## Report to the Oregon Processed Vegetable Commission 1993-1994

1. Title:
2. Project Leaders: J. R. Baggett, Horticulture Brian Yorgey, Food Science and Technology

Cooperator: D. Mok
3. Project Status: Terminating June 30, 1994
4. Project Funding: $\$ 39,000$ breeding
$\$ 25,000$ breeding supplementary technical support
\$13,847 processing
Breeding funds were used for a major portion of the support of two vegetable breeding technicians, student labor, supplies, and research farm expenses. Processing funds were used for processing samples of experimental beans, laboratory analysis, and panel evaluations.
5. Objectives: Breed bush green beans for the western Oregon processing industry with:
A. Improved potential for high yields at favorable sieve sizes and dependability
B. Improved straightness, texture, and other quality factors
C. Dêvelop easy picking and small pod strains of Blue Lake type
D. Resistance to white mold and root rot
6. Report of Progress:
A. Performance and quality of advanced breeding lines were tested in seven replicated yield trials, planted about every 10 days from May 11 to July 9. Trials 2, 4, 5, 6, and 7 included six OSU varieties and lines along with three commercial varieties of the small sieve or "baby bean" type for comparison with OSU baby bean line 5446. Trials 1 and 3 included five additional new OSU lines, two of which were omitted from harvest. Since several OSU lines were of the easy picking type, the variety Easy Pick was included in all of the seven trials, and Hypak, a commercial variety of nonBlue Lake type was included in trials 1 and 3. The plots were one or two rows $20^{\prime}$ long, replicated four times. Five five-foot harvests were made from each plot, usually at two-day intervals. Trial 1, which was planted May 11 and subjected to a very serious standing water and compaction problem, was not harvested except for the baby beans. Samples were taken to Food Science and Technology for canning and freezing. Processed quality data will be presented in a supplemental report.

When the detailed data on yield of standard size beans (Tables 1 and 2) were used to calculate $\$ /$ acre (Table 3), it was not possible to make totally valid comparisons between variety means because they were not derived from a uniform number of harvests or uniform maturity at harvest. Using individual harvests, at specified \% 1-4 sieve, it should be possible to compare lines reasonably well. A seasonal average for value/acre is shown in the following summary table.

|  | Season Average $\$ /$ A Based on |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variety | Trial Averages $^{*}$ | Selected Harvest | Highest Harvest |
| Oregon 91G | 1390 | 1440 | 1485 |
| OSU 5402 | 1460 | 1541 | 1581 |
| OSU 5416 | 1550 | 1604 | 1669 |
| OSU 5421 | 1527 | 1524 | 1626 |
| OSU 5558 | 1322 | 1391 | 1461 |

*Average of 1-3 harvests from six trials.
**The harvest closest to $50 \% 1-4$ sieve, usually.

The above table shows that Oregon 54, OSU 5416, and OSU 5421 exceeded Oregon 91 G in dollar value either way it is presented. Figure 2 compares 91 G and Oregon 54 in $\$$ value. Oregon 54 was exceeded by OSU 5416 and OSU 5421 in season trial average and average of highest harvests, but not for selected harvests. These results are similar to those obtained in 1992. However, a summary of yields of these lines for 1989 through 1993 (Table 9) does not suggest that OSU 5416 and OSU 5421 have the potential to yield more T/A or \$/A than Oregon 54. While the five-year average of OSU 5421 does exceed that of Oregon 54, the difference is probably not great enough to justify increasing more seed of OSU 5421. This will be considered, however. Note that OSU 5416 and OSU 5421 have higher white mold and root rot severity scores than OSU 5402.

A comparison of the 1993 performance of Oregon 91G and Oregon 54 in commercial plantings is shown in Table 10 and Figures 3 and 4.

Because standard size lines were not harvested in trial 1, only one trial was available to evaluate several of the new lines. Easy picking line OSU 5558 was harvested in six trials and was close to Oregon 91G in yield. Easy picking line OSU 5520 was about equal to Oregon 91G in its only trial, trial 3. The third easy picking line, OSU 5563, did not produce as much as Oregon 91G. These three lines are being continued for the present.

Yields and dollar value of small sieve varieties are compared in Table 4. OSU 5446 compared well with the three commercial varieties. Dollar values based on $\$ 300 /$ ton for 2-4 sieve are summarized in the table below.

| Variety | Season Average \$/A Based on |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Highest \$ Value $^{2}$ | Highest T/A 3 Sieve |
| 5446 | 1545 | 1416 |
| Minuette | 1353 | 1307 |
| Rogers 324 | 1306 | 1306 |
| $76-110$ | 1247 | 1210 |

${ }^{2}$ Average of the highest $\$$ value of the 3-5 harvests in each of seven trials.
The season average for OSU 5446 is distinctly higher than the other three varieties in either comparison used. In Table 8 and Figure 1, the dollar returns/acre of OSU 5446 and Oregon 91G are compared using the same value of $\$ 275$ for 2-4 sieve pods and $\$ 127$ for 5 and 6 sieve pods. Returns for OSU 5446 are comparable to Oregon 91 G in this case also, although selection of a comparable harvest for comparison is arbitrary.

Table 7 and Figures 5 and 6 show that Oregon 91G, OSU 5446, and 76-110 all yielded significantly higher in $18^{\prime \prime}$ rows than in $36^{\prime \prime}$ rows. Although, statistically, the interaction between varieties and spacings was not significant, the proportional increase of $18^{\prime \prime}$ rows over $36^{\prime \prime}$ rows was slightly greater in OSU 5446 (1.66) than for 91G (1.44) and 76-110 (1.40). Figures 7 and 8 show that yields of 3 and 4 sieve pods, and especially yields of 3 sieve pods alone, are considerably higher with 5446 and 76110. The total yield of 91G (Figure 9) was distinctly higher than that of 5446 and 76110.
B. Tables 5 and 6 show root rot and white mold scores for the lines included in our yield trials. Root rot infection was unusually severe and affected the yields in trial 3, which was planted in the root rot area (Tables 1 and 4). Some early varieties were badly damaged, i.e. Hypak and Minuette. Note root rot scores for these varieties ( 5.0 and 4.3). Although Oregon 91G also was rated 4.3 for root rot, the effect on yield was not so great. As usual, Oregon 91G received lower white mold and higher root rot scores than Oregon 54. A related line, OSU 5418, had the highest infection score for white mold.

