavior, and aquatic environment of whales are all challenging for sample collection. This knowledge gap in baleen whale physiology limits the ability to understand how baleen whale health varies due to intrinsic (e.g., age, reproductive stage) and extrinsic (e.g., prey limitation, disturbance) factors. However, a number of techniques using multiple matrices have recently been developed that enable nonlethal and minimally invasive (or noninvasive) physiological monitoring. For instance, analysis of cetacean feces, respiratory vapor (i.e., blow), and blubber biopsy samples can be used to monitor hormones and respiratory microbiome (Hunt et al., 2013). Additionally, photogrammetric analysis of aerial drone images can be used to assess whale body condition as an indicator of nutritional state (Christiansen et al., 2018; Burnett et al., 2019; Soledade Lemos et al., 2020). While all these techniques individually provide slices of information on baleen whale health, if these approaches are employed simultaneously, more robust and complete assessments of whale health may be feasible, including the potential to cross-validate methods.

Studies that employ simultaneous multidisciplinary techniques in marine mammal physiology are still scarce and have primarily assessed endocrine responses in association with contaminant loads (Haave et al., 2003; Yordy et al., 2010; Galligan et al., 2019). Only a few studies have assessed hormone variation in relation to body condition. A study of Steller sea lion (*Eumetopias jubatus*) physiology found that mass loss during periods of energy restriction

was negatively correlated with cortisol and positively correlated with insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I; Jeanniard du Dot et al., 2009). When the sea lions were fed, cortisol decreased and IGF-I increased, suggesting that these two hormones are inversely correlated, together providing good indicator of nutritional stress in sea lions. Thyroid hormones (i.e., triiodothyronine - T3 and thyroxine - T4) were also simultaneously monitored during this study yet displayed no correlations with changes in body condition (Jeanniard du Dot et al., 2009). Another study examined correlations between Weddell seal (*Leptonychotes weddellii*) body condition and hormones that influence energy allocation, including cortisol, growth hormone, IGF-I and thyroid hormones (Shero et al., 2015). Triiodothyronine was negatively correlated with body mass in reproductive females while T4 exhibited a positive relationship with body mass in non-reproductive females. To date, no study has evaluated associations between hormone variability and body condition in baleen whales, which limits the ability to understand physiological response to periods of prey limitation. As our oceans continue to change due to increased anthropogenic activities and climate change, a better understanding of connections between body condition and hormones will assist efforts to mitigate impacts at an individual and population level.

In this study, we employed simultaneous multidisciplinary techniques to investigate the effects of body condition variability on hormones associated with stress (i.e., cortisol) and energy allocation (i.e., cortisol and thyroid hormones) in Eastern North Pacific (ENP) gray whales (*Eschrichtius robustus*). The ENP population annually migrates from its southern breeding grounds in Baja California, Mexico, to its northern feeding grounds in the Bering and Chukchi seas (Calambokidis et al., 2002). However, a group of ~200–250 individual ENP gray whales, known as the Pacific Coast Feeding Group (PCFG), does not perform the full migration and can be found along the coasts from northern California to southeastern Alaska during the summer (Calambokidis et al., 2002; Calambokidis & Perez, 2017). These whales are frequently located within 10 km of the shore (Mate & Urban-Ramirez, 2003) and thus, are subject to numerous anthropogenic pressures, including coastal pollution, ambient noise, fishing gear entanglement, and vessel/ship strikes (Baird et al., 2002; Jones et al., 2012; Moore & Clarke, 2002). At the time of writing, the ENP population is under an unusual mortality event that resulted in 430 strandings of dead gray whales as of April 5, 2021 (2019–2021; NOAA, 2021). Therefore, it is of critical importance to assess the physiological state of this population simultaneously with environmental data in order to identify potential causes for unusual events such as this. In fact, a previous study on this same population hypothesized a link between poor whale body condition and low prey availability in the three years preceding the event (Soledade Lemos et al., 2020).

Cortisol plays a role in lipid metabolism associated with unpredictable life situations, including energy intake shortages (Kitaysky et al., 2001; Reeder & Kramer, 2005). Additionally, thyroid hormones are known to be influenced by caloric intake in terrestrial mammals, typically with decreases in the hormone concentrations when food is deprived (Nelson, 2005; Behringer et al., 2018)—although these relationships might differ in marine mammals (Atkinson et al., 2015). We hypothesize that cortisol and thyroid response would vary with whale body condition and therefore reflect energetic intake. An increase in cortisol and decrease in thyroid hormones were expected with poor body condition, and therefore a negative relationship between cortisol and thyroid hormones was also hypothesized.

