# Supplemental Report <br> to Oregon Processed Vegetable Commission <br> 1989/90 Project period (Submitted July 1991) 

TITLE: Green Bean Breeding and Evaluation
PROJECT LEADERS: J.R. Baggett, Horticulture
G.W. Varseveld, Food Science \& Technology
D.M. Barrett, Food Science \& Technology

PROJECT STATUS: Continuing, indefinite
PROJECT FUNDING: Breeding \$39,000.
Processing \$11,450.
Funds allocated to the processing portion of this project were used for labor; purchase of supplies for processing, laboratory and sensory evaluation; secretarial and accounting and for travel.

## I. Objectives:

1. Improved potential for high yields at favorable sieve sizes and dependability.
2. Improved straightness, texture and other quality factors.
3. Develop easy picking and small pod strains of Blue Lake type.
4. Resistance to white mold and root rot.

## II. Processing Quality Evaluation:

Single harvest lines were harvested once and both canned ( 3 whole, 4 cut, 5 cut, 6 cut) and frozen ( $4+5 \mathrm{cut}$ ). Multi-harvest lines were harvested three to five times. Plantings I and V were canned ( $3,4,5$, and 6 whole and $3+4,5$ and 6 cut ) and Planting III was frozen ( $1+2,3+4$, and $5+6$ whole and $1+2$, $3+4$ and $5+6$ cut). Maturity was measured in all lines as the $\%$ of 1 to 4 sieve pods by weight in the size-graded field sample. Percent seed and fiber analyses were carried out on 5 or 6 sieve size beans of all samples.

Sensory analysis of the single harvest lines consisted of ratings by members of the industry during a cutting in February 1990. The industry evaluated the entire set of single and multi-harvest samples during one session. Multi-harvest lines were evaluated for sensory quality by both a trained OSU panel (August 1990) and an untrained industry panel (industry cutting, February 1990). The quality factors rated by the panels included the following: appearance, color, texture, flavor, overall liking and fleshing. Beans were rated on a nine point hedonic scale, with zero being dislike extremely and nine being like extremely. Sample preparation for both OSU
and industry panels consisted of serving the canned samples at room temperature while the frozen samples were blanched prior to serving.

## III. Single Harvest Bean Lines

Twenty new round pod lines from Planting II were harvested and processed into both canned and frozen products. In addition, four standards or check varieties (including 2 flat Italian pod selections) were harvested once and processed for comparison. The lines were the following:

|  | Numbered lines |  |  | Standards |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5408 | 5394 | 5073 |  | Hystyle |
| 5412 | 5405 | 5411 | $91 G$ |  |
| 5403 | 5386 | $5090 B$ | Roma II |  |
| 5417 | 5420 | 5404 | Roma 350 |  |
| 5402 | 5408 | 5421 |  |  |
| 5456 | 5163 | 5433 |  |  |
| 5437 | 5416 |  |  |  |

## A. Canned Beans

The results for the industry panel evaluation of the canned pack appear in Table 1 and Figure 5. Overall quality scores ranged from 4.2 to 6.5 with the standard 91G rating 5.4. Most lines in fact rated higher than 91 G in overall quality, with 5402 and 5403 scoring significantly higher. The industry panel also scored these two lines higher than 91G in terms of appearance, color, texture and flavor. Line 5433 scored high in appearance and overall quality. Lines 5417 and Hystyle both scored poorly in appearance and overall quality. Hystyle was rated down for color and flavor, while 5417 was judged poorly for texture. Overall quality of flat Italian line Roma II was judged to be slightly better than Roma 350, but differences were not significant.

## B. Frozen Beans

Table 2 and Figure 6 include results of the industry panel evaluation of frozen green beans. Overall quality scores ranged from 4.9 to 6.2, a narrower range than that seen in canned beans. The standard, 91G, received a score of 5.4 for overall quality, while 5402 and 5403 were rated 5.8 and 6.2 , respectively. These two lines were rated higher in appearance, color, texture and flavor than 91G, which was also the case in the canned samples. Line 5433 received very low scores for appearance, color, flavor and overall quality. It is surprising that this line, which was scored high in the canned sample, did so poorly in the frozen product. The evaluation may have been affected by the fact that the sample contained $92 \% 1$ to 4 sieves.