Of the small beans, OSU 5446 received the maximum score for root rot infection, 5.0, which was higher than that received by Rogers 324, Minuette, and 76-110. However, OSU 5446 still outyielded these other varieties in the root rot trial (trial 3, Table 4). In the white mold area, 76-110 and Rogers 324 had less infection than

OSU 5446 (which was the same as 91 G ), but Minuette was higher in white mold infection.
C. New materials being evaluated and brought through generations in 1993 included a diverse population of standard size lines, small sieve lines, and lines which might be intermediate. Although small sieve (baby beans) are not considered feasible alternatives by most Oregon processors, high yielding lines of small or intermediate pod size might be practical in the future.

## 7. Summary:

In replicated trials, as in 1992 trials, Oregon 54, OSU 5416, and OSU 5421 produced higher $\$ /$ acre values than Oregon 91G. Small sieve line OSU 5446 compared well in $\$ /$ acre with Rogers 324, 76-110, and Minuette. OSU 5446 returns/acre compared well with returns from Oregon 91 G when the same price/ton was used. Breeding of normal size, small sieve, and intermediate types of Blue Lake bush beans continued.
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Table 1. Green bean yields, June 10 planting (root rot area), Corvallis, 1993. ${ }^{\text {² }}$

| Line | Av. <br> Stand | Harvest 1 |  |  |  | Harvest 2 |  |  |  | Harvest 3 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. Adj. } \\ \text { T/A } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Days | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 1-4 \end{gathered}$ | T/A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Adj. } \\ & \text { T/A } \end{aligned}$ | Days | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 1-4 \end{gathered}$ | T/A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Adj. } \\ & \text { T/A } \end{aligned}$ | Days | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 1-4 \end{gathered}$ | T/A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Adj. } \\ & \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{A} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 91G | 150 | 63 | 83 | 3.2 | 4.2 | 64 | 70 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 67 | 56 | 4.1 | 4.4* | 3.9 |
| 5402 | 150 | 63 | 93 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 64 | 89 | 4.1 | 5.7 | 67 | 72 | 4.9 | 6.0* | 5.6 |
| 5416 | 150 | 64 | 96 | 3.6 | 5.3 | 67 | 79 | 4.5 | 5.9* |  |  |  |  | 5.6 |
| 5418 | 150 | 64 | 97 | 4.2 | 6.1 | 67 | 92 | 5.3 | 7.5* |  |  |  |  | 6.8 |
| 5421 | 150 | 64 | 87 | 4.2 | 5.8 | 67 | 74 | 5.2 | 6.5* |  |  |  |  | 6.2 |
| 5520 | 150 | 63 | 88 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 64 | 80 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 67 | 56 | 4.1 | 4.3* | 4.1 |
| 5558 | 150 | 64 | 100 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 67 | 93 | 2.8 | 3.9* |  |  |  |  | 3.6 |
| 5563 | 150 | 64 | 97 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 67 | 95 | 2.9 | 4.2* |  |  |  |  | 3.6 |
| Hypak | 142 | 63 | 94 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 64 | 90 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 67 | 81 | 2.2 | 2.9* | 2.3 |
| EZ Pick ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | 149 | 67 | 97 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 69 | 98 | 2.7 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  | 3.8 |

${ }^{2}$ Mean of 6 replications; subplots of 5 ' were harvested from 20 ' plots on each harvest date; rows 36 " apart; days = days from planting; $\%=$ percent $1-4$ sieve grades; adj. $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{A}=$ tons per acre adjusted to $50 \% 1-4$ sieve. Analysis of variance calculated using the harvest closest to $50 \% 1-4$ sieve for each line marked with *. LSD for comparing * means was 0.9 T/A at $5 \%$ significance. Very low yields of some varieties were due to severe root rot susceptibility.
${ }^{\text {y }}$ EZ Pick was not included in analysis of variance because only 4 replications were harvested.

Table 2. Yields of selected OSU bean lines on five planting dates, Corvallis, 1993. ${ }^{\text {² }}$