We used a small research vessel (5.4 m rigid-hulled inflatable boat) to locate PCFG gray whales in their feeding ground along the Oregon coast, between June and October 2016, 2017, and 2018. All sampled whales were located within 10 km from the shore and photographs of left and right-hand sides and flukes were taken of each whale for photo-identification analysis. The number of sighted whales and calf presence was also recorded. If weather conditions were appropriate (e.g., good visibility and absence of strong winds) and whales were not showing any change in behavior due to the presence of the research vessel, drone-based videos were recorded for photogrammetry analysis (Burnett et al., 2019; Soledade Lemos et al., 2020). To correct barometric altimeter errors during data post-processing, a calibration object of 1.0 m in length was centered in the frame of the drone camera during the beginning of all flights and recorded from 10 to 40 m of altitude. Drones used in this study included a DJI Phantom 3 Pro in 2016, a Phantom 4 in 2017, and a Phantom 4 Pro in 2018. All videos were recorded at a minimum altitude of 25 m and no behavioral responses of whales to the drone were observed.

In addition, fecal samples were opportunistically collected during these whale sightings using two 300  $\mu\text{m}$  nylon mesh dipnets (methods described in Lemos et al., 2020). Samples were transferred to sterile plastic jars and put on ice until stored in a freezer ( $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) for later analysis. All samples were freshly voided from individuals that were also photographed; thus, the samples could be attributed to a specific individual. Information on location, date, and time were also recorded for all collected fecal samples.

Photo-identification analysis was conducted in Adobe Bridge (version 8.0.1.282), and photographs were compared to long-term gray whale photo-identification catalogs held by the Marine Mammal Institute at Oregon State University and Cascadia Research Collective (Olympia, WA). This comparison enabled the matching of lateral and fluke body marks and pigmentations of the same individual whale, and the retrieval of individual whale sighting histories that included information on sex and minimum age. If a whale was not found in the catalogs, the sex was determined through fecal genetic analyses (full methods described in Soledade Lemos et al., 2020).

The photogrammetric analysis uses a three-program analysis suite to produce 11 morphometric attributes from drone imagery of the whale, while compensating for lens distortion and correcting for scaling errors (Burnett et al., 2019; Soledade Lemos et al., 2020). In short, images of whales lying straight and flat at the surface were extracted from video recordings and categorized as either good or poor image quality based on attributes relative to the whale body position, camera focus, and environmental conditions. If the image was scored as good, a series of whale body length and width measurements were conducted. From these measurements, we produced an estimate of the Body Area Index (BAI) value, which describes the relative body condition for each whale. Body Area Index is conceptually similar to the Body Mass Index (BMI) used in humans and is a unitless and length-invariant metric of body condition, estimated by normalizing two-dimensional body surface area by length (Burnett et al., 2019). Thus, BAI enables comparisons of body condition among individual whales of different demographic units and lengths (i.e., calves:adults or males:females). A threshold of 5% coefficient of variance (CV) was applied for both whale length and BAI measurements to improve accuracy. Based on fieldwork observations, photo-identification, and photogrammetry results, each identified whale was assigned to a demographic unit based on sex and maturity in that specific year (e.g., pregnant in one year and resting in the following year; for demographic grouping details see Soledade Lemos et al., 2020). To minimize the influence of demographic unit on correlations between body condition and hormones, we only included mature males and females (i.e., nonpregnant and nonlactating; identified through field observations/sighting history) in the following data analysis. These demographic units also had the largest sample sizes.

Fecal samples containing saltwater were filtered using unbleached coffee filters (Lemos et al., 2020). Deionized water was then added to the samples, which were centrifuged for 10 min at 3,000 rpm (i.e., 1,000 RCF – relative force [g]) to extract salt remaining in the samples. The overlaying water was removed by pipetting and samples were frozen until lyophilized for 72 hr to remove all water content. All samples were analyzed for hormone metabolite concentration within 11 months of collection. Samples were weighed to the nearest 0.001 g (mean: 0.12 g, standard deviation: 0.07 g) and samples below 0.02 g were excluded from the analysis to avoid inflated values (Ayres et al., 2012; Lemos et al., 2020). When multiple samples from the same individual were collected on the same day, samples with higher mass were used in the analysis (Ayres et al., 2012). Fecal hormone metabolites (HM; i.e., hormones metabolized in the gut before excretion in feces) were extracted following the procedure described in Lemos et al., (2020). Briefly, extraction occurred by adding 90% methanol to the samples using binned solvent:sample ratios within a range of 1:10 to 1:25. Tubes were loaded onto a plate shaker at 500 rpm for 30 min, followed by centrifugation at 2,200 rpm for 20 min (i.e., 285 RCF), recovery of supernatant, and dilution for assay. Commercial Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) kits for cortisol (Enzo Life Sciences, catalog #ADI-900-071, <https://www.enzolifesciences.com>) and T3 (Arbor Assays, catalog #K056-H1, <https://www.arborassays.com>) were used to assess glucocorticoid metabolite (GCm) and thyroid metabolite (Tm) concentrations, respectively. GCm were quantified in the three years of study, while Tm was only quantified in 2017 and 2018. Samples were run in duplicates in 2016 and 2017, and in triplicates in 2018. To maximize accuracy in our study, we repeated HM analysis in any samples with CV higher than 15% and/or percent bound outside the 15% and 85% range, until appropriate values were

reached. Values below the limit of detection (<LOD; Cortisol: 0.156 ng/g, T3: 0.078 ng/g) were excluded from the analysis (Wood et al., 2011). Information on cross-reactivities, assay sensitivity, intra- and interassay coefficient of variance percentage, as well as analytical and physiological validations of gray whale fecal samples were previously conducted and described in (Lemos et al., 2020).

