Research Report to the Agriculture Research Foundation and the Oregon Processed Vegetable Commission

Title: Vegetation Management in Sweet Corn

Project Leaders: Ray William and Ed Peachey, Horticulture Department Project Status: Yearly Project funding: \$12,850 (1 year)

Objectives

- 1. Evaluate Surpass (acetochlor), Frontier (dimethenamid), Tough (pyridate), and Accent (nicosulfuron), for weed control and crop tolerance, and develop appropriate weed control programs to minimize impact of atrazine tolerant weeds and proso millet.
- 2. Determine tolerance of sweet corn to propane flaming with emphasis on early postemergence applications.
- 3. Determine impact of cover crop residues on weed emergence, herbicide efficacy, and sweet corn growth in both minimum tillage and conventional tillage systems.
- 4. Evaluate a novel four-row planter design for planting in conventional tillage and no-till environments in several different cover crop residues.

Progress

1.1. Sweet corn tolerance to herbicides (Table 1)

Tolerance of Super Sweet Jubilee and Golden Jubilee sweet corn to herbicides was evaluated at the Vegetable Research Farm at Corvallis. Dyfonate was preplant incorporated at this site and sweet corn planted on June 3. Corn seedlings were thinned to nearly equal stands after the initial emergence evaluation, and weed competition minimized with cultivation and hand hoeing thereafter.

Frontier injury was greatest when applied PPI, a trend that has been evident the last three years but with some exceptions. Surpass was less likely to injure sweet corn than Frontier. Injury was seldom noted with Dual II. Accent injury was much greater than in previous years' research. No cob injury was observed in 1995 with the same set of treatments whether the herbicide was directed or broadcast. Tough injury was usually visible just after application but did not significantly reduce yield. Tough plus Accent plus atrazine significantly injured sweet corn early in the season and caused a few multiple ears to form, but did not reduce yield.

1.2. Wild proso millet (WPM) control in sweet corn (Table 2)

A site was selected near Stayton with a very uniform population of WPM. Insecticides were not used in this trial. Sweet corn was planted on May 30 and growers and field representatives participated in evaluation.

Surpass controlled WPM better than Frontier, and Frontier controlled WPM better than Dual. Treatments with good preemergence WPM control included Eradicane PPI plus Surpass/Frontier. At harvest, Eradicane PPI plus Surpass controlled WPM as well as Accent. Accent alone suppressed WPM, but control was not complete at harvest unless in combination with another herbicide.

1.3. Atrazine tolerant pigweed control in sweet corn (Table 3)

Sweet corn was planted June 9 on a well drained sandy loam soil with relatively low organic matter content and low cation exchange capacity. Pigweed was moderately tolerant of atrazine at this site. Insecticides were not applied.

Frontier plus atrazine completely controlled pigweed throughout the season even though a moderate level of atrazine tolerance was noted. *Dual* plus atrazine controlled 95 percent of the pigweed. *Frontier* alone controlled pigweed better than *Dual* whether PPI, PES or as a split application. *Surpass* however, was the most effective of the chloroacetimides *Dual* and *Frontier*.

The most effective pigweed treatment at harvest was Surpass (PES) + Accent (POST). Peak (prosulfuron) or Battalion (halosulfuron) applied postemergence after Dual significantly improved pigweed control. Accent controlled pigweed very well. Applying Tough with Accent did not improve pigweed control at this site compared to Accent alone, but may broaden the spectrum to weeds such as lambsquarter. Battalion and Peak will probably need to applied as directed treatments to minimize injury. Battalion can also be applied preemergence with little risk of injury. Tough must be applied before corn exceeds 10 inches.

2.1. Tolerance of sweet corn to propane flaming (Tables 4 & 5)

Golden Jubilee sweet corn was planted at the Vegetable Research Farm in 45 by 10 ft plots with four replications. Atrazine was applied to half of each plot to minimize the effect of weed competition on the yield of propane flamed sweet corn.