|  | Line | Av. Stand | Harvest 1 |  |  |  | Harvest 2 |  |  |  | Harvest 3 |  |  |  | Harvest 4 |  |  |  | Av. <br> Adj. <br> T/A | $\begin{gathered} \text { LSD } \\ \text { T/A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LSD } \\ & \text { Adj. } \\ & \text { T/A } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Days | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 1-4 \end{gathered}$ | T/A | $\overline{\text { Adj. }}$ | Days | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \mathbf{\%} \\ 1-4 \end{gathered}$ | T/A | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{Adj}} . \\ & \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{A} \end{aligned}$ | Days | $\begin{gathered} \text { na } \\ \hline \% \\ 1-4 \end{gathered}$ | T/A | $\overline{\text { Adj. }}$ $T / A$ | Days | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ha} \\ \hline \% \\ \hline 1-4 \end{gathered}$ | T/A | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Adj} . \\ & \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{A} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 91G | 150 | 67 | 83 | 6.9 | 9.2 | 69* | 58 | 7.7 | 8.3 | 71 | 44 | 8.7 | 8.1 |  |  |  |  | 8.5 | 1.2 | NS |
|  | 5402 | 150 | 69 | $87^{\circ}$ | 6.6 | 9.0 | 70 | 78 | 7.0 | 8.9 | 72 | 67 | 7.2 | 8.4 | 74* | 53 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 8.8 |  |  |
|  | 5416 | 150 | 69 | 86 | 7.2 | 9.8 | 70 | 74 | 7.9 | 9.8 | 72* | 63 | 7.1 | 8.0 |  |  |  |  | 9.2 |  |  |
|  | 5421 | 150 | 69 | 79 | 8.1 | 10.4 | 70 | 64 | 8.6 | 9.8 | 72* | 55 | 7.9 | 8.3 |  |  |  |  | 9.5 |  |  |
|  | 5558 | 150 | 70 | 97 | 6.7 | 9.9 | 72 | 92 | 6.3 | 9.0 | 74 | 83 | 7.4 | 9.9 | 76* | 74 | 6.6 | 8.2 | 9.3 |  |  |
|  | EZ Pick | 148 | 70 | 95 | 5.0 | 7.2 | 72 | 96 | 5.4 | 7.9 | 74 | 88 | 6.8 | 9.4 | 76* | 82 | 6.5 | 8.6 | 8.3 |  |  |
|  | 91G | 150 | 63 | 79 | 6.5 | 8.3 | 68 | 46* | 9.4 | 9.0 | 70 | 45 | 9.1 | 8.6 |  |  |  |  | 8.6 | 2.0 | NS |
|  | 5402 | 150 | 62 | 91 | 6.2 | 8.7 | 64 | 84 | 6.3 | 8.4 | 67 | 60 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 69* | 54 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 9.0 |  |  |
|  | 5416 | 150. | 62 | 82 | 5.3 | 7.0 | 64 | 77 | 6.6 | 8.3 | 67 | 59 | 9.0 | 9.8 | 69* | 53 | 9.3 | 9.6 | 8.7 |  |  |
|  | 5421 | 150 | 62 | 74 | 6.6 | 8.2 | 64 | 66 | 7.3 | 8.5 | 67* | 46 | 9.0 | 8.6 |  |  |  |  | 8.4 |  |  |
|  | 5558 | 150 | 64 | 89 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 67 | 90 | 6.7 | 9.3 | 69* | 83 | 7.0 | 9.3 |  |  |  |  | 8.5 |  |  |
|  | EZ Pick | 150 | 64 | 96 | 4.6 | 6.7 | 67 | 95 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 68 | 93 | 5.8 | 8.5 | 69* | 90 | 6.9 | 9.7 | 8.3 |  |  |
|  | 91G | 150 | 61 | 66 | 8.0 | 9.3 | 62 | 60 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 64* | 49 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 65 | 29 | 9.1 | 7.2 | 8.5 | NS | NS |
|  | 5402 | 150 | 60 | 88 | 6.4 | 8.8 | 62 | 79 | 7.1 | 9.1 | 64* | 63 | 7.6 | 8.6 | 67 | 26 | 10.2 | 7.8 | 8.6 |  |  |
|  | 5416 | 149 | 60 | 91 | 6.6 | 9.3 | 62 | 84 | 7.5 | 10.1 | 64* | 69 | 7.7 | 9.2 | 67 | 35 | 10.3 | 8.8 | 9.4 |  |  |
|  | 5421 | 150 | 60 | 85 | 6.9 | 9.2 | 62 | 74 | 8.0 | 9.9 | 64* | 55 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 65 | 26 | 11.2 | 8.5 | 9.1 |  |  |
|  | 5558 | 149 | 62 | 97 | 5.4 | 8.0 | 64 | 95 | 6.0 | 8.7 | 67* | 57 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 69 | 36 | 8.1 | 7.0 | 8.0 |  |  |
|  | EZ Pick | 147 | 62 | 100 | 5.2 | 7.8 | 64 | 100 | 5.9 | 8.9 | 67 | 63 | 7.8 | 8.8 | 69* | 46 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 8.3 |  |  |

Table 2. Yields of selected OSU bean lines on five planting dates, Corvallis, 1993 (cont). ${ }^{\mathbf{z}}$

${ }^{2}$ Means of 4 replicates; subplots of 5 ' were harvested from double 20 ' plots on each harvest date; rows $36{ }^{\prime \prime}$ apart; days $=$ days from planting; $\%=$ percent $1-4$ sieve grades; adj. T/A = tons/acre adjusted to $50 \%$ 1-4.
'Analysis of variance calculated using the harvest marked *; LSD was calculated at 0.05 significance to compare values marked *.

Table 3. Dollar return/acre for standard OSU lines, six trials, 1993. ${ }^{\text {² }}$