## IV. Multi-Harvest Bean Lines

Five advanced bean lines and six industry standards were harvested three to five times, with Plantings I and V canned and Planting III frozen. Processed quality over the range of planting dates and crop maturities was assessed by the OSU panel, the industry panel and by analysis of seed and fiber in the iarger sieved pods. The following were included in the multi-harvest evaluation study:

|  | Numbered lines |  |  | Standards |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5411 | 5256 | 5163 | Hystyle <br> 5417 | 5402 |

Sensory quality results for canned beans from Plantings I (July harvest) and V (August harvest), as evaluated by both the industry and OSU panel, are presented in Table 3. Results for frozen beans from Planting III are presented in Table 4. In order to simplify the discussion of results, the information in Tables 3 and 4 has been summarized into Tables 5 and 6. Table 5 lists only the highest and lowest scoring lines, and the quality attributes for which these bean lines scored either well or poorly. Table 6 summarizes this information even further, and lists those bean lines which scored either high or low in more than two quality attributes.

The same sensory information listed in Tables 3 and 4 (industry panels only) is displayed graphically in Figures 1 through 4. Because of the need for brevity, only five of the most interesting graphs of sensory quality attributes are displayed for canned and frozen round and flat Italian bean types. Beans were canned from both Planting I and V, and in order to represent this, a space has been left between harvests 1-3 (Planting I) and 4-6 (Planting V). The industry standard, 91 G , was plotted in heavy type to emphasize its scores, but it is actually incorrect to compare this standard with the Italian type beans. In these graphs, 91G should be ignored.

Analytical results appear in Tables 7,8 and 9. Percent seeds and fiber are reported for all three plantings. These analyses were carried out on sieve 5 or 6 canned and frozen beans in August, 1990.

## A. General Comments

1. OSU panel scores were, in general, higher than those of the industry panel for canned beans and lower than the industry panel for frozen.
2. OSU and industry panels did not agree on highest rated samples in most cases. As shown in Table 6, the only time when both panels concurred on the highest rated line was in the case of frozen samples of 5402.

## C. Frozen Beans

The industry rated 5402,5256 and Roma II as the top-ranking frozen beans (Table 4). All three were rated consistently high in all quality attributes, with values being slightly higher overall for the round than the flat bean types. The lowest scoring lines in both canned and frozen products, as rated by the industry, were 5417 and Hystyle (Table 6). Figure 3 illustrates that 5402 was rated much higher than other lines at all maturities. Variety 91 G was rated very poorly for appearance, but scored fairly well in all other attributes. Hystyle was obviously rated poorly in many attributes, including color, flavor and overall quality (Figure 3). The patterns shown with Italian beans (Figure 4) are not that clear, but it appears that Roma 350 was rated much higher at late harvest than early or mid. Primo, on the other hand, declined in quality with time (Figure 4).

The OSU panel rated 91G, 5402 and Roma 350 as the best frozen beans (Table 5), however quality attributes were not consistently good in these lines. Variety 91G scored well on texture and flavor in the 5 sieve cut beans, and in appearance, color, texture and fleshing in 3 whole beans. Line 5402 was rated high in terms of appearance, color and flavor in the 5 sieve cut and high in color, texture, flavor and fleshing in the 3 whole sieve bean. Roma 350 rated high in color, texture and flavor, while Primo was also desirable for appearance and fleshing.

## V. Conclusions

Results from the industry panel indicate that single harvest bean lines 5402,5403 and 5433 received the highest sensory scores in the canned product. Sensory scores for frozen 5433 beans were very low, however. In the frozen product, lines 5402 and 5403 were top-rated, followed by 5405,5412 and 5437.

Multi-harvest sensory results differed greatly between industry and OSU panels and future evaluations should address these differences. In general, 5402 and Roma II were the highest rated beans by the industry panel, in both canned and frozen products. The OSU panel, on the other hand, rated 5163, 5417 and Roma II highest in the canned product and 91G, 5402 and Roma 350 highest in the frozen product. Percent seed was lowest in Hystyle and Roma 350, and highest in 5402 in both plantings. Fiber content was highest in 5417 and lowest in 5411 from both plantings.