Propane was applied at 10 and 20 PSI at three stages: 1) > 50 % cotyledon leaves showing; 2) cotyledon plus one true leaf; and 3) at two true leaves. Corn growth at 4 weeks after planting was not affected when propane was applied with approximately 50 percent of the corn seedlings visible and no more than the cotyledon leaf exposed. Injury increased substantially at the third flaming. Propane applied just as the corn was emerging did not significantly reduce sweet corn yield. Sweet corn yield was reduced by the higher rates of propane. Pigweed and purslane control averaged nearly 60 and 95 percent, respectively if the soil was flamed just as the corn was emerging (Trs. 1 and 2).

3.1. Cover crop and tillage impacts on weeds and sweet corn growth (Table 6)

Cover crops were planted in the fall of 1995, and killed in April of 1996. Treatments included flailed or unflailed cover crops, winter fallow with no cover crop, and one treatment plowed and rototilled.

Corn yield in this experiment was moderate to poor on average, and very low on one side of the field that was under water during the flood in February. Corn emergence was similar across treatments, but generally improved if the cover crop residue was flailed before planting. Corn growth was much more vigorous if the soil was plowed, and sweet corn in the plowed treatment yielded higher than all other treatments except the unflailed *Micah* barley. Average ear weight was also highest in the plowed treatment. Flailing the cover crop residue had little impact on sweet corn yield, with one exception. Flailing the *Micah* barley treatment significantly <u>reduced</u> yield. The upright and short stature of this spring barley and partial winter-kill removed many leaves and may have allowed more soil warming, therefore improving corn growth. Flailing this cereal residue increased soil coverage and decreased corn growth. Treatments with common vetch tended to form a mat on the soil surface.

Triticale plus crimson clover and the *Micah* barley residue controlled weeds best. Cover crops with a legume did not suppress weeds as well as cereal cover crops alone. Dual or Frontier applied over cover crop residues completely controlled most weeds and was a significant improvement compared to the same herbicides applied over conventionally tilled soil.

4.1. Cross-slot planter performance

A four-row cross-slot planter was tested for direct seeding of snap beans, corn, and squash into undisturbed soil and cover crop residues. This planter performed well when planting into untilled soil but tended to 'plow' in the tilled soil because of uneven down-pressure among the four openers. The best success has been with squash and snap beans. Sweet corn growth and yield was much better in 1995 than this year in the same treatments. Fertilizer rates were nearly the same in the two years but in 1995 nearly all of the nitrogen and phosphorus were applied at planting. Uneven seed depth placement is a concern with these openers and may contribute to the difficulty of growing sweet corn in untilled soil.

Summary

Frontier PPI reduced sweet corn yield. Injury from *Accent* was more common this year than last, and reduced yields whether directed or broadcast. The broadcast application of *Accent* did minimize damage to the corn ears. Stress initiated by a very hot period just after application in this trial may have exacerbated injury to the corn. Careful attention to irrigation may be essential if *Accent* is applied later in the season.

Surpass PES plus Eradicane PPI/Accent POST controlled wild proso millet very well but cost approximately \$40 and \$50/acre, respectively. Accent must be used in conjunction with other strategies or herbicides to obtain full season proso millet control. Frontier controls many broadleaves better than Dual; an exception is very poor lambsquarter control. Tough, Battalion

and *Peak* are postemergence herbicides that will improve triazine tolerant broadleaf control with *Dual* or *Frontier* if registered.

Propane flaming sweet corn just as it was emerging may have reduced yield but the results were inconsistent. Flaming at the two leaf stage significantly reduced sweet corn yield.

The yield of sweet corn planted into undisturbed cover crop residue was comparable to yield of corn planted into plowed and rototilled soil, but only in one of four cover crop treatments. Flailing the residue did not improve corn yield and even decreased it in the barley only cover crop treatment.