| Trial | Variety | Harvest 1 |  |  | Harvest 2 |  |  | Harvest 3 |  |  | Avg. <br> \$/A $A^{y}$ | Selected $\$ / A^{x}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Days | \% | \$ | Days | \% | \$ | Days | \% | \$ |  |  |
| May 20 | 91G | 67 | 83 | 1488 | 69 | 58 | 1477 | 71 | 44 | 1419 | 1462 | 1477 |
|  | 5402 | 70 | 78 | 1410 | 72 | 67 | 1457 | 74 | 53 | 1585 | 1473 | 1585 |
|  | 5416 | 69 | 86 | 1550 | 70 | 74 | 1659 | 72 | 63 | 1355 | 1522 | 1355 |
|  | 5421 | 69 | 79 | 1693 | 70 | 64 | 1575 | 72 | 55 | 1439 | 1569 | 1439 |
|  | 5558 | 72 | 92 | 1520 | 74 | 83 | 1712 | 76 | 74 | 1490 | 1566 | 1490 |
|  | EZ Pick | 72 | 96 | 1259 | 74 | 88 | 1587 | 76 | 82 | 1538 | 1378 | 1538 |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { June } 10 \end{gathered}$ | 91G | 63 | 83 | 959 | 64 | 70 | 987 | 67 | 56 | 1145 | 1030 | 1145 |
|  | 5402 | 63 | 93 | 1093 | 64 | 89 | 1374 | 67 | 72 | 1530 | 1332 | 1530 |
|  | 5416 | 64 | 96 | 1199 | 67 | 79 | 1516 |  |  |  | 1357 | 1516 |
|  | 5418 | 64 | 97 | 1319 | 67 | 92 | 1733 |  |  |  | 1526 | 1733 |
|  | 5421 | 64 | 87 | 1318 | 67 | 74 | 1620 |  |  |  | 1469 | 1620 |
|  | 5520 | 63 | 88 | 788 | 64 | 80 | 1037 | 67 | 56 | 1130 | 985 | 1130 |
|  | 5558 | 64 | 100 | 618 | 67 | 93 | 919 |  |  |  | 769 | 919 |
|  | 5563 | 64 | 97 | 577 | 67 | 95 | 965 |  |  |  | 771 | 965 |
|  | Hypak | 63 | 94 | 373 | 64 | 90 | 581 | 67 | 81 | 687 | 547 | 687 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { June } 17 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{91 \mathrm{G}}$ | 63 | 79 | 1357 | 68 | 46 | 1699 | 70 | 45 | 1640 | 1565 | 1699 |
|  | 5402 | 64 | 84 | 1395 | 67 | 60 | 1696 | 69 | 54 | 1713 | 1504 | 1713 |
|  | 5416 | 64 | 77 | 1426 | 67 | 59 | 1768 | 69 | 53 | 1771 | 1528 | 1771 |
|  | 5421 | 62 | 79 | 1407 | 64 | 66 | 1656 | 67 | 46 | 1641 | 1568 | 1641 |
|  | 5558 | 64 | 89 | 1031 | 67 | 90 | 1458 | 69 | 83 | 1593 | 1361 | 1593 |
|  | EZ Pick | 67 | 95 | 747 | 68 | 93 | 1322 | 69 | 90 | 1538 | 1156 | 1538 |
| 5June 24 | 91G | 61 | 66 | 1653 | 62 | 60 | 1572 | 64 | 49 | 1463 | 1522 | 1463 |
|  | 5402 | 62 | 79 | 1535 | 64 | 63 | 1512 | 67 | 26 | 1569 | 1496 | 1512 |
|  | 5416 | 62 | 84 | 1647 | 64 | 69 | 1567 | 67 | 35 | 1707 | 1596 | 1567 |
|  | 55421 | 62 | 74 | 1585 | 64 | 55 | 1548 | 65 | 26 | 1623 | 1542 | 1548 |
|  | 5558 | 64 | 95 | 1422 | 67 | 57 | 1462 | 69 | 36 | 1329 | 1364 | 1462 |
|  | EZ Pick | 64 | 100 | 1385 | 67 | 63 | 1575 | 69 | 46 | 1756 | 1463 | 1756 |
| ${ }_{\text {July }} 1$ | ${ }^{91 G}$ | 62 | 70 | 1272 | 64 | 49 | 1250 | 67 | 21 | 1319 | 1281 | 1250 |
|  | 5402 | 64 | 68 | 1380 | 67 | 29 | 1459 |  |  |  | 1419 | 1380 |
|  | 5416 | 64 | 73 | 1742 | 67 | 29 | 1502 |  |  |  | 1622 | 1742 |
|  | 5421 | 64 | 66 | 1543 | 67 | 30 | 1447 |  |  |  | 1495 | 1543 |
|  | 5558 | 64 | 98 | 1504 | 67 | 55 | 1496 | 69 | 32 | 1454 | 1484 | 1496 |
|  | EZ Pick | 64 | 100 | 1112 | 67 | 72 | 1638 | 69 | 52 | 1526 | 1425 | 1526 |
| July 9 | 91G | 61 | 76 | 1503 | 63 | 80 | 1447 | 66 | 40 | 1604 | 1480 | 1604 |
|  | 5402 | 63 | 95 | 1462 | 66 | 65 | 1627 | 68 | 46 | 1524 | 1538 | 1524 |
|  | 5416 | 63 | 92 | 1495 | 66 | 70 | 1857 | 68 | 44 | 1672 | 1675 | 1672 |
|  | 5421 | 63 | 78 | 1588 | 66 | 51 | 1618 | 68 | 39 | 1352 | 1519 | 1352 |
|  | ${ }^{5558}$ EZ Pick | 63 63 | 92 | 1247 | 66 | 71 | 1578 | 68 | 52 | 1341 | 1389 | 1341 |
|  | EZ Pick | 63 | 73 | 1535 | 66 | 73 | 1511 | 68 | 49 | 1315 | 1376 | 1315 |

${ }^{2}$ Based on a value of $\$ 275$ for 3 and 4 sieve pods; $\$ 127$ for 5 and 6 sieve pods. 1 and 2 sieve pods excluded.
${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ Average $\$ /$ acre is a rough estimate because of non-uniform number of trials and maturities included.
${ }^{x}$ Selected best values for comparison. Usually the same value used for analysis of variance in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 4. Performance of small sieve green bean varieties, Corvallis, 1993.