Table 1. 1989 Canned Green Beans - Industry Panel, single harvest. Data for sample code, \% 1-4 sieve, average and standard deviation (in parenthesis) for appearance, color, texture, flavor and overall quality.

| Line | \% 1-4 sieve | Appearance | Color |  | Texture |  | Flavor |  | Overall Quality |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5073 | 41 | 5.6 (1.2) | 5.8 | (1.1) | 5.9 | (1.5) | 5.8 | (1.4) | 5.7 | (1.3) |
| 5090 | 38 | 5.7 (1.2) | 5.6 | (1.1) | 5.9 | (1.5) | 5.8 | (1.4) | 5.7 | (1.2) |
| 5163 | 59 | 5.3 (1.6) | 5.4 | (1.4) | 5.3 | (1.9) | 5.8 | (1.5) | 5.3 | (1.2) |
| 5256 | 62 | 5.8 (1.7) | 5.8 | (1.3) | 5.6 | (1.7) | 5.9 | (1.7) | 5.6 | (1.7) |
| 5386 | 42 | 5.2 (1.1) | 5.6 | (1.4) | 6.1 | (1.5) | 6.0 | (1.5) | 5.8 | (1.6) |
| 5394 | 47 | 6.2 (0.8) | 5.7 | (0.9) | 5.9 | (1.2) | 5.8 | (1.3) | 5.7 | (0.9) |
| 5402 | 57 | 6.7 (0.9) | 6.7 | (0.8) | 6.2 | (1.3) | 6.2 | (1.5) | 6.5 | (1.1) |
| 5403 | 56 | 6.6 (1.3) | 6.6 | (1.3) | 6.3 | (1.3) | 6.2 | (1.7) | 6.4 | (1.2) |
| 5404 | 57 | 5.4 (1.3) | 6.1 | (1.0) | 5.4 | (1.5) | 5.8 | (1.4) | 5.6 | (1.3) |
| 5405 | 54 | 5.8 (1.5) | 5.9 | (1.4) | 6.0 | (1.2) | 5.9 | (1.2) | 5.8 | (1.1) |
| 5408 | 56 | 5.4 (1.4) | 5.4 | (1.6) | 5.4 | (1.6) | 5.8 | (1.1) | 5.3 | (1.5) |
| 5411 | 45 | 5.6 (1.3) | 5.4 | (1.6) | 5.8 | (1.3) | 5.9 | (1.1) | 5.9 | (1.2) |
| 5412 | 56 | 5.2 (1.2) | 5.5 | (1.6) | 5.5 | (1.3) | 5.6 | (1.3) | 5.1 | (1.2) |
| 5416 | 46 | 5.4 (1.0) | 5.7 | (0.9) | 5.6 | (1.3) | 5.2 | (1.8) | 5.2 | (1.0) |
| 5417 | 54 | 4.9 (1.6) | 5.8 | (1.6) | 4.4 | (2.4) | 5.0 | (2.0) | 4.2 | (1.6) |
| 5420 | 57 | 5.7 (1.6) | 5.6 | (1.4) | 4.9 | (1.9) | 5.6 | (1.5) | 5.1 | (1.5) |
| 5421 | 55 | 5.8 (1.5) | 5.4 | (1.6) | 5.7 | (1.3) | 5.7 | (1.3) | 5.4 | (1.1) |
| 5433 | 92 | 6.6 (1.2) | 6.2 | (1.2) | 5.9 | (1.6) | 5.7 | (1.4) | 5.9 | (1.0) |
| 5437 | 89 | 5.3 (1.0) | 5.6 | (1.5) | 6.0 | (1.2) | 5.3 | (1.9) | 5.4 | (1.2) |
| Hystyle | 46 | 4.9 (1.7) | 3.9 | (1.7) | 5.4 | (1.4) | 4.6 | (1.1) | 4.2 | (1.2) |
| 91G | 56 | 5.3 (1.6) | 5.9 | (1.4) | 5.6 | (1.1) | 5.7 | (1.1) | 5.4 | (1.0) |
| Roma II | 77 | 5.7 (1.2) | 5.5 | (1.2) | 5.7 |  | 5.4 | (1.7) | 5.4 | (1.1) |
| Roma 350 | 70 | 5.5 (1.6) | 5.0 | (1.4) | 5.0 | (1.8) | 5.4 | (1.7) | 5.2 | (1.5) |