Herbicide	Timing	Rate	n	Number	Gross	Ear quality	Tip fill	Maturity
				of ears	yield		(10 611 1)	
		lbs ai/ac			-t/ac-	· ·		(10=mature)
1. Dimethenamid	PPI	1.2	3	17	6.8 ¹	10	7	10
2. Dimethenamid	PES	1.2	3	18	7.8 a	10	8	10
3. Dimethenamid Dimethenamid	PPI PES	0.8 0.4	3	18	8.0 a	10	10	10
4. Metolachlor II	PPI	2.0	2	18	8.1 a	10	10	10
5. Metolachlor II	PES	2.0	2	18	7.7 a	10	5	10
6. Metolachlor II Metolachlor II	PPI PES	2.0 2.0	3	21	8.9 a	10	9	9
7. Metolachlor II Dimethenamid	PPI PES	2.0 1.2	3	19	8.5 a	10	8	10
8. Acetochlor	PPI	2.0	4	17	7.2 a	10	10	10
9. Acetochlor	PES	2.0	3	20	8.3 a	10	. 7	9
10. Metolachlor II Pyridate	PES EPOST	2.0 0.47	3	19	8.2 a	10	7	10
 Metolachlor II Nicosulfuron (directed) 	PES POSTD	2.0 0.031	3	16	7.0 a	10	10	8
12. Metolachlor II Nicosulfuron (broadcast)	PES POSTB	2.0 0.031	2	16	6.0	4	7	6
13. Metolachlor II Prosulfuron (broadcast)	PES POST	2.0 0.0179	3	16	7.0 a	10	8	10
14. Metolachlor II Prosulfuron (directed)	PES POSTD	2.0 0.0179	2	19	8.1a	10	9	9
15. Atrazine	PES	1.0	2	19	8.2	10	7	10
16. Untreated check	-	-	7	18	7.9 a	10	10	10
FPLSD (0.05)				NS	NS	0.6	NS	NS

Table 1. Jubilee sweet corn tolerance to selected herbicide treatments, Vegetable Research Farm.

¹ Values in the same column followed by the same letter are not statistically different from the untreated check using number of ears as the covariant.

	Herbicide	Timing	Rate	Proso millet emergence (3 WAP)	Millet seed culm weight (harvest)	Grower evaluation of growth and weed control (5 WAP)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		lb/A	no./11 ft ²	<u></u>	5 = excellent; 1 = unacceptable
1.	Frontier	PPI	1.2	15	-	1.1
2.	Frontier	PES	1.2	11	-	1.2
3.	Frontier ¹ Atrazine	PES	1.2 1.3	6	-	2.6
4.	Dual II	PPI	2.00	20	-	1.1
5.	Dual II	PES	2.00	49	-	1.0
6.	Dual II Dual II	PPI PES	2.00 2.00	1	-	2.7
7.	Dual II ² Atrazine	PES PES	2.0 1.1	41	-	1.0
8.	Dual II Frontier	PPI PES	2 1.2	2	30 (3) ³	4.1
9.	Surpass	PPI	2	2	-	3.6
10.	Surpass	PES	2	1	69 (2)	3.6
11.	Surpass Accent	PES EPOST	2.0 0.031	NA⁴	0 (3)	4.9
	Surpass Eradicane	PES PPI	2.0	0	8 (4)	4.5
	Frontier Eradicane	PES PPI	1.2 4.2	1	58 (2)	3.8
	Dual II Eradicane	PES PPI	2.0 4.2	5	55 (3)	3.5
	FOE 5043 ⁵ Sencor	PES	16 oz	11	-	3.1
	FOE 5043 Sencor	PES	18 oz	· 9	-	2.5
	Prowl Atrazine	PES PES	1.5 0.5	8	-	3.0
10	Check	_	_	21	. .	0.9

Table 2. Proso millet control in sweet corn. Selected treatments from the trial at Stayton.

¹ Frontier + atrazine = Guardsman

² Dual II + atrazine = Bicep II

³ Number in () is number of plots of this treatment (from total of 4) that suppressed millet growth comparable to the growers treatment at harvest. ⁴ Accent not applied at this point in time.