| Trial | Variety | Days | Percent Sieve Size |  |  |  | Tons/Acre Sieve Size |  |  |  |  | \$/Acre ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $2^{z}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total ${ }^{\text {y }}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { May } 11 \end{gathered}$ | 5446 | 68 | 16 | 55 | 12 | 0 | 0.60 | 2.03 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 3.7 | 842 |
|  |  | 71 | 14 | 52 | 18 | 2 | 0.69 | 2.54 | 0.87 | 0.07 | 4.9 | 1135 |
|  |  | 73 | 7 | 39 | 38 | 10 | 0.45 | 2.61 | 2.54 | 0.65 | 6.7 | 1618 |
|  | Minuette | 68 | 28 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 0.89 | 1.27 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 3.1 | 613 |
|  |  | 71 | 16 | 66 | 3 | 0 | 0.69 | 2.90 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 4.4 | 1027 |
|  |  | 73 | 7 | 68 | 16 | 1 | 0.47 | 4.39 | 1.05 | 0.04 | 6.4 | 1629 |
|  | Rogers 324 | 68 | 28 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 1.05 | 1.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.8 | 748 |
|  |  | 71 | 20 | 60 | 2 | 0 | 0.98 | 2.94 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 4.9 | 1097 |
|  |  | 73 | 11 | 73 | 4 | 1 | 0.69 | 4.39 | 0.22 | 0.04 | 6.0 | 1460 |
|  | 76-110 | 68 | 27 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0.98 | 1.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.6 | 728 |
|  |  | 71 | 14 | 68 | 3 | 0 | 0.65 | 3.15 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 4.6 | 1087 |
|  |  | 73 | 10 | 68 | 10 | 1 | 0.56 | 3.77 | 0.58 | 0.04 | 5.5 | 1355 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { May } 20 \end{gathered}$ | 5446 | 63 | 34 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 1.32 | 1.10 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 3.9 | 703 |
|  |  | 65 | 26 | 43 | 6 | 0 | 1.20 | 1.99 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 4.7 | 957 |
|  |  | 67 | 14 | 53 | 18 | 1 | 0.78 | 2.83 | 0.94 | 0.04 | 5.4 | 1255 |
|  |  | 68 | 12 | 52 | 22 | 1 | 0.76 | 3.50 | 1.34 | 0.07 | 6.1 | 1454 |
|  |  | 69 | 8 | 49 | 32 | 3 | 0.49 | 3.15 | 2.03 | 0.22 | 6.4 | 1586 |
|  | Minuette | 65 | 35 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 1.02 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.9 | 508 |
|  |  | 67 | 24 | 50 | 2 | 0 | 0.87 | 1.85 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 3.7 | 768 |
|  |  | 69 | 12 | 69 | 6 | 0 | 0.65 | 3.62 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 5.2 | 1256 |
|  | Rogers 324 | 65 | 30 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0.21 | 1.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.1 | 793 |
|  |  | 67 | 22 | 54 | 1 | 0 | 0.12 | 2.76 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 5.1 | 1081 |
|  |  | 69 | 17 | 64 | 2 | 0 | 0.02 | 3.77 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 5.9 | 1346 |
|  | 76-110 | 65 | 22 | 55 | 1 | 0 | 0.92 | 2.32 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 4.2 | 902 |
|  |  | 67 | 14 | 65 | 7 | 0 | 0.72 | 3.33 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 5.1 | 1216 |
|  |  | 69 | 10 | 63 | 17 | 0 | 0.60 | 3.73 | 0.98 | 0.00 | 5.9 | 1460 |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { June } 10 \end{gathered}$ | 5446 | 61 | 22 | 44 | 9 | 3 | 0.56 | 1.09 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 1.7 | 522 |
|  |  | 63 | 17 | 44 | 16 | 5 | 0.60 | 1.56 | 0.58 | 0.18 | 2.3 | 774 |
|  |  | 64 | 12 | 37 | 13 | 4 | 0.62 | 1.99 | 0.69 | 0.22 | 3.6 | 933 |
|  | Minuette | 63 | 39 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0.38 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.7 | 164 |
|  |  | 64 | 30 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.9 | 264 |
|  |  | 67 | 12 | 68 | 7 | 0 | 0.33 | 1.81 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 1.8 | 638 |
|  | Rogers 324 | 61 | 42 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1.02 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.6 | 389 |
|  |  | 63 | 34 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 1.21 | 1.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.4 | 653 |
|  |  | 64 | 26 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 1.12 | 1.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.8 | 857 |
|  | 76-110 | 64 | 37 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0.98 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.8 | 459 |
|  |  | 67 | 26 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0.82 | 1.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.1 | 633 |

Table 4. Performance of small sieve green bean varieties, Corvallis, 1993 (cont.).