To correlate body condition and hormone variation in individual whales, BAI measurements and fecal HM data collected from the same whale on the same day were assessed in this study. However, there were times ( $n = 37$ ) when drone flights were not conducted over whales on the same day a fecal sample was collected (i.e., due to weather or technical difficulties) or extracted images from the drone recordings did not pass our image quality control. Hence, to increase our sample size for correlation analysis, we used BAI values measured within  $\pm 14$  days of a fecal sample collection from the same individual as gray whales do not significantly change their body condition within a period of two weeks (paired  $t$ -test using all BAI values of individuals assessed within 14 days in 2016, 2017, and 2018:  $n = 61$ ,  $p = .86$ ,  $df = 60$ ,  $t = -0.174$ ).


All statistical tests were conducted in R (version 3.5.0; R Core Team, 2019) and  $\alpha < 0.05$  were considered significant in all tests. Fecal hormone metabolites concentrations were log-transformed ( $\log[\text{value} + 1]$ ) before statistical analysis. A residual analysis between body condition and HMs was initially conducted and any values greater than  $\pm 2$  standard deviations were considered outliers and excluded from subsequent analyses ( $n = 2$ ). We conducted linear mixed models (LMM), using the *lme4* package in R (Bates et al., 2015) to assess the effects of BAI, sex, year, minimum age, and day of the year (DOY) on both GCm and Tm concentrations. Glucocorticoid metabolites were also considered in Tm models, and vice versa. All models included the whale identity as random effect to account for pseudoreplication. In addition, DOY was either considered a fixed factor, to account for its direct influence on the models, or as a random factor, to account for variations in sampling per day. Model selection was based on the lowest Akaike's information criterion (AIC; Burnham et al., 2011), and the fit of the selected models was evaluated using the marginal  $R^2$  ( $R^2_m$ ; variance explained by fixed effects) and the conditional  $R^2$  ( $R^2_c$ ; variance explained by both fixed and random effects) using the *MuMIn* package in R (Barton, 2020; Nakagawa and Schielzeth, 2013). We also used the *lmerTest* package (Kuznetsova et al., 2017) to obtain  $F$ -statistics and  $p$ -values. Additional linear regressions between significant factors and response variables were conducted to verify the direction of the associations.

A total of 73 fecal samples from 17 different mature females (42 samples) and 19 different mature males (31 samples) were collected (Table 1, Figure 1). However, 17 of these samples had no associated BAI information. In addition, 20 GCm and 24 Tm values were below LOD. These NA values for BAI and HMs were removed from the relevant statistical analysis, which reduced sample size to 32 (11 mature males and 10 mature females).

Twelve different models were run for each of the HM (Table 2). The model that best explained GCm variations was model 7, which included BAI, sex, year, and Tm (AIC = 63.71,  $df = 7$ ,  $R^2_m = 0.43$ ,  $R^2_c = 0.43$ ). Within this model, BAI ( $F = 10.58$ ,  $df = 27$ ,  $p < .01$ ; negative association) and year 2018 ( $F = 9.69$ ,  $df = 27$ ,  $p < .01$ ) displayed significant effects on GCm. The model that best explained Tm variation was also model 7, which included BAI, sex,

**TABLE 1** Summary of body condition and fecal hormone results by demographic unit compared in this study. Number of observations ( $N_{\text{obs}}$ ), number of individuals ( $N_{\text{ind}}$ ), mean concentration  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD), median and range (minimum–maximum) of glucocorticoid metabolites (GCm; ng/g, dried mass), thyroid metabolites (Tm; ng/g, dried mass) and Body Area Index (BAI) by demographic unit.