Table 3. 1989 Canned Green Beans - Industry \& OSU Panels. Multi-harvest, 5c Data for sample code, harvest date, \% 1-4 sieve, average for appearance, color, texture, flavor, overall quality (industry panel) and fleshing (OSU panel).

| Line | Harvest date | $\% 1.4$ sieve | Industry Appear. | Panel Average scores Color Texture Flavor Overall |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OsU } \\ & \text { Appea } \end{aligned}$ | Color | extu | res Flavor | Fleshing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5163 | 17-Jul | 61.0 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 17-Jul | 6.4 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 5.7 |
|  | $19 . J u l$ | 42.0 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.9 | $19 \cdot \mathrm{Jul}$ | 6.7 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 5.7 |
|  | 21-Jul | 38.0 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 6.2 | $21 \cdot \mathrm{Jul}$ | 6.7 | 5.9 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 5.7 |
|  | 21-Aug | 60.0 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 21.Aug | 6.7 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 5.7 |
|  | 23-Aug | 34.0 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 23-Aug | 7.2 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.4 |
|  | 25-Aug | 29.0 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 25-Aug | 6.9 | 7.4 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.3 |
| 5256 | 17-Jul | 69.0 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 17-Jul | 7.2 | 6.3 | 5.3 | 3.2 | 4.8 |
|  | 19-Jul | 57.0 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 19-Jul | 5.9 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 4.9 |
|  | 21-Jul | 48.0 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 21-Jul | 6.1 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 5.1 |
|  | 21-Aug | 63.0 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 21-Aug | 7.1 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.8 |
|  | 24-Aug | 42.0 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 24-Aug | 7.0 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 6.1 | 5.9 |
|  | 26-Aug | 33.0 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 26-Aug | 6.7 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 5.8 |
| 5402 | 18-Jul | 63.0 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 18-Jul | 5.9 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 5.2 |
|  | 20.Jul | 53.0 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 20-Jul | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 5.2 |
|  | 22 -Jul | 40.0 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 22-Jul | 6.0 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 6.6 |
|  | 22.Aug | 57.0 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 22-Aug | 6.6 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 6.9 |
|  | 24-Aug | 38.0 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 24-Aug | 7.0 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 6.2 |
|  | 26.Aug | 30.0 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 26-Aug | 6.4 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 6.9 |
| 5411 | 14-Jui | 63.0 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17 -Jul | 28.0 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 17-Jul | 5.8 | 6.5 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 5.3 |
|  | 19-Jul | 21.0 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 19-Jul | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 4.8 |
|  | 21-Aug | 41.0 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 5.1 | 21-Aug | 6.8 | 7.0 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 5.8 |
|  | 23-Aug | 22.0 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.1 | $23-A u g$ | 6.7 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 5.9 | 5.8 |
|  | 25-Aug | 15.0 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 25-Aug | 5.7 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 6.1 |
| 5417 | 17-Jul | 66.0 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 17-Jul | 5.9 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 6.1 | 5.9 |
|  | 19-Jul | 52.0 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 19-Jul | 6.2 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 6.0 | 5.1 |
|  | 21-Jul | 43.0 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 21-Jul | 5.7 | 6.6 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5.4 |
|  | 22-Aug | 48.0 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 22-Aug | 6.4 | 6.8 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 5.7 |
|  | 24-Aug | 32.0 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 24-Aug | 6.8 | 6.9 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 5.6 |