| Trial | Variety | Days | Percent Sieve Size |  |  |  | Tons/Acre Sieve Size |  |  |  |  | \$/Acre ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $2^{2}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total ${ }^{\text {y }}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { June } 17 \end{gathered}$ | 5446 | 60 | 10 | 63 | 16 | 1 | 0.51 | 3.23 | 0.83 | 0.04 | 5.1 | 1260 |
|  |  | 62 | 4 | 56 | 32 | 4 | 0.20 | 2.79 | 1.60 | 0.18 | 5.0 | 1283 |
|  |  | 64 | 4 | 45 | 40 | 8 | 0.20 | 2.54 | 2.25 | 0.47 | 5.7 | 1427 |
|  | Minuette | 62 | 32 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0.85 | 0.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.7 | 503 |
|  |  | 64 | 13 | 70 | 3 | 0 | 0.60 | 3.19 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 4.5 | 1082 |
|  |  | 67 | 3 | 82 | 11 | 1 | 0.16 | 3.92 | 0.51 | 0.04 | 4.8 | 1265 |
|  |  | 69 | 2 | 70 | 24 | 2 | 0.14 | 4.06 | 1.38 | 0.11 | 5.8 | 1548 |
|  | Rogers 324 | 61 | 27 | 46 | 1 | 0 | 1.02 | 1.74 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 3.8 | 768 |
|  |  | 64 | 21 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 1.12 | 3.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 5.3 | 1146 |
|  |  | 67 | 16 | 66 | 2 | 1 | 1.00 | 4.06 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 6.2 | 1425 |
|  | 76-110 | 62 | 24 | 51 | 1 | 0 | 0.85 | 1.78 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 3.5 | 733 |
|  |  | 64 | 15 | 67 | 4 | 0 | 0.60 | 2.68 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 942 |
|  |  | 67 | 5 | 67 | 23 | 1 | 0.25 | 3.66 | 1.23 | 0.04 | 5.4 | 1420 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{5} \\ \text { June } 24 \end{gathered}$ | 5446 | 57 | 20 | 50 | 9 | 0 | 0.92 | 2.28 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 4.5 | 992 |
|  |  | 60 | 9 | 60 | 21 | 1 | 0.49 | 3.37 | 1.20 | 0.07 | 5.6 | 1399 |
|  |  | 62 | 6 | 40 | 43 | 5 | 0.36 | 2.46 | 2.61 | 0.29 | 6.1 | 1530 |
|  |  | 64 | 2 | 29 | 48 | 18 | 0.14 | 2.14 | 3.55 | 1.30 | 7.4 | 1762 |
|  | Minuette | 60 | 18 | 62 | 2 | 0 | 0.69 | 2.39 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 3.8 | 867 |
|  |  | 62 | 9 | 77 | 5 | 0 | 0.44 | 3.55 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 4.6 | 1156 |
|  |  | 64 | 6 | 71 | 17 | 0 | 0.27 | 3.41 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 4.8 | 1241 |
|  | Rogers 324 | 60 | 24 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 1.05 | 2.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.4 | 927 |
|  |  | 62 | 19 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0.96 | 3.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.1 | 1141 |
|  |  | 64 | 13 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0.65 | 3.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.2 | 1236 |
|  | 76-110 | 60 | 14 | 66 | 5 | 0 | 0.63 | 2.90 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 4.4 | 1032 |
|  |  | 62 | 9 | 68 | 14 | 0 | 0.45 | 3.34 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 4.9 | 1231 |
|  |  | 64 | 6 | 53 | 34 | 2 | 0.33 | 3.04 | 1.99 | 0.11 | 5.8 | 1488 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { July } 1 \end{gathered}$ | 5446 | 60 | 20 | 48 | 13 | 0 | 0.78 | 1.88 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 872 |
|  |  | 62 | 15 | 47 | 19 | 5 | 0.76 | 2.46 | 0.98 | 0.25 | 5.2 | 1187 |
|  |  | 64 | 4 | 40 | 32 | 19 | 0.25 | 2.46 | 1.96 | 1.16 | 6.1 | 1425 |
|  | Minuette | 62 | 21 | 50 | 8 | 0 | 0.83 | 1.99 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 4.0 | 867 |
|  |  | 64 | 8 | 48 | 18 | 1 | 0.51 | 3.01 | 1.09 | 0.07 | 6.2 | 1275 |
|  |  | 67 | 2 | 27 | 48 | 22 | 0.11 | 1.74 | 3.04 | 1.38 | 6.4 | 1511 |
|  | Rogers 324 | 60 | 35 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 1.36 | 1.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.9 | 703 |
|  |  | 62 | 20 | 59 | 1 | 0 | 0.89 | 2.57 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 4.4 | 962 |
|  |  | 64 | 11 | 73 | 4 | 0 | 0.58 | 3.77 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 5.1 | 1256 |
|  | 76-110 | 60 | 19 | 57 | 3 | 1 | 0.63 | 1.88 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 3.3 | 727 |
|  |  | 62 | 12 | 61 | 15 | 1 | 0.49 | 2.39 | 0.58 | 0.04 | 3.9 | 956 |
|  |  | 64 | 9 | 53 | 28 | 2 | 0.40 | 2.43 | 1.27 | 0.07 | 4.6 | 1135 |

Table 4. Performance of small sieve green bean varieties, Corvallis, 1993 (cont.).

| Trial | Variety | Days | Percent Sieve Size |  |  |  | Tons/Acre Sieve Size |  |  |  |  | \$/Acre ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $2^{2}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total ${ }^{\text {y }}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { July } 9 \end{gathered}$ | 5446 | 59 | 15 | 51 | 16 | 4 | 0.91 | 3.08 | 0.98 | 0.22 | 6.1 | 1392 |
|  |  | 61 | 7 | 52 | 24 | 10 | 0.47 | 3.34 | 1.52 | 0.65 | 6.4 | 1544 |
|  |  | 63 | 7 | 44 | 30 | 13 | 0.54 | 3.37 | 2.28 | 0.98 | 7.7 | 1822 |
|  |  | 66 | 3 | 32 | 37 | 24 | 0.29 | 2.94 | 3.34 | 2.14 | 9.1 | 2061 |
|  | Minuette | 61 | 12 | 54 | 19 | 34 | 0.51 | 2.32 | 0.80 | 0.14 | 4.3 | 1014 |
|  |  | 63 | 10 | 52 | 25 | 30 | 0.49 | 2.54 | 1.20 | 0.14 | 4.8 | 1179 |
|  |  | 66 | 5 | 40 | 40 | 10 | 0.33 | 2.72 | 2.65 | 0.69 | 6.7 | 1648 |
|  | Rogers 324 | 61 | 26 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0.96 | 1.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.7 | 763 |
|  |  | 63 | 20 | 59 | 1 | 0 | 0.94 | 2.76 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 4.7 | 1027 |
|  |  | 66 | 13 | 72 | 3 | 0 | 0.82 | 4.64 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 6.5 | 1560 |
|  | 76-110 | 61 | 25 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 0.83 | 1.60 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 3.3 | 688 |
|  |  | 63 | 18 | 59 | 5 | 0 | 0.69 | 2.25 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 3.8 | 857 |
|  |  | 66 | 9 | 62 | 17 | 1 | 0.47 | 3.12 | 0.87 | 0.07 | 5.0 | 1235 |

${ }^{2} 2$ sieve values calculated as $50 \%$ of the combined $1+2$ sieve weights from grader.
'Total weight of graded beans, including sieve sizes 1-5.
x/acre based on $\$ 275 /$ ton for $2-4$ sieve; $\$ 120 /$ ton for 5 sieve.