	$N_{\text{obs}}$	$N_{\text{ind}}$	GCm mean $\pm$ SD median range	Tm mean $\pm$ SD median range	BAI mean $\pm$ SD median range
<b>Mature males</b>	31	19	19.10 $\pm$ 10.89 19.76 3.81–41.86	195.01 $\pm$ 472.94 43.28 1.28–2,134.86	40.31 $\pm$ 3.18 39.87 35.54–47.00
<b>Mature females</b>	42	17	15.20 $\pm$ 9.09 13.83 4.77–48.30	109.68 $\pm$ 152.97 63.55 3.99–624.50	39.91 $\pm$ 1.83 39.82 36.56–43.62



**FIGURE 1** Frequency histograms of log (a) fecal glucocorticoid metabolite (GCm) and (b) thyroid metabolite (Tm) concentrations (ng/g, dried mass), and (c) Body Area Index (BAI) by demographic units in gray whales sampled during May to October of 2016–2018 off the Oregon coast. Individual whales may be represented multiple times in these plots as some were resighted within and between years.

year, and GCm (AIC = 97.97,  $df = 7$ ,  $R^2_m = 0.52$ ,  $R^2_c = 0.83$ ). Within this model, Tm was significantly affected by year 2018 ( $F = 28.08$ ,  $df = 26$ ,  $p < .001$ ) and GCm ( $F = 5.45$ ,  $df = 14$ ,  $p < .05$ ; positive association), where year was the most significant factor (Figure 2). The  $R^2_m$  values in both selected models indicate that 43% and 84% of the variance in GCm and Tm concentrations, respectively, is explained by the models; thus, other unmeasured factors also contribute to the variation of these hormones in gray whales, especially GCm. The  $R^2_c$  and  $R^2_m$  values for the GCm model were the same ( $R^2_c = 0.433$ ,  $R^2_m = 0.433$ ; Table 2), indicating that the random factor (i.e., whale identity) did not actually contribute to the GCm model. Conversely, the random factor of whale identity highly contributed to the Tm model ( $R^2_c = 0.522$ ,  $R^2_m = 0.835$ ; Table 2).


Linear regression results with all data grouped together (i.e., regardless of year, sex, age, or DOY) indicate a significant negative association between GCm and BAI (rate of change =  $-0.15$ ,  $F_{1,37} = 17.55$ ,  $R^2 = 0.303$ ,  $p < .001$ ; Figure 3a). No significant correlations were observed between Tm and BAI (rate of change =  $-0.21$ ,  $F_{1,33} = 2.96$ ,

**TABLE 2** Linear mixed model selection parameters of gray whale glucocorticoid metabolite (GCm) and thyroid metabolite (Tm) concentrations relative to Body Area Index (BAI), sex, year, and day of the year (DOY). GCm is also considered in the Tm model and vice versa. All models used whale identification (ID) as a random effect. Models alternatively use DOY as random or fixed effects to verify its relative importance to the models as a fixed factor or to account for variations in sampling per day as a random factor. Model in bold represents the chosen model based on the lowest Akaike information criterion (AIC). The number of observations for each model is indicated by  $N_{obs}$  and the degrees of freedom by  $df$ . The fit of the selected models is represented by the marginal  $R^2$  ( $R^2_m$ ; variance explained by fixed effects) and the conditional  $R^2$  ( $R^2_c$ ; variance explained by both fixed and random effects).

Models	$N_{obs}$	$df$	AIC	$R^2_m$	$R^2_c$
Glucocorticoid metabolites (GCm) as the response variable:					
(1) GCm ~ BAI + sex + year + (1 whale ID)	39	7	70.73191		
(2) GCm ~ BAI + sex + year + DOY + (1 whale ID)	39	8	82.16471		
(3) GCm ~ BAI + sex + year + (1 whale ID) + (1 DOY)	39	8	72.51293		
(4) GCm ~ BAI + sex + year + age + (1 whale ID)	36	8	73.93764		
(5) GCm ~ BAI + sex + year + age + DOY + (1 whale ID)	36	9	83.18066		
(6) GCm ~ BAI + sex + year + age + (1 whale ID) + (1 DOY)	36	9	75.93764		
<b>(7) GCm ~ BAI + sex + year + Tm + (1 whale ID)</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>63.71276</b>	<b>0.433</b>	<b>0.433</b>
(8) GCm ~ BAI + sex + year + Tm + DOY + (1 whale ID)	32	8	74.13161		
(9) GCm ~ BAI + sex + year + Tm + (1 whale ID) + (1 DOY)	32	8	65.71276		
(10) GCm ~ BAI + sex + year + age + Tm + (1 whale ID)	29	8	66.84847		
(11) GCm ~ BAI + sex + year + age + Tm + DOY + (1 whale ID)	29	9	74.52206		
(12) GCm ~ BAI + sex + year + age + Tm + (1 whale ID) + (1 DOY)	29	9	68.84847		
Thyroid metabolites (Tm) as the response variable:					
(1) Tm ~ BAI + sex + year + (1 whale ID)	35	6	113.94064		
(2) Tm ~ BAI + sex + year + DOY + (1 whale ID)	35	7	124.04176		
(3) Tm ~ BAI + sex + year + (1 whale ID) + (1 DOY)	35	7	115.78341		
(4) Tm ~ BAI + sex + year + age + (1 whale ID)	32	7	109.76183		
(5) Tm ~ BAI + sex + year + age + DOY + (1 whale ID)	32	8	120.21030		
(6) Tm ~ BAI + sex + year + age + (1 whale ID) + (1 DOY)	32	8	111.76183		
<b>(7) Tm ~ BAI + sex + year + GCm + (1 whale ID)</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>97.97389</b>	<b>0.522</b>	<b>0.835</b>
(8) Tm ~ BAI + sex + year + GCm + DOY + (1 whale ID)	32	8	107.63614		
(9) Tm ~ BAI + sex + year + GCm + (1 whale ID) + (1 DOY)	32	8	99.90223		
(10) Tm ~ BAI + sex + year + age + GCm + (1 whale ID)	32	7	109.76183		
(11) Tm ~ BAI + sex + year + age + GCm + DOY + (1 whale ID)	32	8	120.21030		
(12) Tm ~ BAI + sex + year + age + GCm + (1 whale ID) + (1 DOY)	32	8	111.76183		