|  | 26-Aug | 25.0 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 26-Aug | 6.4 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 6.1 |
| Hystyle | 13-Jul | 58.0 | 5.7 | 3.9 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 13-Jul | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.0 |
|  | 15-Jul | 49.0 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 15-Jul | 5.9 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 4.4 |
|  | 17-Jul | 30.0 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 17 -Jul | 6.2 | 5.0 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.9 |
|  | 21-Aug | 36.0 | 5.6 | 4.0 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 21-Aug | 7.4 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.8 |
|  | 23-Aug | 24.0 | 5.6 | 4.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 23-Aug | 7.4 | 5.1 | 6.5 | 5.9 | 5.7 |
|  | 25-Aug | 17.0 | 5.6 | 4.1 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 25-Aug | 7.2 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 6.5 |
| 91 G | 15-Jul | 71.0 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 15.Jul | 5.8 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 4.7 |
|  | 17-Jul | 41.0 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 17-Jul | 5.4 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 4.8 |
|  | 19-Jul | 33.0 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 19-Jul | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 4.8 |
|  | 21-Aug | 44.0 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 21-Aug | 5.2 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 5.4 |
|  | 23-Aug | 29.0 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 23-Aug | 5.7 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.3 |
|  | 25-Aug | 20.0 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 25-Aug | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 5.1 |
| Primo | 13-Jut | 86.0 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15-Jul | 76.0 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 15-Jul | 7.0 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 6.3 |
|  | 17-Jul | 47.0 | -1.6 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 17-Jul | 6.7 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 7.0 |
|  | 24-Jul | 59.0 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21-Aug | 46.0 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 21-Aug | 7.4 | 5.3 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 6.0 |
|  | 23-Aug | 27.0 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 23.Aug | 6.6 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
|  | 25-Aug | 22.0 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 25.Aug | 7.0 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 6.2 |
| Roma 11 | 17-Jul | 71.0 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 17-Jul | 6.7 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 5.5 |
|  | 19-Jut | 66.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 19-Jut | 6.5 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.3 |
|  | 21-Jul | 50.0 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 21 -Jul | 6.3 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 6.5 |
|  | 21-Aug | 78.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 21-Aug | 6.5 | 4.6 | 6.7 | 6.1 | 6.2 |
|  | 23.Aug | 56.0 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 23-Aug | 6.3 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.4 |
|  | 25-Aug | 43.0 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 25-Aug | 6.5 | 4.2 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 |
| Roma 350 | 17-Jul | 79.0 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19-Jut | 76.0 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 19-Jul | 6.5 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 3.8 | 5.7 |
|  | 21-Jul | 91.0 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 21-Jul | 6.3 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 5.4 |
|  | 21-Aug | 81.0 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.8 | 21.Aug | 6.5 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 5.4 |
|  | 23-Aug | 71.0 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 23-Aug | 6.2 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
|  | 25-Aug | 60.0 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 25.Aug | 5.9 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 5.2 |
| Blue L.P. | 14-Aug | * | 6.8 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21.Aug | - | 7.2 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 21-Aug | 5.6 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 6.2 | 6.8 |