Table 5. Fusarium root rot infection, Corvallis, 1993.

| Line | Score ${ }^{\text {z }}$ |  |  | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep 1 | Rep 2 | Avg. |  |
| 91G ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | 3.8 | 4.5 | 4.3 |  |
| 5402 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| 5416 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 |  |
| 5418 | 4 | 5 | 4.5 |  |
| 5421 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 |  |
| 5440 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 |  |
| 5446 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 |  |
| 5520 | 3.5 | 3 | 3.3 |  |
| 5558 | 3.5 | 5 | 4.3 |  |
| 5563 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 |  |
| B7030-24 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 3.0 |  |
| B7126-33-2-1 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 |  |
| B7237-13 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 |  |
| B7238-15 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | black-seeded |
| B7238-15 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | white-seeded |
| B7238-22 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 |  |
| B7239-5-1 | 5 | 3.5 | 4.3 |  |
| B7239-5-2 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 |  |
| B7239-5-4 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 |  |
| B7239-10 | 5 | 4 | 4.5 |  |
| B7239-11-2 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 |  |
| B7239-13 | 4 | 3.5 | 3.8 |  |
| B7240-2 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| B7243-8 | 2 | 5 | 3.5 |  |
| EZ Pick | 3 | 4 | 3.5 | - |
| Rogers 324 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |

Table 5. Fusarium root rot infection, Corvallis, 1993 (cont.).

| Line | Score $^{2}$ |  |  | Avg. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep 1 | Rep 2 |  |  |
| Minuette | 4 | 4.5 | 4.3 |  |
| $76-110$ | 4 | 4 | 4.0 |  |
| Hypak | 5 | 5 | 5.0 |  |
| WIS 46RR | 3 | 3 | 3.0 |  |
| WIS 83RR | 3 | 4 | 3.5 |  |
| RR6950y | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 |  |
| RR4270 | 2 | 3 | 2.5 |  |
| DM3NY1 | 3 | 3 | 3.0 |  |
| DM4NY6 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 2.5 |  |
| DM6NY1 | 2 | 3.5 | 2.8 |  |

${ }^{\text {z }}$ Scores: $1-5$ scale, $1=$ none or very slight, $5=$ roots mostly dead, plants severely
stunted.
yeach value is an average of 2 plots.

Table 6. White mold infection, Corvallis, 1993. ${ }^{\text {² }}$

| Line | Rep 1 | Rep 2 | Rep 3 | Rep 4 | Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 91G | 7.7 | 5, 6 | 4,4 | 3, 6 | 5.3 |
| 5402 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5.0 |
| 5416 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 6.5 |
| 5418 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 7.0 |
| 5421 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5.8 |
| 5440 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4.0 |
| 5446 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 5.3 |
| 5516 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 7.5 |
| 5520 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4.3 |
| 5558 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.5 |
| 5563 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 4.3 |
| B7126-1-1-1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0 |
| B7126-33-1-2 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2.8 |
| B7126-33-2-1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 3.5 |
| B7126-54-2-1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2.5 |
| B7237-1-1 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 4.3 |
| B7237-1-3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 |
| B7237-13 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1.3 |
| B7237-14-2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 |
| B7237-14-3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2.5 |
| B7237-14-4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.3 |
| B7238-15 white | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2.3 |
| B7238-22 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3.3 |
| B7239-1 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 |
| B7239-4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3.5 |
| B7239-5-1 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 4.3 |
| B7239-5-2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3.5 |
| B7239-5-4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3.3 |
| B7239-11 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3.0 |
| B7239-11-1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.3 |
| B7239-11-2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2.3 |
| B7239-11-3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.3 |
| B7239-12 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4.0 |
| B7239-13 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4.3 |

Table 6. White mold infection, Corvallis, 1993 (cont.). ${ }^{\text {z }}$

| Line | Rep 1 | Rep 2 | Rep 3 | Rep 4 | Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B7240-2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3.5 |
| B7243-8 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4.8 |
| DM3NY1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.5 |
| DM4NY6 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2.8 |
| DM6NY1 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3.5 |
| Black Turtle | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3.3 |
| Black Valentine | 5 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3.8 |
| MO162 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Tendercrop | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4.0 |
| Ex Rico | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2.5 |
| Aurora | 6 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 5.3 |
| Gabriella | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2.5 |
| L192 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.3 |
| 2235 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2.8 |
| 3525 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 4.0 |
| 169787 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 |
| 180753 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.5 |
| 204717 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 |
| 824775 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 |
| 226865 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2.0 |
| 225846 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 |
| EZ Pick | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2.8 |
| Minuette | 6 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 5.5 |
| Hypak | 8 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 6.0 |
| 76-110 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3.5 |
| Rogers 324 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3.8 |

${ }^{2}$ White mold scores, $1-10$ scale, $1=$ low incidence, sometimes slight symptoms, $10=$ high incidence, usually severe symptoms.

Table 7. Yield of Oregon 91G, OSU 5446, and 76-110 at 36- and 18 -inch row spacing, 1993. ${ }^{\text {² }}$

| Variety | Harvest 1 |  |  |  | Harvest 2 |  |  |  | Harvest 3 |  |  |  | AV T/A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% 1-4 Sieve |  | Tons/Acre |  | \% 1-4 Sieve |  | Tons/Acre |  | \% 1-4 Sieve |  | Tons/Acre |  |  |  |
|  | 36 | 18 | 36 | 18 | 36 | 18 | 36 | 18 | 36 | 18 | 36 | 18 | 36 | 18 |
| 91G | 64 | 84 | 7.7 | 11.1 | 62 | 56 | 9.6 | 12.9 | 34 | 44 | 12.4 | 13.4 | 9.9 | 12.5 |
| 5446 | 98 | 100 | 5.4 | 9.3 | 99 | 100 | 6.7 | 10.6 | 94 | 99 | 11.5 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 10.4 |
| 76-110 | 100 | 100 | 4.8 | 7.0 | 100 | 100 | 5.3 | 8.0 | 97 | 98 | 7.1 | 9.3 | 5.7 | 8.1 |
| LSD at $.05^{\text {y }}$ |  |  | 1.6 | 1.6 |  |  | 1.3 | 1.3 |  |  | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| spacing means |  |  | 6.0 | 9.1 |  |  | 7.2 | 10.5 |  |  | 9.1 | 11.4 | 7.4 | 10.3 |
| LSD at . 05 |  |  | 0.9 |  |  |  | 0.7 |  |  |  | 0.8 |  | 0.3 |  |