$R^2 = 0.054$ ,  $p = .09$ ; Figure 3b), or between Tm and GCm (rate of change = 0.37,  $F_{1,42} = 1.10$ ,  $R^2 = 0.002$ ,  $p = .30$ ; Figure 3c).

Our results indicate that gray whale body condition (BAI) was negatively correlated with GCm and displayed no significant correlation with Tm. These findings suggest that GCm play an important role in energy allocation in gray whales, while Tm may not be as directly associated (though note that statistical power was limited in this study). Even though Tm did not display a significant correlation with BAI,  $p$ -value was relatively low ( $<0.10$ ) and the yearly trends were negative, which is also explained by the positive correlation between Tm and GCm. Results suggest a




**FIGURE 2** Boxplots of fecal glucocorticoid metabolite (GCm) and thyroid metabolite (Tm) concentrations (ng/g, dried mass) by years of gray whales (mature females and males group together) sampled during May to October of 2016–2018 along the Oregon coast. Individual whales may be represented multiple times in these plots as some were resighted within and between years. Asterisks indicate significant correlations between the variables. The line within the box is the median, the box encloses 25%–75% of the data, the whiskers outside the box enclose 5%–95% of the data, and filled circles are outliers.

relationship may exist between Tm and BAI that could be resolved with greater sample size (i.e., greater statistical power) in future studies; thus, we recommend further research on Tm and body condition.

A similar pattern between body condition and hormones was previously reported in Steller sea lions, in which increased blood cortisol levels were detected during periods of energy restriction and body mass reduction, and no significant correlations with thyroid hormones were observed (Jeanniard du Dot et al., 2009). In contrast, other studies documented a negative correlation between thyroid hormones and body mass in Weddell seals (Shero et al., 2015), and between Tm and food intake in killer whales (*Orcinus orca*; Ayres et al., 2012).

The year of 2018 was an important explanatory factor in both gray whale GCm and Tm models. According to Soledade Lemos et al. (2020), gray whales exhibited poor body condition in 2018, which was associated with two prior years of poor local upwelling conditions that may have caused reduced prey availability. Therefore, gray whales in 2018 may have endured prolonged nutritional stress leading to the higher GCm concentrations detected in that year. The significance of 2018 in the variation of Tm is less clear given the lack of significant correlation between Tm and BAI. Therefore, it is likely that factors other than, or in addition to, body condition affect Tm concentrations in gray whales. Thyroid hormones are involved in multiple metabolic activities, including thermoregulation and carbohydrate utilization (Behringer et al., 2018). In some mammals, thyroid hormone variation can be driven by thermoregulatory needs, with thyroid hormones rising if animals are chilled and/or thin (poorly insulated; Oki & Atkinson, 2004). However, cetaceans may not experience strong variation in thermoregulatory energetics due to their effective insulation (Hokkanen, 1990). In fact, it has been suggested that some cetaceans are able to conserve body heat in sub-freezing waters and thus migrations may not be necessary due to thermoregulatory requirements (e.g., Pitman et al., 2019; Sumich, 1986). Instead, migration may occur due to calf-predator encounter avoidance (Corkeron and Connor, 1999; Payne, 1995; “calf refuge hypothesis”; Connor, 2001). Alternatively, the increase in gray whale thyroid hormones may be associated with accelerated carbohydrate utilization, which appears to be associated with increased demand for adenosine triphosphate (ATP), the content of carbohydrate in the diet, and the nutritional state of the animal (Goodman, 2009). Thus, it is possible that the poor nutritional state and/or the deficit in carbohydrates



**FIGURE 3** Linear correlations between (a) Body Area Index (BAI) and glucocorticoid metabolites (GCm; ng/g, dried mass), (b) BAI and thyroid metabolites (Tm), and (c) GCm and Tm by years in gray whales sampled during May to October of 2016–2018 along the Oregon coast. Individual whales may be represented multiple times in these plots as some were re-sighted within and between years. Asterisks indicate significant correlations between the variables.

in gray whales' diet in 2018 caused whales to produce higher Tm levels to metabolize stored carbohydrates as an energy source.