⁵ FOE 5043 + Sencor = Axiom

Timing	Rate	Pig	weed
		4 WAP	Harvest
	lbs ai/ac	% co	ontrol
PPI	0.94	80	73
PES	0.94	100	99
PES	0.94 1.06	98	100

Table 3. Preplant treatments from the site at M

1. Frontier 73 2. Frontier 99 3. Frontier¹ 100 Atrazine 1.06 4. Dual II PPI 1.46 60 43 5. Dual II PES 1.46 71 61 6. Dual II 2 PES 1.46 95 91 Atrazine PES 1.18 7. Surpass 1.25 PPI 93 85 8. Surpass PES 1.25 95 92 9. Surpass PES 1.25 86 75 Atrazine PES 1.18 10. FOE 5043³ PES 13 oz/acre4 79 53 Metribuzin 11. FOE 5043 15 oz acre PES 80 65 Metribuzin 12. Atrazine PES 1.18 53 51 13. Check -_ 0 0 FPLSD (0.05) 19 26

¹ Frontier + atrazine = Guardsman

² Dual II + atrazine = Bicep

Herbicide

³ FOE 5043 + Sencor = Axiom

⁴ FOE 5043 +metribuzin applied at 13 or 15 oz of product/ac.

Corn stage	Propane rate		Growth reduction (4 WAP)	Pigweed control (4 WAP)	Purslane control (4 WAP)
	-psi-	gpa	%	%	%
1. Just emerging ¹	10	2.3	0	63	90
2. Just emerging	20	4.6	0	55	100
3. 1-2 leaf	10	2.3	25	48	83
4. 1-2 leaf	20	4.6	20	55	100
5. 2-3 leaf	10	2.3	35	55	100
6. 2-3 leaf	20	4.6	40	75	90
LSD (0.05)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		22	ns	ns

Table 4. Early season corn growth and weed control with propane flaming.

Table 5. Effect of propane flaming on sweet corn yield.

Corn stage	Propan	e rate	No. of obs.	Yield tons/ac		No. ears	Average ear wt
	-psi-	-gpa-				no./5m	-g-
1. Just emerging	10	2.3	4	10.6 ²	abc	26	344 abc
2. Just emerging	20	4.6	2	10.9	abc	-26	363 ab
3. 1-2 leaf	10	2.3	4	9.8	bc	25	330 c
4. 1-2 leaf	20	4.6	4	11.4	ab	29	339 bc
5. 2-3 leaf	10	2.3	3	9.3	с	24	329 c
6. 2-3 leaf	20	4.6	3	9.9	ъс	26	321 c
7. No flaming	-		7	11.8	а	27	372 a
Anova (treatment)				0.01	<u></u>	0.25	0.003

¹ 50% of seedlings visible at this application.
 ² Values followed by the same letter do not differ with Duncan's Multiple Range Test (0.05).

Cover crop treatment		Emergence	No ears harvested	Avg. ear wt	Ear yield
	<u></u>	no./3 ft	no./16.6 ft	gr.	t/ac
1. Micah barley	flailed	10.3	16.3	407.5	7.7
	unflailed	9.0	19.3	401.3	9.0
2. Micah barley &	flailed	12.0	15.0	419.0	7.4
common vetch	unflailed	10.8	14.8	411.0	7.2
3. Hesk barley & common vetch	flailed	12.5	15.8	429.8	6.3
	unflailed	9.5	13.0	407.5	6.2
4. Triticale &	flailed	11.3	15.8	423.0	7.7
cr. clover	unflailed	9.3	16.3	415.0	7.9
5. Fallow	flailed	11.0	16.5	407.5	7.9
	unflailed	11.0	16.5	392.3	7.6
6. Fallow plus tillage	tilled	9.8	17.1	450.7	9.0
FPLSD(0.05)		ns	ns	ns	ns

Table 6. Sweet corn yield response to cover crop residue and tillage.

Signatures

Redacted for Privacy Redacted for Privacy

Project Leaders//

Redacted for Privacy

Department Head

 $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$