${ }^{z}$ Trial planted June 17; 4 replications; 5 feet of row in each harvest. The 18 " row plots consisted of three rows; only the center row was harvested.
${ }^{y}$ LSD values on this line apply to numbers within columns (comparing variety means) and between columns (comparing spacing within varieties).

Table 8. Dollar return/acre ${ }^{\mathrm{z}}$ of Oregon 91G, OSU 5446, and 76-110 grown at 36and 18 -inch row spacing, 1993.

| Variety | Harvest (days) | Total (2-6 sieve) |  | 3 sieve only |  | 4 sieve only |  | $3+4$ sieve |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 36 | 18 | 36 | 18 | 36 | 18 | 36 | 18 |
| 91G | 62 | 1562 | 2466 | 478 | 877 | 658 | 1116 | 1136 | 1994 |
|  | 64 | 1572 | 2406 | 259 | 558 | 518 | 1057 | 778 | 1615 |
|  | 67 | 1921 | 2250 | 289 | 458 | 558 | 817 | 847 | 1276 |
|  | AV | 1685 | 2374 | 342 | 631 | 578 | 997 | 920 | 1628 |
| 5446 | 61 | 1300 | 2043 | 837 | 1515 | 319 | 140 | 1156 | 1655 |
|  | 62 | 1639 | 2795 | 1037 | 1834 | 478 | 120 | 1515 | 1954 |
|  | 64 | 1954 | 2750 | 847 | 1914 | 977 | 558 | 1824 | 2472 |
|  | AV | 1631 | 2529 | 907 | 1754 | 591 | 272 | 1499 | 2027 |
| 76-110 | 62 | 1007 | 1296 | 728 | 798 | 50 | 20 | 778 | 817 |
|  | 64 | 1246 | 1814 | 877 | 1455 | 239 | 120 | 1116 | 1575 |
|  | 67 | 1753 | 2251 | 1057 | 1695 | 558 | 399 | 1615 | 2093 |
|  | AV | 1335 | 1787 | 887 | 1315 | 282 | 179 | 1170 | 1495 |

${ }^{2}$ Acre values based on $\$ 275 /$ ton for sieves $2-4$ and $\$ 127 /$ ton for sieves 5 and 6 . Yield of 2 -sieve pods was obtained by taking one-half of the combined graded 1-2 sieve pods.

Table 9. Summary of average yields of selected OSU lines, 1989-1993.

| Line | AV Adj. T/A |  |  |  |  |  | AV \$/A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | Overall AV | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | Overall AV |
| 91G | 7.2 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 8.8 | 7.7 | 8.0 | 1555 | 1511 | 1376 | 1390 | 1458 |
| 5402 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 9.0 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 1736 | 1720 | 1531 | 1460 | 1612 |
| 5416 | 8.6 | 8.9 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 1626 | 1735 | 1560 | 1550 | 1618 |
| 5421 | 8.5 | 8.8 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 1650 | 1714 | 1697 | 1527 | 1647 |

Table 10. Yields of Oregon 91G and Oregon 54 in commercial production, 1993.

| Variety | All Growers ${ }^{2}$ |  | Type 1 Growers ${ }^{\text {y }}$ |  | Type 2 Growers ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net Tons/A | \$/A | Net Tons/A | \$/A | Net Tons/A | \$/A |
| Oregon 91G | 5.55 | 1175 | 5.63 | 1195 | 5.50 | 1163 |
| Oregon 54 | 5.84 | 1225 | 6.21 | 1294 | 5.63 | 1184 |

${ }^{2}$ Data obtained from 49 growers or planting periods involving groups of growers.
'Includes only the 18 individual growers who planted Oregon 91G and Oregon 54 on the same day or within a three day period.
${ }^{x}$ Includes the 31 planting periods and individual growers who did not plant the two varieties within a 3 day period.


Figure 1. Average $\$ / A$ of $91 G$ and 5446 from all harvest dates in each of six regular trials, 1993.

AVERAGE \$/ACRE, SIX TRIALS 1993


Figure 2. Average $\$ / A$ of $91 G$ and Oregon 54 from all harvest dates in each of six regular trials, 1993.


Figure 3. 1993 commercial yields of Oregon 91G and Oregon 54. Type 1 growers are those planting the two varieties on the same day or within a 3-day period. Type 2 growers planted them more than three days apart.

1993 PRODUCTION-ORE 91G AND ORE 54


Figure 4. Yields of Oregon 91G and Oregon 54 in commercial production by 18 type 1 growers (those planting the varieties within a 3 -day period).



Figures 5 and 6. Value of 91G compared to 5446 and 76-110 at $36^{\prime \prime}$ and $18^{\prime \prime}$ row spacings, all sieve sizes (Figure 5) and 3-sieve pods only (Figure 6), 1993


[^0] and $18^{\prime \prime}$ row spacing, 1993.


TONS/A OF 3-SIEVE PODS 36 INCH ROWS
$91 \mathbf{G}$


Figures 8 and 9. Tons/A of 3 and 4 sieve pods (Figure 8)
and 3 sieve pods only (Figure 9) of 916,
5446 , and $76-110$ at 36 " spacing, 1993.


[^0]:    Figure 7. Yield of all sieve sizes of 91G, 5446, and 76-110 at 36"