It is important to highlight that we only quantified Tm in two years, thus our sample size was relatively small ( $n = 49$ ) and potentially limited the ability to determine drivers of Tm variability. Additionally, knowledge on how thyroid hormones behave in baleen whales is restricted to findings from just a few studies, including blow samples of North Atlantic right whales (*Eubalaena glacialis*; Hunt et al., 2014), baleen samples of multiple species (Hunt et al., 2017; Lysiak et al., 2018), and fecal samples of humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*; Hunt et al., 2019) and gray whales (Lemos et al., 2020). Therefore, we recommend further monitoring on both Tm and GCm concentrations in relation to baleen whale body condition, prey availability, respiratory rates, behavior, and other such factors known to influence Tm levels, such as sex, age, reproductive state, season, and migration (Hunt et al., 2019).

In this study we demonstrate the added value and knowledge gained through simultaneous collection of physiological data from baleen whales over time. Although a larger sample size would improve the power of our analyses and results, we were still able to identify links between body condition and hormones associated with stress and energy allocation, including a negative correlation between GCM and BAI, and a positive correlation between GCM and Tm. The former relationship demonstrates that gray whales can indeed be “stressed” and “slim” or “relaxed” and “chubby.” The latter relationship demonstrates that thyroid hormones may not be highly involved with energy intake, counter to our initial hypothesis. Rather, Tm may be related to other common metabolic activities such as carbohydrate utilization.

These findings indicate that the use of simultaneous gray whale physiology data is a useful tool for a better understanding of whale bioenergetic strategies to cope with predictable and unpredictable dietary shifts. Such information is paramount when developing conservation policies and is, therefore, crucial in the protection of the species.

Continued monitoring of body condition and hormone levels of this gray whale population will generate “health profiles” of individual whales, enabling assessment of change over time and potential identification and diagnosis for variations in population health. Furthermore, these demographically informed health profiles would contribute to an improved understanding of baleen whale physiology, allowing inference for other baleen whale populations, where collection of these physiological data is more challenging.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service Office of Science and Technology Ocean Acoustics Program, Oregon Sea Grant Program Development funds, and the Oregon State University (OSU) Marine Mammal Institute. We are thankful for the support of Brazil's Science Without Borders program, Brazil's CNPq, and the Harvard Laspau Institute for financial aid and academic advising to LSL. We are also thankful for the support of Markham's Award (Hatfield Marine Science Center/OSU) for the award given to LSL for partial development of this project, and the support of Cetacean Society International (CSI) for the funds provided to LSL to perform laboratory training at The Seattle Aquarium. We are grateful to John Calambokidis and Alie Perez (Cascadia Research Collective) for assistance with the photo identification analysis, and Debbie Steel and Scott Baker (OSU) for assistance with the genetic analyses. This research was conducted under the NOAA/NMFS permits #16011 and #21678 issued to John Calambokidis. Drone operations were conducted by a Federal Aviation Authority (FAA) certified private pilot with a Part107 license or under a Certificate of Authorization (2016-WSA-101-COA).

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

**Leila Lemos:** Conceptualization; data curation; formal analysis; funding acquisition; investigation; methodology; validation; visualization; writing – original draft. **Amy Olsen:** Methodology; validation; writing – review and editing. **Angela Smith:** Methodology; validation; writing – review and editing. **Jonathan Burnett:** Methodology; software; validation; writing – review and editing. **Todd Chandler:** Conceptualization; data curation; methodology. **Shawn Larson:** Conceptualization; funding acquisition; methodology; resources; writing – review and editing. **Kathleen Hunt:** Conceptualization; methodology; writing – review and editing. **Leigh Torres:** Conceptualization; data curation; funding acquisition; investigation; methodology; project administration; resources; supervision; visualization; writing – review and editing.

## ORCID

Leila S. Lemos  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6324-0894>

Amy Olsen  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2752-8337>

Jonathan D. Burnett  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2519-8557>