Table 4. 1989 Frozen Green Beans - Industry \& OSU Panels. Multi-harvest, 5c Data for sample code, harvest date, \% 1-4 sieve, average for appearance, color, texture, flavor, overall quality (industry panel) and fleshing (OSU panel).

| Line | Harvest date | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \% \\ & \text { sieve } \end{aligned}$ | Industry Appear. | Panel Color | Average Texture | Flavor Overall Quality |  | Harvest date | OSU P | Color | rexture | ores <br> Flavor | Fleshing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5163 | 5-Aug | 70 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5-Aug | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 5.4 |
|  | 7-Aug | 37 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 7-Aug | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 5.4 |
|  | 9.Aug | 45 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 9-Aug | 6.2 | 5.6 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 5.1 |
| 5256 | 5.Aug | 76 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 5-Aug | 6.9 | 7.6 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 5.8 |
|  | 7-Aug | 67 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 6.1 | 7-Aug | 7.1 | 7.2 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 5.7 |
|  | 9-Aug | 54 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 9-Aug | 7.3 | 7.4 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 6.0 |
| 5402 | 7-Aug | 68 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 7-Aug | 7.2 | 7.2 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 5.3 |
|  | 9.Aug | 41 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 9-Aug | 6.9 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 5.6 |
|  | 11-Aug | 35 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 11-Aug | 7.7 | 7.7 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 6.0 |
| 5411 | 4.Aug | 66 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 4-Aug | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 4.6 |
|  | 7-Aug |  |  |  |  |  | . | 7-Aug | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 5.2 |
|  | 11.Aug | 37 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 11-Aug | . |  | . |  |  |
| 5417 | 7.Aug | 50 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 7-Aug | 6.1 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 4.5 |
|  | 9-Aug | 34 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 9-Aug | 5.6 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.5 |
|  | 11-Aug | 33 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 11-Aug | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| Hystyle | 4-Aug | 54 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 4.Aug | 6.5 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 5.8 |
|  | 7-Aug | 47 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 7-Aug | 6.5 | 4.4 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 6.0 |
|  | 9.Aug | 41 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 9-Aug | 7.0 | 4.7 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 6.9 |
| 91 G | 5-Aug | 52 | 4.6 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.Aug | 6.8 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 5.7 |
|  | 7-Aug | 42 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 7-Aug | 6.9 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 5.2 |
|  | 9-Aug | 25 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 9-Aug | 7.3 | 7.2 | 6.2 | 6.9 | 5.9 |
| Blue LP. | 11-Aug | * | 7.1 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 11.Aug | - | - | - | - | - |
| Primo | 2.Aug | 73 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 2.Aug | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.3 |
|  | 4.Aug | 62 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 4-Aug | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 5.7 |
|  | 7.Aug | 55 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 7-Aug | 5.8 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 4.8 |
| Roma II | 2-Aug | 84 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 2-Aug | 6.0 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.3 |
|  | 4-Aug | 78 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 4-Aug | 6.3 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.2 |
|  | 7-Aug | 62 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 7-Aug | 6.0 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| Roma 350 | 2-Aug | 85 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 2.Aug | - | * | * | * | * |
|  | 4-Aug | 77 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 4-Aug | 5.8 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.1 |
|  | 7-Aug | 73 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 7-Aug | 6.0 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 6.0 |

Table 5. Sensory quality attributes of highest ranking OSU bean lines, 1989.

| Commodity | Processing Style | Size | Panel | Highest Scoring Lines |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Appear | Color | Texture | Flavor | Overall |
| Beans | Canned | 5 c | Industry | 5402,91G | 91G, 5402 | 5402, 5163 | 91G, 5402 | 91G, 5402 |
|  |  | 5c | OSU | 5163, 5256 | 5417, 5163 | 5256, 5411 | 5163,91G | --- |
|  |  | 5c Italian | Industry | --- | Roma 350 | Roma II | Roma II | Roma 350 |
|  |  | 5 c Italian | OSU | Primo | Primo | Roma II | Primo | --- |
|  |  | 3W | OSU | 5163, Hystyle | $\begin{aligned} & 5417, \\ & 5163 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5417 \\ & 5163 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5411, \\ & 5417 \end{aligned}$ | --- |
|  |  | 3W <br> Italian | OSU | Primo | Primo | Roma II | Roma II | --- |
|  | Frozen | 5 c | Industry | $\begin{array}{r} 5402, \\ 5256 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5402, \\ & 5256 \end{aligned}$ | 5402 | $\begin{aligned} & 5256, \\ & 5402 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5402, \\ 5256 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | 5c | OSU | $\begin{gathered} 5402, \\ 5256 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5402, \\ & 5163 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \mathrm{G}, \\ & 5163 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \mathrm{G} \\ & 5402 \end{aligned}$ | Hystyle, 5256 |
|  |  | 5c Italian | Industry | Roma II | Primo | Roma 350 | Roma 350 | --- |
|  |  | 5c Italian | OSU | Primo | Roma 350 | Roma 350 | Roma 350 | --- |
|  |  | 3W | OSU | Hystyle, 91G | $\begin{gathered} 5256, \\ 5402,91 G \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 G, 5256 \\ 5402 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5256, \\ & 5402 \end{aligned}$ | --- |
|  |  | 3W <br> Italian | OSU | Primo | Roma 350 | Roma 350 | Roma 350 | --- |

Table 6. Highest and lowest sensory scores for OSU green bean lines, 1989.