Shawn Larson  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0376-1051>

Leigh G. Torres  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2643-3950>

## REFERENCES

- Atkinson, S., Crocker, D., Houser, D., & Mashburn, K. (2015). Stress physiology in marine mammals: how well do they fit the terrestrial model? *Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology*, 185, 463–486. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-015-0901-0>
- Ayres, K. L., Booth, R. K., Hempelmann, J. A., Koski, K. L., Emmons, C. K., Baird, R. W., Balcomb-Bartok, K., Hanson, M. B., Ford, M. J., & Wasser, S. K. (2012). Distinguishing the impacts of inadequate prey and vessel traffic on an endangered killer whale (*Orcinus orca*) population. *PLoS ONE*, 7(6), e36842. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036842>
- Baird, R. W., Stacey, P. J., Duffus, D. A., & Langelier, K. M. (2002). An evaluation of gray whale (*Eschrichtius robustus*) mortality incidental to fishing operations in British Columbia, Canada. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management*, 4, 289–296.
- Barton, K. (2020). *MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference* [Computer software]. R Package version 14317. <https://cran.r-project.org/package=MuMIn>
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. M., & Walker, S. C. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67(1), 1–48. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01>
- Behringer, V., Deimel, C., Hohmann, G., Negrey, J., Schaebs, F. S., & Deschner, T. (2018). Applications for non-invasive thyroid hormone measurements in mammalian ecology, growth, and maintenance. *Hormones and Behavior*, 105, 66–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2018.07.011>
- Burnett, J. D., Lemos, L., Barlow, D., Wing, M. G., Chandler, T., & Torres, L. G. (2019). Estimating morphometric attributes of baleen whales with photogrammetry from small UAVs: A case study with blue and gray whales. *Marine Mammal Science*, 35(1), 108–139. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mms.12527>
- Burnham, K. P., Anderson, D. R., & Huyvaert, K. P. (2011). AIC model selection and multimodel inference in behavioral ecology: Some background, observations, and comparisons. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 65, 23–35. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-010-1029-6>
- Calambokidis, J., Darling, J., Deeke, V., Gearin, P., Goshu, M., Megill, W., Tombach, C. M., Goley, D., Toropova, C., & Gisborne, B. (2002). Abundance, range and movements of a feeding aggregation of gray whales (*Eschrichtius robustus*) from California to southeastern Alaska in 1998. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management*, 4, 267–276.
- Calambokidis, J., & Perez, A. (2017). Association of PCFG gray whales on migration. *Paper SC/A17/GW/02 presented to the International Whaling Commission Scientific Committee*.
- Christiansen, F., Vivier, F., Charlton, C., Ward, R., Amerson, A., Burnell, S., & Bejder, L. (2018). Maternal body size and condition determine calf growth rates in southern right whales. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 592, 267–282. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12522>
- Connor, R. C. (2001). Individual foraging specializations in marine mammals: Culture and ecology. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 24(2), 329–330. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X01283964>
- Corkeron, P. J., & Connor, R. C. (1999). Why do baleen whales migrate? *Marine Mammal Science*, 15(4), 1228–1245. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.1999.tb00887.x>
- Galligan, T. M., Balmer, B. C., Schwacke, L. H., Bolton, J. L., Quigley, B. M., Rosel, P. E., Ylitalo, G. M., & Boggs, A. S. P. (2019). Examining the relationships between blubber steroid hormones and persistent organic pollutants in common bottlenose dolphins. *Environmental Pollution*, 249, 982–991. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.03.083>
- Goodman, H. M. (2009). *Basic medical endocrinology*. Academic Press.
- Haave, M., Ropstad, E., Derocher, A. E., Lie, E., Dahl, E., Wiig, Ø., Skaare, J. U., & Jenssen, B. M. (2003). Polychlorinated biphenyls and reproductive hormones in female polar bears at Svalbard. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 111, 431–436. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.5553>
- Hokkanen, J. E. I. (1990). Temperature regulation of marine mammals. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 145(4), 465–485. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-5193\(05\)80482-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-5193(05)80482-5)
- Hunt, K. E., Lysiak, N. S., Robbins, J., Moore, M. J., Seton, R. E., Torres, L., & Buck, C. L. (2017). Multiple steroid and thyroid hormones detected in baleen from eight whale species. *Conservation Physiology*, 5(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1093/conphys/cox061>
- Hunt, K. E., Moore, M. J., Rolland, R. M., Kellar, N. M., Hall, A. J., Kershaw, J., Raverty, S. A., Davis, C. E., Yeates, L. C., Fauquier, D. A., Rowles, T. K., & Kraus, S. D. (2013). Overcoming the challenges of studying conservation physiology in large whales: a review of available methods. *Conservation Physiology*, 1(1), cot006. <https://doi.org/10.1093/conphys/cot006>
- Hunt, K. E., Robbins, J., Buck, C. L., Bérubé, M., & Rolland, R. M. (2019). Evaluation of fecal hormones for noninvasive research on reproduction and stress in humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*). *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 280, 24–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2019.04.004>
- Hunt, K. E., Rolland, R. M., & Kraus, S. D. (2014). Detection of steroid and thyroid hormones via immunoassay of North Atlantic right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*) respiratory vapor. *Marine Mammal Science*, 30(2), 796–809. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mms.12073>
- Hunt, K. E., Rolland, R. M., Kraus, S. D., & Wasser, S. K. (2006). Analysis of fecal glucocorticoids in the North Atlantic right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*). *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 148(2), 260–272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2006.03.012>