| Processing <br> Style | Type of <br> Panel | Highest Scoring Lines | Lowest Scoring Lines |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Canned | Industry | $5402,91 \mathrm{G} /$ Roma II | 5417, Hystyle/Roma 350 |
|  | OSU | $5163,5417(3 \mathrm{~W}) /$ Roma II | 91 G,Hystyle (3W)/Roma <br> 350 |
| Frozen | Industry | $5402,5256 /$ Roma II | 5417, Hystyle/Primo |
|  | OSU | $91 \mathrm{G}, 5402 /$ Roma 350 | $5417,5411 /$ Roma II |

Table 9. Frozen green bean analytical results, sives $5+6$. (Planting III)

| Sample Code | Harvest Date | \% 1-4 <br> Sieve | n | Average \% Seed | Average \% Fiber |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primo | 8/2 | 73 | 2 | 1.4 | 0.008 |
| Primo | 8/4 | 62 | 2 | 1.6 | 0.008 |
| Primo | 8/7 | 55 | 2 | 3.4 | 0.011 |
| Roma 2 | 8/2 | 84 | 2 | 1.6 | 0.008 |
| Roma 2 | 8/4 | 78 | 2 | 1.9 | 0.008 |
| Roma 2 | 87 | 62 | 2 | 5.2 | 0.010 |
| Roma 350 | 8/2 | 85 | 2 | 1.0 | 0.009 |
| Roma 350 | 8/4 | 77 | 2 | 1.3 | 0.010 |
| Roma 350 | 8/7 | 73 | 2 | 2.4 | 0.011 |
| Hystyle | 8/4 | 54 | 2 | 1.9 | 0.010 |
| Hystyle | 8/7 | 47 | 2 | 4.0 | 0.011 |
| Hystyle | 8/9 | 31 | 2 | 6.7 | 0.015 |
| 5411 | 8/4 | 66 | 2 | 3.4 | 0.008 |
| 5411 | 8/7 | 37 | 2 | 5.8 | 0.009 |
| 5163* | 8/5 | 70 | 2 | 4.4 | 0.008 |
| 5163* | 8/7 | 61 | 2 | 6.9 | 0.011 |
| 5163 | 8/9 | 45 | 2 | 10.7 | 0.013 |
| 5256* | 8/5 | 76 | 2 | 4.3 | 0.009 |
| 5256* | 8/7 | 67 | 2 | 6.7 | 0.012 |
| 5256 | 8/9 | 54 | 2 | 9.3 | 0.016 |
| 91G | 8/5 | 52 | 2 | 4.7 | 0.009 |
| 91G | 8/7 | 42 | 2 | 7.5 | 0.011 |
| 91G | 8/9 | 25 | 2 | 8.9 | 0.017 |
| 5402* | 8/7 | 68 | 2 | 6.0 | 0.011 |
| 5402* | 8/9 | 41 | 2 | 7.8 | 0.013 |
| 5402 | 8/11 | 35 | 2 | 11.3 | 0.013 |
| 5417* | 8/7 | 50 | 2 | 5.1 | 0.015 |
| 5417 | 8/9 | 34 | 2 | 8.1 | 0.022 |
| 5417 | 8/11 | 33 | 2 | 9.6 | 0.029 |
| Blue* |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Pole | 8/11 | ? | 2 | 6.0 | 0.009 |

* 5 sieves

 Industry Ponel, Sc. Average appeorance score.


Figure 1. Sensory results for 1989 cumned green beans, industry pane1, 5 sieve cut.


Figure 4. 1989 Canned Italian Green Beans Industry Ponel, Sc. Auerage appearance score


Figure 6. 1989 Cenned Itallon Green Beons Industry Ponel, Sc. Ruerage flavor score.

Industry Panel, 5c. averoge color score.


Figure 8. 1989 Conned Italian Green Beans Industry Ponel, Sc. Aueroge quallty score.


Figure 2. Sensory results for 1989 canned Italian green beans, industry panel, 5 sieve cut.




figure 17. 1989 frozen Green Beans Industry Panel, Sc. Auerege quality score.


Figure 3. Sensory results for 1989 frozen green beans, industry pane1, 5 sieve cut.



Figure 16. 1989 Frozen Itallan Green Beans - Industry Panel, Sc. Auerage flauor score.




Figure 4. Sensory results for 1989 frower Italian gre.li beans, industry panet, 5 sieve cut.