- Jeanniard du Dot, T., Rosen, D. A. S., Richmond, J. P., Kitaysky, A. S., Zinn, S. A., & Trites, A. W. (2009). Changes in glucocorticoids, IGF-I and thyroid hormones as indicators of nutritional stress and subsequent refeeding in Steller sea lions (*Eumetopias jubatus*). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology*, 152(4), 524–534. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2008.12.010>
- Jones, M. L., Swartz, S. L., & Leatherwood, S. (2012). *The gray whale: Eschrichtius robustus*. Academic Press.
- Kitaysky, A. S., Wingfield, J. C., & Piatt, J. F. (2001). Corticosterone facilitates begging and affects resource allocation in the black-legged kittiwake. *Behavioral Ecology*, 12(5), 619–625. <https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/12.5.619>
- Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P. B., & Christensen, R. H. B. (2017). lmerTest package: Tests in linear mixed effects models. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 82(13), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v082.i13>
- Lemos, L. S., Olsen, A., Smith, A., Chandler, T., Larson, S., Hunt, K., & Torres, L. (2020) Assessment of fecal steroid and thyroid hormone metabolites in eastern north Pacific gray whales. *Conservation Physiology*, 8(1), coaa110. <https://doi.org/10.1093/conphys/coaa110>
- Lysiak, N. S. J., Trumble, S. J., Knowlton, A. R., & Moore, M. J. (2018). Characterizing the duration and severity of fishing gear entanglement on a North Atlantic Right Whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*) using stable isotopes, steroid and thyroid hormones in baleen. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 5, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00168>
- Mate, B. R., & Urban-Ramirez, J. (2003). A note on the route and speed of a gray whale on its northern migration from Mexico to central California, tracked by satellite-monitored radio tag. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management*, 5, 155–157.
- Moore, S. E., & Clarke, J. T. (2002). Potential impact of offshore human activities on gray whales. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management*, 4, 19–25.
- Nakagawa, S., & Schielzeth, H. (2013). A general and simple method for obtaining  $R^2$  from generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 4(2), 133–142. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210x.2012.00261.x>
- Nelson, R. J. (2005). *An introduction to behavioral endocrinology*. Sinauer Associates.
- NOAA. (2021). 2019–2021 gray whale unusual mortality event along the west coast and Alaska. *Marine Life in Distress*. <https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/marine-life-distress/2019-2021-gray-whale-unusual-mortality-event-along-west-coast-and>
- Oki, C., & Atkinson, S. (2004). Diurnal patterns of cortisol and thyroid hormones in the Harbor seal (*Phoca vitulina*) during summer and winter seasons. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 136(2), 289–297. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2004.01.007>
- Payne, R. (1995). *Among whales*. Scribner.
- Pitman, R. L., Durban, J. W., Joyce, T., Fearnbach, H., Panigada, S., & Lauriano, G. (2019). Skin in the game: Epidermal molt as a driver of long-distance migration in whales. *Marine Mammal Science*, 36(2), 565–594. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mms.12661>
- R Core Team. (2019). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing* (version 3.5.0) [Computer software]. R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Reeder, D. M., & Kramer, K. M. (2005). Stress in free-ranging mammals: integrating physiology, ecology, and natural history. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 86(2), 225–235. <https://doi.org/10.1644/BHE-003.1>
- Shero, M. R., Krotz, R. T., Costa, D. P., Avery, J. P., & Burns, J. M. (2015). How do overwinter changes in body condition and hormone profiles influence Weddell seal reproductive success? *Functional Ecology*, 29(10), 1278–1291. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12434>
- Soledade Lemos, L., Burnett, J. D., Chandler, T. E., Sumich, J. L., & Torres, L. G. (2020). Intra- and inter-annual variation in gray whale body condition on a foraging ground. *Ecosphere*, 11(4), e03094. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3094>
- Sumich, J. L. (1986). *Latitudinal distribution, calf growth and metabolism, and reproductive energetics of gray whales, Eschrichtius robustus* [Doctoral dissertation]. Oregon State University.
- Wood, M. D., Beresford, N. A., & Copplestone, D. (2011). Limit of detection values in data analysis: Do they matter? *Radioprotection*, 46(6), 85–90. <https://doi.org/10.1051/radiopro/20116728s>
- Yordy, J. E., Mollenhauer, M. A. M., Wilson, R. M., Wells, R. S., Hohn, A., Sweeney, J., Schwacke, L. H., Rowles, T. K., Kucklick, J. R., & Peden-Adams, M. M. (2010). Complex contaminant exposure in cetaceans: A comparative E-Screen analysis of bottlenose dolphin blubber and mixtures of four persistent organic pollutants. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 29(10), 2143–2153. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.279>

**How to cite this article:** Lemos, L. S., Olsen, A., Smith, A., Burnett, J. D., Chandler, T. E., Larson, S., Hunt, K. E., & Torres, L. G. (2021). Stressed and slim or relaxed and chubby? A simultaneous assessment of gray whale body condition and hormone variability. *Marine Mammal Science*, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mms.12877>